

As Trump Unfurls Infrastructure Plan, Iowa Bill Seeks to Criminalize Pipeline Protests

By [Steve Horn](#)

Global Research, February 01, 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Environment](#), [Law and Justice](#),
[Police State & Civil Rights](#)

Featured image: [People opposing the Dakota Access pipeline gather at Standing Rock in North Dakota, December 4, 2016.](#) (Source: [Joe Brusky, CC BY-NC 2.0](#))

The Iowa Senate has advanced a bill which critics say could lead to the criminalization of pipeline protests, which are being cast as “terrorist activities.” [Dakota Access pipeline](#) owner [Energy Transfer Partners](#) and other companies have lobbied for the bill, [Senate Study Bill 3062](#), which opens up the possibility of prison time and a hefty fine for those who commit “sabotage” of critical infrastructure, such as oil and gas pipelines.

This bill, carrying a criminal punishment of up to 25 years in prison and \$100,000 in fines, resembles the [Critical Infrastructure Protection Act](#), a “model” bill recently passed by the [American Legislative Exchange Council \(ALEC\)](#). That ALEC bill, intended as a template for state and federal legislation, was based on [Oklahoma’s HB 1123](#), which calls for citizens to receive a felony sentencing, \$100,000 fine, and/or 10 years in prison if their actions “willfully damage, destroy, vandalize, deface, or tamper with equipment in a critical infrastructure facility.”

According to [disclosure records](#), corporations lobbying for the Iowa bill include not only Energy Transfer Partners, but also [Koch Industries](#), the [American Petroleum Institute](#), Valero Energy, Magellan Midstream, and others. The Iowa State Police Association has also come out in support of the bill, while the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Iowa is against it. The bill has passed out of subcommittee and next goes in front of the state Senate Judiciary Committee.

The bill’s introduction comes as **President Donald Trump** called for Congress to [pass a \\$1.5 trillion infrastructure bill](#) in his State of the Union Address, which according to a [leaked outline](#) of his proposal [published by The Washington Post](#), includes pipelines and would expedite the federal regulatory permitting process for them, largely by simply removing environmental requirements.

State **Sen. Jack Shipley** (R), one of the Judiciary Subcommittee “yes” votes, told the Des Moines Register that the bill was necessary “as evidenced by terrorist activities on pipelines, many many pipelines.”

Sen. Charles Schneider, who also voted to advance the bill out of subcommittee, is one of two Iowa [ALEC state chairs](#). The other “yes” vote came from **Sen. Rich Taylor**, a Democrat.

ALEC is a [corporate-funded group](#) which brings together primarily Republican Party state

legislators and lobbyists at annual meetings to vote on proposed “model” legislation, generally drafted by corporate lobbyists and attorneys. The Critical Infrastructure Protection Act [passed](#) through ALEC’s [Energy, Environment, and Agriculture Task Force](#) at its States & Nation Policy Summit in December held in Nashville, Tennessee.

Energy Transfer Partners’ Iowa lobbyist, [Jeff Boeyink](#), formerly served as chief of staff for Iowa **Governor [Terry Branstad](#)**, who now serves as U.S. Ambassador to China. Branstad was one of ALEC’s key [founding members](#) in the 1970s. Energy Transfer in the past has [funded ALEC meetings](#), though it is not clear if they are a current donor, as ALEC does not list funders on its website, nor does it make public who sponsors its meetings.

Boeyink told the Des Moines Register that he believes Energy Transfer Partners is the “poster child” showing the bill’s necessity, alluding to the months-long protests which erupted against the Dakota Access pipeline in both North Dakota and Iowa. But one of the leading opponents of the bill, Bold Iowa, has come out against the legislation and sees it as overreach.

“This latest attempt by Big Oil to silence dissent is no surprise,” **Ed Fallon**, director of Bold Iowa, said in a press release about the bill. “This is legislative extremism at its worst. The bill’s backers want you to believe this is about cracking down on arson and vandalism. But the hundreds of pipeline protesters who were peaceful, nonviolent and didn’t engage in property destruction could be accused of interrupting service under this bill and subject to insane consequences.”

The director of ALEC’s Energy, Environment, and Agriculture Task Force, [Grant Kidwell](#), told DeSmog that he expects the model bill could be introduced in other states in the weeks ahead. He also pointed out that it is not only an ALEC model bill, but also one [passed](#) at the [corporate-funded](#) Council on State Governments (CSG).

Before coming to ALEC, Kidwell worked as a senior policy analyst for [Americans for Prosperity](#), the lobbying, advocacy, and electioneering group funded and founded by money from the [Koch Family Foundations](#) and [Koch Industries](#).

“States are recognizing the importance of critical infrastructure and the threats to it,” Kidwell told DeSmog. “Oklahoma enacted legislation in 2017 protecting critical infrastructure before ALEC began its consideration of model policy on the issue. Iowa is currently considering legislation to protect critical infrastructure and likely many more states will as well.”

Members of the Iowa-based lobbying teams for the bill, representing Koch Industries and Energy Transfer Partners, did not respond to a request for comment.

*

This article was originally published by [DeSmogBlog](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Steve Horn](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Steve Horn](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca