

# Banks Rig Treasury Market ... And Every Other Market As Well

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, September 18, 2015

Washington's Blog 17 September 2015

Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>

## **Banks Rig Treasury Market**

Bloomberg reports today:

The same analytical technique that uncovered cheating in currency markets and the Libor rates benchmark [details below] — resulting in about \$20 billion of fines — suggests the dealers who control the U.S. Treasury market rigged bond auctions for years, according to a lawsuit.

\*\*\*

The plaintiffs built their case against the **22 primary dealers who serve as the backbone of Treasury trading** — including Goldman Sachs Group Inc., JPMorgan Chase & Co. and Morgan Stanley — using data from Rosa Abrantes-Metz, an adjunct associate professor at New York University who has provided expert testimony in rigging cases.

Her conclusion: More than two-thirds of a certain type of Treasury auction appear to have been rigged. She found issues with other auctions, too.

\*\*\*

Treasury traders at some banks learn of customer demand hours before auctions, and were communicating with their counterparts at other firms via chat rooms as recently as last year, Bloomberg News reported earlier this year.

\*\*\*

Among the lawyers representing the investors is Daniel Brockett, a Quinn Emmanuel attorney who recently won a \$1.87 billion settlement against Wall Street's largest banks in a case alleging they conspired to limit competition in the market for credit-default swaps.

\*\*\*

Another group of investors, including Boston's public employee retirement system, has filed a similar suit against Wall Street primary dealers. Experts interviewed by Labaton Sucharow LLP, the law firm that filed that suit, analyzed auctions and the market for when-issued securities, which are essentially agreements to buy or sell Treasury bonds, notes or bills once they're issued.

They claim that banks colluded to push prices artificially low at auctions, and to drive prices for when-issued securities to artificially high levels, until December

2012, when news broke of investigations into how Libor was set.

"These scenarios all turn on a very simple conflict of interest," attorney Michael Stocker said in a telephone interview. "You had banks who were auction participants who also had the power to move the prices that those markets depended on."

High-frequency trading has also <u>long been used</u> to manipulate the treasury market.

## **Banks Rig Currency Markets**

It has long been known that currency markets are <u>massively rigged</u>. And see <u>this</u>, <u>this</u>, and <u>this</u>. Indeed, not only do the banks share confidential information with *each other* ... they also shared it with a <u>giantoil company</u>.

A number of giant banks pleaded *guilty* to manipulating currency markets, and agreed to pay a \$7.5 billion dollar fine. New York's state financial regulator <u>called it</u> "a brazen 'heads I win, tails you lose' scheme to rip off their clients."

The formal admissions by the banks <u>include</u> a trader saying, "We trying to manipulate it a bit more in ny now . . . a coupld buddies of mine and I." And a vice president of a big bank said:

"If you aint cheating, you aint trying."

## **Derivatives Are Manipulated**

Runaway derivatives – especially credit default swaps (CDS) – were one of the <u>main</u> <u>causes</u> of the 2008 financial crisis. Congress never fixed the problem, and actually <u>made it</u> worse.

The big banks have long manipulated derivatives ... a \$1,200 Trillion Dollar market.

Indeed, many trillions of dollars of derivatives are being manipulated in the <u>exact same</u> <u>same way</u> that interest rates are fixed (see below) ... through <u>gamed self-reporting</u>.

Reuters <u>noted</u> last year:

A Manhattan federal judge said on Thursday that investors may pursue a lawsuit accusing 12 major banks of violating antitrust law by fixing prices and restraining competition in the roughly \$21 trillion market for credit default swaps.

\*\*\*

"The complaint provides a chronology of behavior that would probably not result from chance, coincidence, independent responses to common stimuli, or mere interdependence," [Judge] Cote said.

The defendants include Bank of America Corp, Barclays Plc, BNP Paribas SA, Citigroup Inc, Credit Suisse Group AG, Deutsche Bank AG, Goldman Sachs Group Inc, HSBC Holdings Plc, JPMorgan Chase & Co, Morgan Stanley, Royal Bank of Scotland Group Plc and UBS AG.

Other defendants are the International Swaps and Derivatives Association and Markit Ltd, which provides credit derivative pricing services.

\*\*\*

**U.S.** and European regulators have probed potential anticompetitive activity in CDS. In July 2013, the European Commission accused many of the defendants of colluding to block new CDS exchanges from entering the market.

\*\*\*

"The financial crisis hardly explains the alleged secret meetings and coordinated actions," the judge wrote. "Nor does it explain why ISDA and Markit simultaneously reversed course."

In other words, the big banks are continuing to fix prices for CDS in secret meetings ... and have torpedoed the more open and transparent CDS exchanges that Congress mandated.

The managing director at Graham Fisher & Co. (Joshua Rosner) recently said that the big banks are <u>frontrunning CDS trades</u> ... <u>and manipulating decisions</u> on whether a the party "insured" by CDS has defaulted on its obligations, thus triggering an "event" requiring payment on the CDS.

By way of analogy, whether or not an insurance company pays to rebuild a house which has burned to the ground may turn on whether it finds the fire was arson or accidental.

This is a *big* deal ... while hundreds of thousands of dollars might be at stake in the home fire example, many tens or even hundreds of *billions* of dollars ride on whether or not a country like Greece is determined to have suffered a CDS-triggering event.

#### Rosner notes:

The potential use of CDS to artificially manipulate corporate solvency, the imbalances in the amounts of CDS outstanding relative to referenced debt and ongoing allegations that ISDA's Determinations Committee is deeply conflicted and "operates as a **quasi-Star Chamber or cartel**", are finally being scrutinized.

As one source recently suggested, "It would be a surprise if determinations of default, made by a committee of interested parties, don't lead to findings of manipulation similar to those found in LIBOR and FOREX".

\*\*\*

The fact that Pimco's Chief Investment Officer criticized the determination that Greece had not triggered its CDS, even though Pimco was part of the unanimous vote making that determination, is profoundly troubling to say the least.

\*\*\*

The fact that the [ISDA's Determinations Committees] has no obligation to "research, investigate, supplement or verify the accuracy of information on which a determination is based" and members "may have an inherent conflict of interest in the outcome of any determinations" only adds credence to suggestions that the "CDS market is being manipulated and

#### gerrymandered by the all-powerful investment banks".

## **Energy Prices Manipulated**

Energy markets are manipulated as well ...

For example, oil prices have been <u>manipulated for many years</u>.

And the U.S. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission says that JP Morgan has <u>massively</u> <u>manipulated energy markets in California and the Midwest</u>, obtaining tens of millions of dollars in overpayments from grid operators between September 2010 and June 2011.

And Pulitzer prize-winning reporter David Cay Johnston <u>noted</u> last year that Wall Street is trying to launch Enron 2.0.

And the Senate's Permanent Subcommittee On Investigations <u>found</u> that Enron *itself* (which <u>massively manipulated</u> energy markets) was <u>enabled by the fraud</u> of big banks such as Citigroup and Chase.

## **Commodities Are Manipulated**

The big banks and government agencies have been <u>conspiring to manipulate commodities</u> <u>prices for decades</u>.

The big banks are taking over important aspects of the *physical* economy – including <u>uranium mining</u>, petroleum products, aluminum, ownership and operation of airports, toll roads, ports, and electricity – to <u>manipulate market prices</u>.

And they are using these physical assets to massively manipulate commodities prices ... scalping consumers of <u>many billions of dollars each year</u>. (More from <u>Matt</u> Taibbi, FDL and Elizabeth Warren.)

#### **Gold and Silver Are Manipulated**

Last November, Switzerland's financial regulator (FINMA) found "serious misconduct" and a "clear attempt to manipulate precious metals benchmarks" by UBS employees in precious metals trading, particularly with silver.

Reuters <u>reports</u>:

Swiss regulator FINMA said on Wednesday that it found a "clear attempt" to manipulate precious metals benchmarks during its investigation into precious metals and foreign exchange trading at UBS ...

Gold and silver prices have been "fixed" in daily conference calls by the powers-that-be.

Bloomberg reported in 2013:

It is the participating banks themselves that administer the gold and silver benchmarks.



So are prices being manipulated? Let's take a look at the evidence. In his book "The Gold Cartel," commodity analyst Dimitri Speck combines minute-by-minute data from most of 1993 through 2012 to show how gold prices move on an average day (see attached charts). He finds that the spot price of gold tends to drop sharply around the London evening fixing (10 a.m. New York time). A similar, if less pronounced, drop in price occurs around the London morning fixing. The same daily declines can be seen in silver prices from 1998 through 2012.



For both commodities there were, on average, no comparable price changes at any other time of the day. These patterns are consistent with manipulation in both markets.

### **Interest Rates Are Manipulated**

Bloomberg reported last year:

Royal Bank of Scotland Group Plc was ordered to pay \$50 million by a federal judge in Connecticut over claims that it rigged the London interbank offered rate.

RBS Securities Japan Ltd. in April pleaded guilty to wire frauda s part of a settlement of more than \$600 million with U.S and U.K. regulators over Libor manipulation, according to court filings. U.S. District Judge Michael P. Shea in New Haventoday sentenced the Tokyo-based unit of RBS, Britain's biggest publicly owned lender, to pay the agreed-upon fine, according to a Justice Department statement.

Global investigations into banks' attempts to manipulate the benchmarks for profit have led to fines and settlements for lenders including RBS, Barclays Plc, UBS AG and Rabobank Groep.

RBS was among six companies fined a record 1.7 billion euros (\$2.3 billion) by the European Union last month for rigging interest rates linked to Libor. The combined fines for manipulating yen Libor and Euribor, the benchmark moneymarket rate for the euro, are the largest-ever EU cartel penalties.

Global fines for rate-rigging have reached \$6 billion since June 2012 as authorities around the world probe whether traders worked together to fix Libor, meant to reflect the interest rate at which banks lend to each other, to benefit their own trading positions.

To put the Libor interest rate scandal in perspective:

- The big banks have conspired for years to rig interest rates ... upon which \$800 trillion in assets are pegged
- This was the <u>largest insider trading scandal ever</u> ... and the <u>largest financial</u> scam in world history
- Local governments got ripped off bigtime by the Libor manipulation
- Even though RBS and a handful of other banks have been fined for interest rate manipulation, Libor is <u>still being manipulated</u>. No wonder ... the fines are pocket

## **Everything Can Be Manipulated through High-Frequency Trading**

Traders with high-tech computers can manipulate <u>stocks</u>, <u>bonds</u>, <u>options</u>, <u>currencies and commodities</u>. And see <u>this</u>.

## **Manipulating Numerous Markets In Myriad Ways**

The big banks and other giants manipulate <u>numerous markets in myriad ways</u>, for example:

- Engaging in mafia-style big-rigging fraud against local governments.
  See this, this and this
- Shaving money off of virtually every pension transaction they handled over the course of decades, stealing collectively billions of dollars from pensions worldwide.
  - Details <u>here</u>, <u>here</u> and here
- Charging "storage fees" to store gold bullion ... without even buying or storing any gold . And raiding allocated gold accounts
- Committing massive and pervasive fraud <u>both when they initiated mortgage</u> <u>loans and when they foreclosed on them</u> (and <u>see this</u>)
- Pledging the same mortgage multiple times to different buyers. See this, this, this and this. This would be like selling your car, and collecting money from 10 different buyers for the same car
- Cheating homeowners by gaming laws meant to protect people from unfair foreclosure
- Pushing investments which they knew were terrible, and then betting against the same investments to make money for themselves. See this, this, this, this and this
- Engaging in unlawful "frontrunning" to manipulate markets.
  See this, this, this, this, this and this
- Engaging in unlawful "Wash Trades" to manipulate asset prices.
  See this, this and this
- Otherwise manipulating markets. And see this
- Participating in various <u>Ponzi schemes</u>
- Charging veterans <u>unlawful mortgage fees</u>

- Cooking their books (and see this)
- Bribing and <u>bullying</u> ratings agencies to inflate ratings on their risky investments

## The Big Picture

The experts say that <u>big banks will keep manipulating markets unless and until their executives are thrown in jail for fraud</u>.

Why? Because the system is rigged to allow the big banks to commit continuous and massive fraud, and then to pay small fines as the "cost of doing business". As Nobel prize winning economist Joseph Stiglitznoted years ago:

The system is set so that even if you're caught, the penalty is just a small number relative to what you walk home with.

The fine is just a cost of doing business. It's like a parking fine. Sometimes you make a decision to park knowing that you might get a fine because going around the corner to the parking lot takes you too much time.

Indeed, Reuters points out:

Switzerland's regulator FINMA ordered UBS, the country's biggest bank, to pay 134 million francs (\$139 million) after it found serious misconduct in both foreign exchange and precious metals trading. It also **capped bonuses for dealers in both units at twice their basic salary for two years**.

Capping bonuses at twice base salary? That's not a punishment ... it's an incentive.

Experts say that we have to prosecute fraud or else the economy won't ever really stabilize.

But the government is doing the exact opposite. Indeed, the Justice Department has announced it will go easy on big banks, and always settles prosecutions for pennies on the dollar (a form of stealth bailout. It is also arguably one of the main causes of the double dip in housing.)

Indeed, the government <u>doesn't even force the banks to admit any guilt</u> as part of their settlements. <u>In fact</u>:

"The banks have been allowed to investigate themselves," one source familiar with the investigation told Reuters. "The investigated decide what they want to investigate, what they admit to, and how much they will pay.

Wall Street has manipulated virtually every other market as well – both in the financial sector and the<u>real economy</u> – and broken <u>virtually every law on the books</u>.

And they will keep on doing so until the Department of Justice grows a pair.

The criminality and blatant manipulation will grow and spread and metastasize – taking over and killing off more and more of the economy – until Wall Street executives are finally thrown in jail.

It's that simple ...

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2015

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Washington's

<u>Blog</u>

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries:  $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$