

As CO2 Levels Soar Past ‘Troubling’ 410 ppm Threshold, Trump Kills NASA Carbon Monitoring Program

What worries one scientist most is that humanity is "continuing full speed ahead with an unprecedented experiment with our planet, the only home we have."

By [Jessica Corbett](#)

Global Research, May 13, 2018

[Common Dreams](#) 11 May 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Environment](#)

Featured image: An animation shows how carbon dioxide moves around the planet. (Photo: NASA/YouTube)

As the Trump administration charges forward with its war on science by canceling a "[crucial](#)" carbon monitoring system at NASA, scientists and climate experts are sounding alarms over atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO2) that just surpassed a "[troubling](#)" threshold for the first time in human history.

"The reading from the Mauna Loa Observatory in Hawaii finds that concentrations of the climate-warming gas averaged above 410 parts per million [ppm] throughout April," [Chris Mooney](#) wrote for the Washington Post. "The first time readings crossed 410 at all occurred on April 18, 2017, or just about a year ago."

Atmospheric CO2 set a new record last month: 410PPM
pic.twitter.com/eZCakkHnEP

— Climate Central (@ClimateCentral) [May 4, 2018](#)

While the planet's concentrations of carbon dioxide fluctuated between roughly 200 ppm and 280 ppm for hundreds of thousands of centuries, as the NASA [chart](#) below details, CO2 concentrations have soared since the start industrial revolution—and, without urgent global efforts to significantly alter human activities that produce greenhouse gas emissions, show no sign of letting up.



"As a scientist, what concerns me the most is not that we have passed yet another round-number threshold but what this continued rise actually means: that we are continuing full speed ahead with an unprecedented experiment with our planet, the only home we have," [Katharine Hayhoe](#), a climate scientist at Texas Tech University, told Mooney.

While CO2 levels have passed 400 ppm in the Earth's history, "it has been a long time. And scientists are concerned that the rate of change now is far faster than what Earth has previously been used to," as Mooney explained:

In the mid-Pliocene warm period more than 3 million years ago, they were also around 400 parts per million—but Earth's sea level is known to have been 66 feet or more higher, and the planet was still warmer than now.

As a recent federal climate science report (coauthored by Hayhoe) noted, the 400 parts per million carbon dioxide level in the Pliocene "was sustained over long periods of time, whereas today the global CO2 concentration is increasing rapidly." In other words, Earth's movement toward Pliocene-like conditions may play out in the decades and centuries ahead of us.

As climate scientists continue to [warn](#) about the global consequences of rising levels of CO2 and other greenhouse gases—such as more intense and frequent [extreme weather](#) events—the Trump administration has pursued a multi-pronged anti-science agenda that includes [rolling back](#) regulations that aim to limit emissions and blocking future research.

On the eve the planet crossed the highest measured CO2 levels, 410 ppm, NASA quietly cancels carbon monitoring research program <https://t.co/SK24fBzge2>

— Peter de Menocal (@PdeMenocal) [May 10, 2018](#)

News of the record-high levels of atmospheric carbon came as *Science* [reported](#) that the Trump administration "quietly killed" NASA's \$10-million-a-year Carbon Monitoring System (CMS), which "has helped stitch together observations of sources and sinks into high-resolution models of the planet's flows of carbon"—because, as 350.org co-founder **Bill McKibben** remarked sarcastically, "what you can't see can't cook you."

Trump kills crucial NASA work to measure carbon and methane. Because what you can't see can't cook you. <https://t.co/aZedBKmRFI>

— Bill McKibben (@billmckibben) [May 10, 2018](#)

Citing a NASA spokesman, *Science* explained:

"The White House has mounted a broad attack on climate science, repeatedly proposing cuts to NASA's earth science budget, including the CMS, and cancellations of climate missions such as the Orbiting Carbon Observatory 3 (OCO-3). Although Congress fended off the budget and mission cuts, a spending deal signed in March made no mention of the CMS. That allowed the administration's move to take effect."

Canceling CMS likely has global ramifications, **Kelly Sims Gallagher**, director of Tufts University's Center for International Environment and Resource Policy, pointed out, because

the system monitors the Earth’s CO2 levels as nations that have signed on to the [Paris climate agreement](#)—from which Trump [plans to withdraw](#)—pursue policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

“If you cannot measure emissions reductions, you cannot be confident that countries are adhering to the agreement,” Gallagher said, calling the decision to kill the system “a grave mistake.”

*

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 License

The original source of this article is [Common Dreams](#)
Copyright © [Jessica Corbett](#), [Common Dreams](#), 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jessica Corbett](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca