

# Asylum Seekers, Migrants and Refugees: Britain's "Calais Problem"

By [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#)

Global Research, August 11, 2015

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

Image: Map showing Calais in relation to London and Paris

*Island states often host regimes short on nerve and, if they be wealthy, compassion. Britain's Calais problem is part manufacture, and part evasion. If UK Foreign Secretary Philip Hammond's comments are anything to go by, the sceptred isle continues veering between land of refuge and hope, and gnawing fear about such things as living standards and sacred property. The British tradition of rights and liberties remains, as ever, a conservative one.*

Hammond certainly did not mince what would have otherwise been ill chosen words. He has been eyeing the 3,000 refugees and "marauding" migrants who have gathered at the French port town of Calais. He has deemed it appropriate to note their "African" background, and their search for better "living standards".

He has also been noting the grievances of Channel Tunnel operators, who cite incompetence on the part of British and French authorities. Other ports are similarly paying host. A sense that the refugees are about to pounce and enter Britain illegally has gotten reactionaries into quite a state. The death toll is rising.

When clarification was sought about Hammond's position, things did not get much better. At a press conference in South Korea, he insisted on focusing on themes of dreaded locomotion.

"We have a significant number of people around the Calais areas moving in numbers. And because they are moving en masse in numbers they can pose a threat to the security of the Channel Tunnel, which was the context in which the question was asked."

The image of arterial blockage, posing a security threat that kills the host, is palpably strong.

In this, he keeps company with the Prime Minister, David Cameron. In July, the entire issue of migrants preoccupied the emergency Cobra committee on disruptions to Channel ports. Cameron staked a claim to be the strongman here, promising to keep migrants from the ports, while fulminating that he had to break his vacation.

Then came a choice of words that was not so much Freudian slip as an all too evident revelation: Britain was besieged by enterprising, rapacious "swarms". This came sufficiently close to the intemperate views of Nigel Farage of the UK Independence Party, who obsesses to his audiences about being "stuck on the motorway and [being] surrounded by swarms of

potential migrants". (Farage, being an opportunist, attempted to cover his tracks on this one, attacking Cameron for being too extreme at the end of July for remarks that seemed to reek of the UKIP touch.)

Few on the Tory frontbench seem willing to consider an international intervention that would involve British and French cooperation. Home Secretary Theresa May has attempted to use various "fast-track" detention schemes which have fallen foul of the law. A UN facilitated solution would, at least in some instrumental way, internationalise what is otherwise being done unilaterally. Instead, we are getting pugnacity and addled vitriol, the shadow boxing that proves how ineffectual the British stance actually is.

Conservative MP Andrew Percy has resorted to a historical blame game worthy of the school yard scuffle. The French, he snorts, did it. "The French are unable to guard against the infringements of our border. It is time we considered more radical options, including the use of the army."

Tabloid hysteria has been ample, with headlines screaming of "the battle to secure Calais" and the pressing need to "send in the gurkhas".[1] The latter impudent suggestion had to come from Kevin Hurley, the police and crime commissioner for Surrey, who reflects that age old tradition of presuming that those who do not abide by standard regulations must be criminal. "The Gurkhas are a highly respected and competent force, and are just around the corner. They could help ensure that our border is not breached." Terrorists, invaders, refugees - differences don't matter in the coalescing nonsense of security.

Yvette Cooper, shadow home secretary and candidate for the Labour leadership, is looking for the UN to disentangle Britain from the mess. Her suggestion is that the UN high commissioner for refugees (UNHCR) begin registering asylum applications of those encamped at Calais (Guardian, Aug 10). "The government's impractical and frankly shameful response to Calais is unacceptable."

Cooper, to brush up her credentials, is mindful of what happened under the last Labour government, when "a deal was hammered out to close Sangatte and process those living there to prevent the dangerous situation we are seeing now - people risking their lives trying to enter the UK illegally."[2]

*The Guardian* has editorialised on the subject of Calais at length, arguing for strategy over "swarms".[3] Even the editors had to concede that the British holiday maker, or the residents of Kent "are entitled to expect some reassurance." The comfort principle excludes other humans who may encroach, even if that remains a fiction of circumstance.

Demagoguery remains the easiest of substitutes, suspending the need to have vision, while embracing the hobgoblins of fear and calculation. Regional approaches to processing the claims of asylum seekers and refugees is not something many states are willing to take.

Conflation is far easier than subtle distinctions - the refugee, the migrant, and the asylum seeker, have all been cobbled together in the same dark, sinister matter, all violators of sovereignty and border security. Tory Britain is lifting the drawbridge.

**Dr. Binoy Kampmark** was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He lectures at RMIT University, Melbourne. Email:[bkampmark@gmail.com](mailto:bkampmark@gmail.com)

## Notes

[1]

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/uknews/immigration/11772155/Send-Army-to-halt-Calais-crisis-police-chief-says.html>

[2]

<http://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2015/aug/10/yvette-cooper-calls-un-intervention-escalating-calais-migrant-crisis>

[3]

<http://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2015/jul/31/the-guardian-view-on-cameron-and-calais-strategy-not-swarms>

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#), Global Research, 2015

---

[\*\*Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page\*\*](#)

[\*\*Become a Member of Global Research\*\*](#)

Articles by: [\*\*Dr. Binoy  
Kampmark\*\*](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)