

# ASEAN's Geopolitical Arrangement Vis-à-vis The Chinese Containment Coalition

Region: Asia, USA

By Andrew Korybko Global Research, March 29, 2015 Oriental Review

The regional grouping of Southeast Asian states has catapulted to the forefront of global economics, <u>becoming</u> one of the fastest growing blocs in the world. Its economies have <u>stabilized</u> after the <u>1997 Asian Financial Crisis</u>, and have since then shown intense resilience by fully <u>rebounding</u> from the 'Great Recession' in 2010, much quicker than most other countries elsewhere in the world (especially in <u>Europe</u>).

#### Due to these factors, **ASEAN has understandably become a focal point of interest** and interaction for all serious global players, hence the value in comprehending its overall trends and geopolitical disposition:

## **Shifting Alignment**

Prodded by the US' Pivot to Asia, ASEAN countries are being pressured by Washington to take sides in its rivalry with Beijing and ideally sign on to the <u>China Containment</u> <u>Coalition</u> (CCC). Complicating matters, however, is that China is the region's <u>largest trade</u> partner, although the US is trying to convince the bloc that the future lies with the its <u>TPP</u>, not the Chinese-led <u>Free Trade Area of the Asia-Pacific</u>. This artificial choice that the US is forcing upon ASEAN runs the risk of <u>splitting the organization</u>, although in Washington's view, a fractured ASEAN partially under its influence is more preferable than a unified one that's pragmatic towards China. Not all of ASEAN's members have committed their strategic trajectory towards one side or the other yet, and even those who already have may possibly flip sometime in the future (e.g. the Philippines or Myanmar), meaning that the shifting geopolitical alignment of the group's associated states is one of its foremost trends.

## **Regional Integration**

Some of the ASEAN member states seem intent on deepening their cooperation past the <u>economic field</u> and into the political and military ones. Pertaining to the former, Vietnam is leading efforts in <u>lobbying the group</u> to form a united front in dealing with China, and officially speaking at least, ASEAN intends to sign a so-called '<u>Code of Conduct</u>' with China sometime in the future. As is its norm, **China prefers to deal with its territorial disputes on a bilateral basis with the specific states involved, shying away from addressing with them in a multilateral framework that could complicate the resolution of each individual issue. However, the ASEAN states involved in these disputes with China feel weakened by this approach, and they may be moving <u>closer</u> together amongst themselves in order to present the united front that Vietnam envisions. The risk is increasingly becoming real that ASEAN may experience an internal split between the maritime/coastal states with territorial disputes against China and those which aren't**  party to the conflict, and the prospects of anti-Chinese military cooperation amongst some of its members make this problem an urgent one

Vietnam and the Philippines have just entered into a <u>strategic partnership</u>, which is only Manila's third, behind the ones it has with the US and Japan, underlining the growing intimacy of ties between the two anti-Chinese states. *The expectation is that both states will intensify their military coordination and* **I***form the basis of a Southeast Asian 'NATO' designed to counter China*. It's unclear at this point whether any other ASEAN members will sign up for the CCC, but it's a certainty that Japan, and perhaps even India and Australia, will get in on the action. Anyhow, Vietnam and the Philippines'growing antagonism towards China is leading to the de-facto integration of their South China Sea strategy towards Beijing (via American 'Lead From Behind' guidance per the Pivot to Asia) at the expense of ASEAN's unity. It's not forecasted that the organization will disband over this or even come close to such a scenario owing to its economic and good-neighborly roots (neither of the ASEAN states have any serious disagreements amongst themselves, except perhaps for Thailand and Cambodia), but such actions certainly handicap its further peaceful integration with all members and could perhaps prematurely stunt this development in general.

## **Global Interest**

ASEAN's importance has attracted the interest of the most serious global players of the 21<sup>st</sup>century. Here's a look at who's involved and for what ends:

## The US:

Washington has been pursuing its Pivot to Asia over the past couple of years, in what is an obvious move to counter growing Chinese influence right in Beijing's backyard. The US wants to provoke the region against China, with the aim of then crystallizing that resentment into tangible anti-Chinese policies like the CCC and the TPP. While it would love to have a unified, pro-American, anti-Chinese ASEAN under its wing, the US will eagerly split the grouping if needed (which is currently ongoing, as described above) should it become too pragmatic in its policies towards Beijing. **The South China Sea is the anvil on which the American hammer wants to smash ASEAN into two pieces.** 

Russia:

Moscow's presence in ASEAN is mostly centered on its <u>full-spectrum relationship with Hanoi</u>, which includes military, economic, and energy components. **Russia is also close to Thailand, and its citizens contribute so significantly to its tourist and real estate sectors that both are expecting a <u>notable decline</u> this year due to the ruble's <b>slump.** Moscow and Bangkok could even<u>reboot their relations</u> during Prime Minister Medvedev's visit next month, which considering the<u>expanding trade ties</u> between the two (including in the <u>agricultural sector</u>), might likely happen.

Such a development wouldn't be unexpected, however, since *Thailand's new government has earned the <u>consternation</u> of American patron due to its so-called 'undemocratic' nature, and it's understandable why it would want to thumb its nose at the West by working with its prime rival, Russia. Besides, the world is entering a period of multipolarity, and states are diversifying their partnerships in order to be as flexible as possible in the changing situation. Thailand, under its current government, is <u>no exception</u>.* 

×

China:

Beijing's interactions with its southern neighbors are motivated by the need to retain stability in the region and encourage friendly ties between all parties, as is signified by the <u>Maritime Silk Road</u>, Free Trade Area of the Asia-Pacific, and <u>Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank</u> proposals. The South China Sea dispute is an impediment to this, but aside from <u>historical claims</u>, China has strategic reasons for pushing its <u>nine-dash line claim</u> and not backing down. **The essence of China's concerns doesn't relate so much to <u>energy considerations</u> as it does to military ones.** 

If Vietnam or the Philippines (the two most anti-China states in the region) were to ever be successful in gaining a significant foothold in the area, they could potentially outfit the islands/rocks with naval bases (even if they need to artificially expand their holdings through dredging) that could then be used to not only threaten China's <u>vulnerable economic lifeline</u> on the seas, but to possibly even host US naval units (either permanently, temporarily, or 'in rotation') that could acquire forward-operating advantages vis-à-vis China. It is this latter scenario that scares Chinese decision makers the most, hence why Beijing took the initiative to more authoritatively defend its claims right around the time the US announced its Asia Pivot.

# Out-Of-Region CCC Members:

This category includes the aspiring Asian powers of India and Japan that are suspected of wanting to physically involve their militaries in the South China Sea in order to contain China and clinch profitable partnerships with its neighbors, especially the anti-Chinese ones like Vietnam and the Philippines. Australia can also be included in this mix through its hosting of 1000 US Marines in Darwin and its recently decided-upon training of the Vietnamese military, although its strong dependence on the Chinese economy constraints its overall CCC behavior. Returning the focus to India and Japan, both nations' navies have trained together under the US' aegis three times since 2007, warming their military relations out of a perceived fear of China. The US' new National Security Strategy also envisions India playing a greater role in China's southern backyard, so considering the official American alliance with Japan, it's foreseeable that Washington will continue to play kingmaker in bringing the two together to confront China along its southern periphery.

# **Concluding Thoughts**

The situation surrounding the ASEAN states is a lot more complex than one may originally think, and it's apparent that the group isn't as unified as it may seem upon first glance. While some of its members are actively confronting China, others are actively cooperating with it, and the US and its out-of-region Lead From Behind partners of India and Japan are exploiting this rift to their own geopolitical advantage. **In a 'perfect world', the US would love to fully contain China and neuter its future capabilities, opening it up to internal fragmentation and a Color Revolution, but Beijing will never let this happen without a fight.** Being the asymmetrical masterminds that they are, the country's decision makers are currently pushing back in mainland ASEAN, using Laos' position as the regional pivot to promote a grand strategy of preemptively breaking out of the US' intended noose and collapsing the foundation of its containment.

The subsequent article will thus address the geopivotal role of Laos in mainland ASEAN affairs and focus on how it perfectly fits into China's proactive containment-shattering strategy.

**Andrew Korybko** is the political analyst and journalist for <u>Sputnik</u> who currently lives and studies in Moscow, exclusively for ORIENTAL REVIEW.

The original source of this article is <u>Oriental Review</u> Copyright © <u>Andrew Korybko</u>, <u>Oriental Review</u>, 2015

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

#### **Become a Member of Global Research**

#### Articles by: Andrew Korybko

# **About the author:**

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <u>publications@globalresearch.ca</u>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca