

Are Attorney General Holder's Statements on Banks and Drones Connected? How Far Will the Government Go to Defend the Too Big to Fail Banks?

By [Washington's Blog](#)

Global Research, March 07, 2013

[Washington's Blog](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

The Attorney General of the United States made the following 2 statements within 48 hours:

- [The president can assassinate American citizens on U.S. soil](#)
- [The big banks shouldn't be prosecuted, as that would destabilize the economy](#)

These statements may - at first glance - seem unconnected. And the mainstream media is treating them as separate.

True, the government is hell-bent on [keeping the giant banks afloat](#), even though virtually all independent [economists, financial experts and bankers](#) are calling for them to be broken up, and Americans [overwhelmingly want the government to get tougher on prosecuting Wall Street fraud](#).

But there might be more to it than that ... and Holder's statements may be intimately connected.

For example, the Department of Homeland Security, FBI, and other government agencies worked hand-in-hand with the big banks to [violently crack down on the Occupy protests](#).

And what was Occupy protesting? One of the core complaints of the Occupy protesters was that there are [two systems of justice](#): the little guy gets thrown in jail for the smallest infraction, while banksters escape prosecution for *their* criminal fraud. (Occupy also protested the fact that that [the big banks got bailed out, while the rest of us got sold out](#). And see [this](#).)

In other words, it is exactly the Department of Justice's policy of not prosecuting big bank crimes which was one of Occupy's core complaints ... and - in response - the federal government sent in the goons to crack heads and trash the free speech rights of the protesters.

This is not an isolated incident.

The big banks literally [own the politicians](#).

For many years, the government has used anti-terror laws *mainly* to [crush political](#)

[dissent](#) and to [help the too big to fail businesses](#).

[Asking questions about Wall Street shenanigans, speaking out against government policies, and protesting anything](#) are all considered grounds for being labeled a “potential terrorist” by the government. Whistleblowers are also [being treated as terrorists](#).

Indeed, the government agency with the power to determine who gets assassinated is the [same agency that is at the center of the “ubiquitous, unaccountable surveillance state aimed at American citizens.”](#)

If this sounds like breathless fearmongering, please remember that the U.S. military now [considers the American homeland to be a “battle zone”](#) (and [see this](#)).

And the banking system is [considered “critical infrastructure”](#) by the Department of Homeland security.

Another Connection Between Big Banks and Drones

There is another connection between big banks and drones.

The big banks have a direct role in [encouraging](#) and [financing war](#). And [see this](#).

And Ron Paul [noted](#) in 2007:

Congress and the Federal Reserve Bank have a cozy, unspoken arrangement that makes war easier to finance. Congress has an insatiable appetite for new spending, but raising taxes is politically unpopular. The Federal Reserve, however, is happy to accommodate deficit spending by creating new money through the Treasury Department. In exchange, Congress leaves the Fed alone to operate free of pesky oversight and free of political scrutiny.

The big banks [own the Federal Reserve](#).

Indeed, [some say](#) that all wars are really bankster wars.

The original source of this article is [Washington's Blog](#)
Copyright © [Washington's Blog](#), [Washington's Blog](#), 2013

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Washington's
Blog](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca