

## **Babbling About Prigozhin**

By Dr. Binoy Kampmark

Global Research, June 27, 2023

Region: Russia and FSU
Theme: Intelligence

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

A lot of nonsense is being spouted by a bevy of spontaneous "Russian experts" in light of the Prigozhin spray, a mutiny (no one quite knows what to call it), stillborn in the Russian Federation. It all fell to the theatrical sponsor, promoter and rabble rouser **Yevgeny Prigozhin**, a convict who rose through the ranks of the deceased Soviet state to find fortune and security via catering, arms and **Vladimir Putin**'s support.

In the service of the Kremlin, Prigozhin proved his mettle. He did his level best to neutralise protest movements. He created the Internet Research Agency, an outfit employing hundreds dedicated to trolling for the regime. Such efforts have been apoplectically lionised (and vilified) as being vital to winning Donald Trump the US presidency in 2016.

His Wagner mercenary outfit, created in the summer of 2014 in response to the Ukraine conflict, has certainly been busy, having impressed bloody footprints in the Levant, a number of African states, and Ukraine itself. Along the way, benefits flowed for the provision of such services, including natural resource concessions.

But something happened last week. Suddenly, the strong man of the mercenary outfit that had been performing military duties alongside the Russian Army in Ukraine seemed to lose his cool. There were allegations that his men had been fired upon by Russian forces, a point

drawn out by his capture of the 72<sup>nd</sup> Motorised Rifle Brigade commander, **Lieutenant Colonel Roman Venevitin**. Probably more to the point, he had found out some days earlier that the Russian Defence Ministry was keen to rein in his troops, placing them under contractual obligations. His autonomous wings were going to be clipped.

The fuse duly went. Prigozhin fumed on Telegram, expressing his desire to get a number of

officials, most notably the Defence Minister, **Sergei Shoigu**, and Chief of the General staff **Valery Gerasimov**, sent packing. A "march for justice" was organised, one that threatened to go all the way to Moscow.

**President Vladimir Putin** fumed in agitation in his <u>televised address</u> on June 24, claiming that "excessive ambition and personal interests [had] led to treason, to the betrayal of the motherland and the people and the cause". Within hours, Belarusian **President Alexander Lukashenko**, whose diplomatic skills are threadbare, had intervened as mediator, after which it was decided that the Wagner forces would withdraw to avoid "shedding Russian blood".

This all provided some delicious speculative manna for the press corps and commentariat outside Russia. Nature, and media, abhor the vacuum; the filling that follows is often not palatable. There was much breathless, excited pontification about the end of Putin, despite the obvious fact that this insurrection had failed in its tracks. John Lyons of the Australian Broadcasting Corporation was aflame with wonder. Where, he wondered, was the Russian President? Why did the Wagner soldiers "get from Ukraine to Rostov, take control of Ukraine's war HQ then move to Voronezh without a hint of resistance"?

John Lough of Chatham House in London <u>claimed</u> that Putin had "been shown to have lost his previous ability to be the arbiter between powerful rival groups." His "public image in Russia as the all-powerful Tsar" had been called into question. Ditto the views of Peter Rutland of Wesleyan University, who was adamant <u>in emphasising</u> Putin's impotence in being "unable to do anything to stop Prigozhin's rogue military unit as it seized Rostov-on-Don", only to then write, without explaining why, about uncharacteristic behaviour from both men in stepping "back from the brink of civil war".

Then came the hyperventilating chatter about nuclear weapons (too much of the *Crimson Tide* jitters there), the pathetic wail that accompanies those desperate to fill both column space. The same degree of concern regarding such unsteady nuclear powers as Pakistan is nowhere to be seen, despite ongoing crises and the prospect of political implosion.

Commentators swooned with excitement: the Kremlin had lost the plot; the attempted coup, if it could even be called that, had done wonders to rattle the strongman. Those same commentators could not quite explain that Prigozhin had seemingly been rusticated and banished to Belarus within the shortest of timeframes, where he is likely to keep company with a man of comparatively diminished intellect: Premier Lukashenko himself. Prigozhin, for all his aspirations, has a gangster's nose for a bargain, poor or otherwise.

As Kremlin spokesman **Dmitry Peskov** <u>put it</u>, the original criminal case opened against Prigozhin for military mutiny by the Kremlin would be dropped, while any Wagner fighters who had taken part in the "march for justice" would not face any punitive consequences. Those who had not participated would be duly assimilated into the Russian defence architecture in signing contracts with the Defence Ministry.

The image now appearing – much of this subject to redrawing, resketching, and requalifying – is that things were not quite as they seemed. Assuming himself to be a big-brained Wallerstein of regime stirring clout, Prigozhin had seemingly put forth a plan of action that had all the seeds of failure. Britain's *The Telegraph* reported that "the mercenary force had only 8,000 fighters rather than the 25,000 claimed and faced likely defeat in any attempt to

take the Russian capital."

Another reading is also possible here, though it will have to be verified in due course. Putin had anticipated that this contingently loyal band of mercenaries was always liable to turn, given the chance. Russia is overrun with such volatile privateers and soldiers of fortune. Where that fortune turns, demands will be made.

Ultimately, in Putin's Russia, the political is never divorceable from the personal. Chechnya's resilient thug, **Ramzan Kadyrov**, very much the prototypical Putin vassal only nominally subservient, suggests that this whole matter could be put down to family business disputes. "A chain of failed business deals created a lingering resentment in the businessman, which reached its peak when St. Petersburg's authorities did not grand [Prigozhin's] daughter a coveted land plot." The big picture, viewed from afar, can be very small indeed.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Dr. Binoy Kampmark** was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He currently lectures at RMIT University. He is a regular contributor to Global Research and Asia-Pacific Research. Email: <a href="mailto:bkampmark@gmail.com">bkampmark@gmail.com</a>

Featured image is licensed under CC BY 3.0

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Dr. Binoy Kampmark, Global Research, 2023

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Dr. Binoy** 

## **Kampmark**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>