

# “Battlefield America”: The Age of Petty Tyrannies

By [John W. Whitehead](#)

Global Research, May 17, 2018

[The Rutherford Institute](#) 14 May 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

*“Whether the mask is labeled fascism, democracy, or dictatorship of the proletariat, our great adversary remains the apparatus—the bureaucracy, the police, the military. Not the one facing us across the frontier of the battle lines, which is not so much our enemy as our brothers’ enemy, but the one that calls itself our protector and makes us its slaves. No matter what the circumstances, the worst betrayal will always be to subordinate ourselves to this apparatus and to trample underfoot, in its service, all human values in ourselves and in others.”—**Simone Weil**, French philosopher and political activist*

We labor today under the weight of countless tyrannies, large and small, carried out in the name of the national good by an elite class of government officials who are largely insulated from the ill effects of their actions.

We, the middling classes, are not so fortunate.

We find ourselves badgered, bullied and browbeaten into bearing the brunt of their arrogance, paying the price for their greed, suffering the backlash for their militarism, agonizing as a result of their inaction, feigning ignorance about their backroom dealings, overlooking their incompetence, turning a blind eye to their misdeeds, cowering from their heavy-handed tactics, and blindly hoping for change that never comes.

The overt signs of the despotism exercised by the increasingly authoritarian regime that passes itself off as the United States government are all around us: warrantless surveillance of Americans’ private phone and email conversations by the NSA; SWAT team raids of Americans’ homes; shootings of unarmed citizens by police; harsh punishments meted out to schoolchildren in the name of zero tolerance; drones taking to the skies domestically; endless wars; out-of-control spending; militarized police; roadside strip searches; roving TSA sweeps; privatized prisons with a profit incentive for jailing Americans; fusion centers that collect and disseminate data on Americans’ private transactions; and militarized agencies with stockpiles of ammunition, to name some of the most appalling.

Yet as egregious as these incursions on our rights may be, it’s the endless, petty tyrannies inflicted on an overtaxed, overregulated, and underrepresented populace that occasionally nudge a weary public out of their numb indifference and into a state of outrage.

Consider, for example, that federal and state governments now require on penalty of a fine that individuals apply for permission before they can grow exotic orchids, host elaborate dinner parties, gather friends in one’s home for Bible studies, give coffee to the homeless, let their kids manage a lemonade stand, keep chickens as pets, or [braid someone’s hair](#), as ludicrous as that may seem.

A current case before the Supreme Court, [Niang v. Tomblinson](#) strikes at the heart of this bureaucratic exercise in absurdity that has pushed overregulation and overcriminalization to outrageous limits. This particular case is about whether one needs a government license in order to braid hair.

Missouri, like many states across the country, has increasingly adopted as its governing style the authoritarian notion that the government knows best and therefore must control, regulate and dictate almost everything about the citizenry's public, private and professional lives.

In Missouri, anyone wanting to braid African-style hair and charge for it must first acquire a government license, which [at a minimum requires the applicant to undertake at least 1500 hours of cosmetology classes costing tens of thousands of dollars.](#)

Tennessee has [fined residents nearly \\$100,000 just for violating its laws against braiding hair](#) without a government license.

In Oregon, the law is so broad that you need a license [even if you're planning to braid hair for free.](#) The mere act of touching someone's hair can render you a cosmetologist operating without a license and in violation of the law.

In Iowa, you can be [sentenced with up to a year in prison for braiding hair](#) without having attended a year of cosmetology school.

It's not just hair braiding that has become grist for the overregulation mill.

Almost every aspect of American life today—especially if it is work-related—is subject to this kind of heightened scrutiny and ham-fisted control, whether you're talking about aspiring ["bakers, braiders, casket makers, florists, veterinary masseuses, tour guides, taxi drivers, eyebrow threaders, teeth whiteners, and more."](#)

For instance, whereas 70 years ago, one out of every 20 U.S. jobs required a state license, today, [almost 1 in 3 American occupations requires a license.](#)

The problem of overregulation has become so bad that, as one analyst notes, "getting a license to style hair in Washington [takes more instructional time than becoming an emergency medical technician](#) or a firefighter."

This is what happens when bureaucrats run the show, and the rule of law becomes little more than a cattle prod for forcing the citizenry to march in lockstep with the government.

Overregulation is just the other side of the coin to overcriminalization, that phenomenon in which everything is rendered illegal and everyone becomes a lawbreaker.

This is the mindset that tried to [penalize a fisherman with 20 years' jail time](#) for throwing fish that were too small back into the water.



**John Yates** (image on the right), a commercial fisherman, was written up in 2007 by a state fish and wildlife officer who noticed that among Yates' haul of red grouper, 72 were apparently under the 20-inch minimum legal minimum. Yates, ordered to bring the fish to shore as evidence of his [violation of the federal statute on undersized catches](#), returned to shore with only 69 grouper in the crate designated for evidence.

A crew member later confessed that, on orders from Yates, the crew had thrown the undersized grouper overboard and replaced them with larger fish. Unfortunately, they were three fish short.

Sensing a bait-and-switch, prosecutors refused to let Yates off the hook quite so easily. Unfortunately, in [prosecuting him for the undersized fish under a law aimed at financial crimes](#), government officials opened up a can of worms. Thankfully, the U.S. Supreme Court in a rare (and narrow) flash of reason, [sided with Yates](#), ruling that the government had overreached.

That same overcriminalization mindset reared its ugly head again when police arrested a 90-year-old man for violating an ordinance that prohibits feeding the homeless in public.



Arnold Abbott (center) (Source: Change.org)

**Arnold Abbott**, 90 years old and the founder of a nonprofit that feeds the homeless, faced

a fine of \$1000 and [up to four months in jail](#) for violating a city ordinance that makes it a [crime to feed the homeless in public](#).

Under the city's ordinance, clearly aimed at discouraging the feeding of the homeless in public, organizations seeking to do so [must provide portable toilets](#), be 500 feet away from each other, [500 feet from residential properties](#), and are limited to having only one group carry out such a function per city block.

Abbott had been feeding the homeless on a public beach in Ft. Lauderdale, Fl., every Wednesday evening for 23 years. On November 2, 2014, moments after handing out his third meal of the day, police reportedly approached the nonagenarian and ordered him to “drop that plate right now,’ as if I were carrying a weapon,” [recalls Abbott](#). Abbott was arrested and fined. Three days later, Abbott was at it again, and arrested again.

It's no coincidence that both of these incidents—the fishing debacle and the homeless feeding arrest—happened in Florida.

This is also the state that arrested **Nicole Gainey** for free-range parenting when she let her 7-year-old son walk to the park alone, even though it was just a few blocks from their house. If convicted, [Gainey could have been made to serve up to five years in jail](#).

Despite its pristine beaches and balmy temperatures, Florida is no less immune to the problems plaguing the rest of the nation in terms of overcriminalization, incarceration rates, bureaucracy, corruption, and police misconduct.

In fact, the Sunshine State has become a poster child for how a seemingly idyllic place can be transformed into a police state with very little effort. As such, it is representative of what is happening in every state across the nation, where a steady diet of bread and circuses has given rise to an oblivious, inactive citizenry content to be ruled over by an inflexible and highly bureaucratic regime.

Just a few years back, in fact, Florida officials authorized police raids on barber shops in minority communities, resulting in barbers being handcuffed in front of customers, and their shops searched without warrants. All of this was purportedly done in an effort to make sure that the [barbers' licensing paperwork was up to snuff](#).

As if criminalizing fishing, charity, parenting decisions, and haircuts wasn't bad enough, you could also find yourself passing time in a Florida slammer for such inane activities as singing in a public place while wearing a swimsuit, breaking more than three dishes per day, [farting in a public place after 6 pm on a Thursday](#), and [skateboarding without a license](#).

This transformation of the United States from being a beacon of freedom to a locked down nation illustrates perfectly what songwriter **Joni Mitchell** was referring to when she wrote:

Don't it always seem to go  
That you don't know what you've got 'til it's gone.  
They [paved paradise and put up a parking lot](#).

Only in our case, sold on the idea that safety, security and material comforts are preferable to freedom, we've allowed the government to pave over the Constitution in order to erect a concentration camp.

The problem with these devil's bargains, however, is that there is always a catch, always a price to pay for whatever it is we valued so highly as to barter away our most precious possessions.

We've bartered away our right to self-governance, self-defense, privacy, autonomy and that most important right of all—the right to tell the government to “leave me the hell alone.”

In exchange for the promise of safe streets, safe schools, blight-free neighborhoods, lower taxes, lower crime rates, and readily accessible technology, health care, water, food and power, we've opened the door to militarized police, government surveillance, asset forfeiture, school zero tolerance policies, license plate readers, red light cameras, SWAT team raids, health care mandates, overcriminalization, overregulation and government corruption.

In the end, such bargains always turn sour.

**We asked our lawmakers to be tough on crime, and we've been saddled with an abundance of laws that criminalize almost every aspect of our lives.** So far, we're up to [4500 criminal laws and 300,000 criminal regulations](#) that result in average Americans unknowingly engaging in criminal acts at least three times a day. For instance, the family of an 11-year-old girl was issued a [\\$535 fine for violating the Federal Migratory Bird Act after the young girl rescued a baby woodpecker from predatory cats](#).

**We wanted criminals taken off the streets, and we didn't want to have to pay for their incarceration.** What we've gotten is a nation that boasts the highest incarceration rate in the world, with [more than 2.3 million people locked up](#), many of them doing time for relatively minor, [nonviolent crimes](#), and a private prison industry fueling the drive for more inmates, who are forced to provide corporations with cheap labor.

A special report by CNBC breaks down the national numbers:

One out of 100 American adults is behind bars — while a stunning one out of 32 is on probation, parole or in prison. [This reliance on mass incarceration has created a thriving prison economy](#). The states and the federal government spend about \$74 billion a year on corrections, and nearly 800,000 people work in the industry.


**We wanted law enforcement agencies to have the necessary resources to fight the nation's wars on terror, crime and drugs.** What we got instead were [militarized police decked out with M-16 rifles, grenade launchers, silencers, battle tanks and hollow point bullets](#)—gear designed for the battlefield, more than 80,000 SWAT team raids carried out every year ([many for routine police tasks, resulting in losses of life and property](#)), and profit-driven schemes that add to the government's largesse such as [asset forfeiture](#), where police seize property from “suspected criminals.”

Justice Department figures indicate that as much as \$4.3 billion was seized in asset forfeiture cases in 2012, with the profits split between federal agencies and local police. According to the *Washington Post*, these funds have been used to buy guns, armored cars, electronic surveillance gear, [“luxury vehicles, travel and a clown named Sparkles.”](#) Police seminars advise officers to use their [“department wish list](#) when deciding which assets to seize” and, in particular, go after flat screen TVs, cash and nice cars.

In Florida, where police are no strangers to asset forfeiture, Florida police have been carrying out [“reverse” sting operations](#), where they pose as drug dealers to lure buyers with promises of cheap cocaine, then bust them, and seize their cash and cars. Over the course of a year, police in one small Florida town seized close to \$6 million using these entrapment schemes.

**We fell for the government’s promise of safer roads, only to find ourselves caught in a tangle of [profit-driven red light cameras](#), which ticket unsuspecting drivers in the so-called name of road safety while ostensibly fattening the coffers of local and state governments.** Despite widespread public opposition, [corruption and systemic malfunctions](#), these cameras—[used in 24 states](#) and Washington, DC—are particularly popular with municipalities, which look to them as an easy means of extra cash.

One small Florida town, population 8,000, [generates a million dollars a year](#) in fines from these cameras. Building on the profit-incentive schemes, the cameras’ manufacturers are also [pushing speed cameras and school bus cameras](#), both of which result in hefty fines for violators who speed or try to go around school buses.



As I make clear in my book [Battlefield America: The War on the American People](#), this is what happens when the American people get duped, deceived, double-crossed, cheated, lied to, swindled and conned into believing that the government and its army of bureaucrats—the people we appointed to safeguard our freedoms—actually have our best interests at heart.

Yet when all is said and done, who is really to blame when the wool gets pulled over your eyes: you, for believing the con man, or the con man for being true to his nature?

It’s time for a bracing dose of reality, America.

Wake up and take a good, hard look around you, and ask yourself if the gussied-up version of America being sold to you—crime free, worry free and devoid of responsibility—is really worth the ticket price: nothing less than your freedoms.

\*

Constitutional attorney and author **John W. Whitehead** is founder and president of [The Rutherford Institute](#). His new book [Battlefield America: The War on the American People](#) (SelectBooks, 2015) is available online at [www.amazon.com](http://www.amazon.com). Whitehead can be contacted at [johnw@rutherford.org](mailto:johnw@rutherford.org).

The original source of this article is [The Rutherford Institute](#)  
Copyright © [John W. Whitehead](#), [The Rutherford Institute](#), 2018

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [John W. Whitehead](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)