

Assange Suffers Stroke While Governments Implement Panopticon Surveillance. The Imposition of 1984 Tyranny

By Kurt Nimmo

Global Research, December 13, 2021

Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @crg_globalresearch.

It's being reported today <u>Julian Assange</u> suffered a stroke while interned and tortured at Belmarsh Prison in the UK. It's said the stroke occurred after Assange learned he will be extradited to the US to face espionage and computer hacking charges.

This, of course, is only a pretense. Like **Chelsea Manning** and others who dare expose the filthy underside of US foreign policy, Assange will be further tortured and abused until he is verifiably insane or dead, the latter being the preferable method.

Assange's stroke was reported in Britain and Europe, but scantily covered in the United States. This is because the media in this country is nothing less than a mouthpiece for the government. Few care about this truth teller's fate, even less know the details of the case, let alone who Julian Assange is beyond misleading headlines and sensationalistic blurbs coloring him as a dangerous enemy.

I am of the opinion the American people don't care about what really is important. In this case, knowing about the murder of children and journalists in Iraq, war crimes that are at best scantily reported by the corporate-state news manufacturers, usually with utterly flimsy provisos about "bad intelligence" and "accidents."

The facade of "nation building" (actually a program of nation destruction) and facile promises of "democracy" in foreign lands (where natural resources await exploitation) are now believed by less and less people, that is those who care to ponder the vile truth behind multiple invasions, drone strikes, assassinations, illegal detainment, deliberate destruction of civilian infrastructure, and the calculated murder of literally millions of people over the last seventy years.

It's all coming home now. Prior to the political aberration of Donald Trump, the subversive

act of arranging elections usually went off without a hitch despite exhaustive investigations into voting machine fraud, voter impersonation, false registrations, duplicate voting, ballot petition and absentee ballot fraud, and altering the vote count. It is now obvious, though strictly unreported by corporate-state media, that there were multiple and serious irregularities with the 2020 vote that put a crime family dementia patient in office.

For the state, it does not matter what you think about COVID and vaccines, this is merely a showroom performance leading up to what is coming. Surely, people have died, as they do continually from viral infections, but the real "pandemic" will be the surveillance panopticon watching your every move, 24/7.

If you believe this is nothing but a conspiracy theory (thus rendering me a domestic terrorist), take a look at a recent story in the Los Angeles Times, "Who's watching? How governments used the pandemic to normalize surveillance," or "COVID tracing apps 'normalise surveillance' of daily activities," in the Sydney Morning Herald.

COVID provided a near perfect excuse to impose total 1984-style tyranny. Pandemics and other emergencies, real or hyped, declared by globalist organizations, "can be moments where governments roll out new invasive forms of data collection and it just becomes the new normal, because in moments of crisis there's a deeper allowance in terms of public trust and legal authority," assistant professor Ben Green at the Ford School explained. "There's less of a sense of pausing for reflection, because there doesn't feel like there is time when dealing with a global pandemic."

And that's where we are now—trusting a lying, murdering, torturing, and thieving government draping itself in the tattered rag of democracy. While many are debating a third or fourth vaccine to supposedly fight off emerging "variants" (Delta, Omicron, more to come), the government is closing the circle on total surveillance.

"Increased use of surveillance technologies might make states less democratic and more tolerant of China's authoritarianism," writes <u>Muhammad Faizal Abdul Rahman</u>.

This concern is more of a geopolitical construct. An overemphasis of the dangers of China's authoritarianism can overlook how other powerful states have disregarded human rights in the use of technology. Ultimately, the decision to adopt new surveillance technologies needs to a strike a difficult balance between legitimate privacy concerns and guarding public health and the economy... Such considerations point to a future where tech-enabled state surveillance becomes an unstoppable global trend. Covid-19 may be a turning point that causes states to make tougher choices to better prepare for both man-made and biological threats. Public health unpreparedness has already resulted in severe harm to national interests. Keeping people safe and economies functioning is fundamental for a state's political legitimacy.

Truth be told, the ruling elite—an aggregation of the state and the private sector (otherwise known as fascism or corporatism)—are not specifically concerned about the mass of commoners dying from pandemic diseases, that is if they can <u>isolate themselves from the pathogen</u>. More than anything, COVID is a scare tactic designed to enforce conformity and obedience to often absurd government edicts and mandates. A worldwide viral pandemic, with endless variants arising, is likely one of the scariest dangers short of thermonuclear war. During such events, people willingly crawl to the government and beg for protection,

most unaware the state does not have their best wishes in mind.

Top globalist Henry Kissinger allegedly said following the riots in Los Angeles:

Today, America would be outraged if UN troops entered Los Angeles to restore order. Tomorrow they will be grateful! This is especially true if they were told that there was an outside threat from beyond, **whether real or promulgated**, that threatened our very existence. It is then that all people of the world will plead to deliver them from this evil. The one thing every man fears is the unknown. When presented with this scenario, **individual rights will be willingly relinquished** for the guarantee of their **well-being granted to them by the world government.** (Emphasis added.)

Every state, before and since Niccolò Machiavelli, has held a primary interest in retaining power and will often employ extreme measures—including assassination, murder, even genocide—to undermine and neutralize any serious political opposition.

It will also go to extreme lengths to demonize, prosecute, and imprison all who reveal the brutality of the US war machine, a fate suffered by Julian Assange, Chelsea Manning, Reality Winner, and others. If not for sanctuary in Russia, Edward Snowden would be included in this lineup. The government will go to any length to stop the truth about its war crimes coming to light, not that a large percentage of Americans care, distracted by vaccine battles, ideological rifts, and a dazzling array of distracting entertainment.

The future is Chinese-styled authoritarianism. Recall top globalist, the late David Rockefeller, commending Mao for his ruthless rule, killing millions in the process. "The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao's leadership is one of the most important and successful in human history," Rockefeller told the New York Times on August 10, 1970.

How did China acquire the technology to build its censorship machine? It was in large part given to China by the United States. "When Henry Kissinger and David Rockefeller met with Zhou Enlai in China in 1973—just after President Richard Nixon had visited China establishing official relations—an understanding was reached whereby the U.S. would supply industrial capital and know-how to China," notes <u>Dick Eastman</u>.

It doesn't take much effort to uncover the agenda here. First and foremost, the ruling elite of David Rockefeller's ilk abhor the common man and woman. It is not so much "climate change" that is afflicting the planet, but the presence of billions of humans, many considered "useless eaters" (those who do not work to make the rich even richer, are on Medicaid, Social Security, food stamps, welfare, etc.; i.e. the poor and downtrodden, increasing exponentially with the passing of each year). Population control has been at the top of the agenda since at least the 1960s. For more detail on this ongoing agenda, see Prof. Michel Chossudovsky's "Shrink the World's Population": Secret 2009 Meeting of Billionaires "Good Club."

According to the second richest man in the world, Jeff Bezos (net worth, over \$200 billion), the elite and their handpicked minions will live in orbiting cylinders above the earth, while the earth below will become a massive Yellowstone, a sprawling exclusive park for the entitled. Bezos, of course, does not mention what will happen to the poor left on earth. Considering the history of the US government, the Pentagon, CIA, FBI, Justice Department, and an array of intelligence agencies, it is not a stretch to believe something sinister will happen to reduce population, be it war or yet another virus, this one far more dangerous

and deadly than the flu-like COVID and far less dangerous Omicron.

In the society imagined by our "democratic" rulers, you will be given a credit score, similar to the score given to average Chinese citizens, and if you dare disagree or, worse, become a whistleblower like Julian Assange, the result may result in prison, torture, reeducation (with a large dose of Critical Race Theory) or possibly execution, depending how far the next row of governing psychopaths want to take it.

The United States is now dividing along artificially imposed political lines. On one side, deluded and brainwashed "socialists" (who know next to nothing about socialism beyond freebies), and on the other MAGA zombies following a reality TV and crony capitalist who, at the close of his disastrous presidency, pardoned corrupt bankers and a rap star, but not Julian Assange, whom Trump cited favorably numerous times during his campaign. His opponent, the CFR functionary Hillary Clinton, asked why the US couldn't just drone Assange.

"This is the state of the rule of law and due process in the American empire. We do not accuse, we do not ask for explanations, we do not give 'enemies' any opportunity to appear before an unbiased tribunal. We simply take note of the offense and then order that the offender by killed by a missile strike fired from a drone," writes <u>loe Wolverton</u>, <u>II</u>, <u>I.D</u>.

Julian Assange's mistake was to uncover US war crimes, crimes kept secret so as not to tarnish the ersatz benefits of diluted democracy and hide the blood, theft, murder, and monetary manipulation that is transferring money from the poor to the ultra-wealthy who plan to live in space while the rest of us no longer have children and die off from mysterious, previously unknown diseases.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

Kurt Nimmo is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from 21st Century Wire

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Kurt Nimmo</u>, Global Research, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Kurt Nimmo

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca