

Are EU Governments “Dumping” the AstraZeneca Vaccine in Favor of Pfizer and Moderna Inc?

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [Jacob J](#)
Global Research, April 09, 2021
[Global Research and IBT](#)

Region: [Europe](#)
Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles **can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website”** drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version)

Is there “competition” between Big Pharma giants?

An IBT report (see below), points to governmental vaccine guidelines in France and Germany which consist de facto in “dumping” AstraZeneca in favour of Pfizer and Moderna.

According to the European Medicines Agency (EMA), there is “a possible link between the AstraZeneca vaccine and the rare cases of blood clots in people who had received the shot”.

In Germany, health authorities have “instructed people below the age of 60 who got the AstraZeneca shot to opt for a different vaccine as the booster dose.”

Health authorities in France have adopted similar measures in favour of Pfizer and Moderna.

Does this mean that the Pfizer and Moderna Inc vaccines are “safe” in comparison to those of Astrazeneka?

While AstraZenaka has been the object of suspension, the vaccine related deaths and injuries are significantly larger in regards to the Pfizer vaccine. Over 100,000 injuries and 64% of the deaths (relating to the Pfizer vaccine) (See data below).

How is it that AstraZeneka has been the object of restrictions by 18 European governments, while no limitations have been considered with regard to Pfizer and Moderna Inc? The deaths and injuries related to the Pfizer vaccine do not make the headlines.

Are these Big Pharma vaccine companies competing with one another?

Is the EMA in conflict of interest?

According to EMA’s executive director Emer Cooke: “The risk of mortality from COVID is much greater than the risk of mortality from these rare side effects.”

Emer Cooke was appointed to head the EMA in mid-November 2020 coinciding with the launching of the mRNA vaccine. She previously worked for [The European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations \(EFPIA\)](#) which represents the major pharmaceutical companies.

The Death and Injuries. EU Data Base

Below is the Eudra Vigilance data on vaccine deaths and injuries for the period December 27, 2020 to March 13, 2021 pertaining respectively to AstraZeneca, Pfizer BioNTech and Moderna Inc.

The deaths and injuries associated with the Pfizer vaccine are significantly larger:

2,540 deaths and 102,100 injuries

Moreover, the number of deaths recorded in relation to the Moderna vaccine is more than double that of AstraZeneca:

973 deaths and 5939 injuries

Here is the [Breakdown](#):

Total reactions for the experimental **vaccine AZD1222 (CHADOX1 NCOV-19) from Oxford/ AstraZeneca**: 451 deaths and 54,571 injuries to 13/03/2021

Total reactions for the experimental mRNA vaccine **Tozinameran** (code **BNT162b2, Comirnaty**) from **BioNTech/ Pfizer**: **2,540 deaths** and 102,100 injuries to 13/03/2021

Total reactions for the experimental mRNA vaccine **mRNA-1273 (CX-024414) from Moderna**: 973 deaths and 5,939 injuries to 13/03/2021



EudraVigilance - European database
of suspected adverse drug reaction reports

COVID-19 Vaccine Adverse Drug Reactions

3,964 DEAD

162,610 Injuries Through March 13, 2021

COVID-19 MRNA VACCINE MODERNA (CX-024414)

COVID-19 MRNA VACCINE PFIZER-BIONTECH (TOZINAMERAN)

COVID-19 VACCINE ASTRAZENECA (CHADOX1 NCOV-19)

EudraVigilance states with regard to the data:

“Only a detailed evaluation and scientific assessment of all available data allows for robust conclusions to be drawn on the benefits and risks of a medicine.”

“Robust conclusions” were not taken by the EMA in regards to the deaths and injuries resulting from the Pfizer-BionTech and Moderna Inc mRNA vaccines.

See excerpts of the IBT report below.

Michel Chossudovsky Global Research, April 9, 2021

AstraZeneca Woes: France to Give Pfizer or Moderna Vaccines as Second Dose, Says Report

by Jacob J.

International Business Times

April 9, 2021

Amid uncertainty over the use of AstraZeneca vaccine in many regions including Europe, the top health body in France said people who got the first dose of AstraZeneca vaccine should receive a messenger-RNA vaccine as the second dose.

The Haute Autorite de la Sante (HAS), which is tasked with deciding how vaccines can be rolled out in France, was considering this option, Reuters [reported](#).

Earlier this week, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) said found a [possible link between AstraZeneca vaccine](#) and the rare cases of blood clots in people who had received the shot.

Citing sources the agency reported that HAS is going ahead with the use of two mRNA vaccines — Pfizer-BioNTech and from Moderna — for those aged below 55.

Meanwhile, Germany had also instructed that people below the age of 60 who got the AstraZeneca shot must opt for a different vaccine as the booster dose.

This is an interesting development as the procedure to give a different vaccine as the second dose was not tested during any human trials so far.

Reuters cited an expert saying that all these vaccines are complementary as all of them target the same “spike” protein of the coronavirus.

[Read complete article](#)

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from Children's Health Defense

The original source of this article is [Global Research and IBT](#)

Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [Jacob J](#), [Global Research and IBT](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [Jacob J](#)

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has taught as visiting professor in Western Europe, Southeast Asia, the Pacific and Latin America. He has served as economic adviser to governments of developing countries and has acted as a consultant for several international organizations. He is the author of 13 books. He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca