

Appointment of Hawkish John Bolton Points to "Continuity in US Foreign Policy": Dulles Brothers, Brzezinski, Kissinger...

Mere Hirelings of Powerful Investors in WMD

By Jay Janson

Global Research, April 06, 2018

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: History, Media Disinformation,

Militarization and WMD

How preposterous! Peace Prize Laureate former **Pres. Jimmy Carter** publicly warns Americans (and maybe the world), "I think John Bolton is a disaster for our country," "Maybe one of the worst mistakes that President Trump has made ... is the appointment of John Bolton.... a "war-like figure, been advocating a war with North Korea for a long time and even promoting an attack on Iran, and who was one of the leading figures in orchestrating the decision to invade Iraq."

Well, let's see. Yes, what Carter said about **John Bolton** is absolutely true, however Carter's warning just reinforces the **continual focus the CIA fed criminal mainstream media constantly puts on government officials to the detriment of public understanding that it is the insane investors in war whose investment interests these war provoking government officials represent.** Powerful investors in high tech weapons of mass destruction and ever more sophisticated delivery systems forced the appointments of their willing hatchet-men Bolton, Brzezinski, and Kissinger in the first place.

Carter Appointed the Devil Himself

Given the horrific history of Carter's own Presidential Advisor and Carter's silence about it, his warning against the John Bolton appointment seems hypocritical to say the least. **Jimmy Carter** once elected president, to some important degree for the financial efforts of infamous war profiteer **David Rockefeller**, appointed Rockefeller's right hand associate, **Zbigniew Brzezinski**, as Presidential Advisor, who, in 1979, 'advised' Carter to order a CIA operation with allied secret services of both the US backed governments of Pakistan and Saudi Arabia to arm, fund and train a hillbilly warlord Islamic terrorist army in Afghanistan to overthrown the then very popular new women liberating socialist government in Kabul. These warlords had already been ordering executions of teachers sent out to teach girl students. How did peaceful Carter feel presenting Brzezinski with the Presidential Medal of Freedom two years later. (In 1998, Brzezinski would brag openly in a French magazine interview that it was his intention to scare the Soviet Union into sending in its military help to its neighbor nation, and become entrapped.) [Interview with Zbigniew Brzezinski, Le Nouvel Observateur (France), Jan 15-21, 1998, p. 76, translated from the original French by William Blum]

Brzezinski called call for a holy war of Islam against the Soviets and the Kabul government and invite Muslim fighters into Afghanistan from everywhere and especially from Saudi

Arabia, an invite which would include the CIA funding Osama bin Ladin's participation.

After the Soviet military withdrawal and the terrorist defeat of the Kabul socialist government two years later, the most brutally savage second civil war between the American backed warlords brought even greater destruction and utter social disintegration until the restoration of peace by the arise of the Taliban ("Students" in Arabic), which founded the Islamic State of Afghanistan. In 2001, after the 9/11 attack suicide attack by Saudi Arabians in New York and Washington, came the US invasion of Afghanistan, the murderous overthrow of its government, and seventeen years of occupation war by a coalition that has included every single nation of Caucasian population in the world.

Now back to the point of the title of this tract. Was it Brzezinski's idea or his boss, David Rockefeller's idea to 'advise' President Carter to commit the crime of attacking the government of a friendly nation, and did Carter have the option of saying 'no, I will not commit the crime you are advising me to commit?' We can't ask Brzezinski, he died in 2017.

Are National Security Advisors Advised Crimes by Otherwise Peaceful Presidents Committed Consensually?

Though the cat is long out of the bag, Peace Prized Jimmy Carter, originator of thirty-nine years of death and destruction in Afghanistan, has kept **an unforgivable silence.** Does Jimmy really want to keep silent, when speaking out could help bring an end to the continual loss of lives. Or must Jimmy keep silent in the same way he felt he had to commit the crime, even though a President is thought to be in charge of his own conscience as well as the whole nation?



South Korean troops clampdown on citizens during the Kwangju Uprising, May 1980 (Source: iapss)

Ordering a secret attack on a friendly and smaller nation of people was surely not Carter's own personal wish, as probably neither were the other crimes he signed his name to. Can one imagine peace loving Jimmy Carter himself wanting to give the go ahead for the Kwangiu Massacre of the student led popular uprising against military rule of **General Chun Doo Hwan Chun** in Korea? Chun was later was tried and condemned to death (Of course Carter wasn't condemned to death – Carter got the Nobel Peace Prize). Or do we think it was

by his own free will that Carter facilitated the weaponry used in the brutal Indonesian government's exterminating war on the Timorese? Do we see Carter by his own free will answering the Vietnamese requesting the two billion in reparations promised them in the negative with the cruel words, "The destruction was mutual." Carter can be his own 'nice guy' self now that he is not in office only up to the point of not making waves for the ruling investors. Would an any ex-US president be free to confess regret for crimes the Deep State had made him commit in the name of all Americans while in office?

'A Financial Element in the Centers of Power has Owned the Government Since the Early 1830s,' FDR in 1932

Like the magician who keeps his audience's attention on one hand, while his other hand prepares the magical deception, USA's criminal media cartel keeps viewer, listener and reader attention focused on US presidents, their appointees, the whole corruption that is Congress and the courts of the Justice department, while nearly continuous genocidal illegal military action keeps going forward at the nod of USA's real rulers, namely, that 'financial element in the centers of power, [which] has owned the government since the days of Andrew Jackson' to quote the last wealthy aristocrat insider American President, Franklin Roosevelt. ["The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the larger centers has owned the Government ever since the days of Andrew Jackson."Letter to Col. Edward House (21 November 1933); as quoted in F.D.R.: His Personal Letters, 1928-1945, edited by Elliott Roosevelt (New York: Duell, Sloan and Pearce, 1950), pg. 373]

Ike's Conscience Bitten Last Words Warn of the Military Industrial Complex He Served and Made \$ For

Perhaps the best example of a president being ruled by his appointees representing what some are now calling the 'Deep State' (but up to now without reference to FDR's "financial element"), is President Eisenhower. This likable 'Ike,' out of character ordered the bloody overthrow of democratically elected popular governments of Iran, Guatemala and Congo; ordered the brutal bombing of little Laos; blocked an already agreed upon all-Vietnam election because everyone knew hero Ho Chi Minh would win; ordered a CIA prepared invasion of Cuba and threatened North Korea with nuclear destruction (the real Ike had earlier denounced the A-bombing of Japanese cities as unnecessary and unwarranted). But in the last hour of his eight years in office, the perhaps conscience bitten real Eisenhower warned the nation and world of the military industrial complex he himself had collaborated with in giving criminal orders, very often committing genocidal crimes.

Were Ike's genocidal decisions thought through, or was he convinced and conned by the notorious Dulles brothers, John Foster his Secretary of State and Allan, heading up the newly founded CIA, an insidiously criminal secret government agency war profiteer David Rockefeller nurtured?

David Rockefeller



David Rockefeller

David Rockefeller, who died recently at age 102, for seven decades, the most powerful leader among investors in profitable but illegal warfare, best represented what is being called the 'Deep State.' Tale-telling photos come to mind. David Rockefeller with Saddam Hussain, David Rockefeller with Gen. Jorge Videla just before his bloody coup in Argentina, David Rockefeller with his arm around the shoulders of a young Senator Obama. During the presidencies of Eisenhower, Kennedy, Johnson, Nixon, Ford, Carter, Reagan, Bush, Clinton, Bush Jr. and Obama, three corrupt confidents of one evil mastermind had been the major long term managers of a US massively genocidal foreign policy of invasions, occupations, undeclared wars, bombings, military threats, CIA led violent overthrows and assassinations throughout the third world. [see <u>Demonic David Rockefeller Fiends Dulles Kissinger Brzezinski - Investor Wars Korea thru Syria</u> 17 August, 2012, OpEdNews]

A Dangerous Hawk is Media Made

Back again to John Bolton representing investors in WMD. At the moment, Bolton is not a heavily connected person of influence like David Rockefeller's men, the Dulles brothers, Brzezinski and Kissinger were. If criminal mainstream media did not treat him seriously, Bolton would be seen as a silly man yelling Wolf into the wind. But for argument's sake, and because he has wars-supporting-criminal-media behind him, let's assume for the moment that Bolton will be blown up to serious hawk stature. Then we might ask, was Bolton Trump's own choice or a 'choice' mandated by the investors in WMD and war?

This is all to question whether President Trump knew it would be safer for him to appoint the most hawkish representative of the powers that be, loud mouth John Bolton, or whether it is a ploy for him to have a hawk as National Security Advisor to throw the Deep State off and give him the chance to do his own thing in the opposite direction of the military conflicts that are desired by the most powerful on Wall Street.

Your author has always suggested that Trump has some serious backing on Wall Street from investors whose portfolios are not weighted in the manufacture of WMD and high tech delivery systems, investors who see it in their long range interests to forego the immense profits from cheap overseas' labor, turn the ship around and invest in the USA before they becomes swamped economically by the rise of China and soon after by the economic rise of the massively populated nations of the Southern hemisphere. However, it seems for sure that the more substantial amount of powerful investors ruling the US and the world have their investments so heavily weighted in the super lucrative military industrial complex, that they must desperately count on the West's overkill military dominance to assure Western control of the world far into the foreseeable future. A glance at our vastly over militarized

world, continuing massively destructive wars and steady impoverishment of half of mankind would seem to be sufficient to assume the primacy of investments in the profitable but criminal use of Armed Forces and CIA.

It seems likely that Trump feels forced to act, in part, according to the demands of the military industrial complex establishment, which was supposed to have been captained by Hillary Clinton, butcher of the Middle East regime change invasions, bombings and false flag incidents to promote them. So are all Trumps theatrics meant to keep the situation fluid enough for two factions on Wall Street? And how serious does Trump take the threat of assassination which was openly discussed in mainstream criminal media, amazingly immediately after his election with stories of Trump keeping his own body guards, etc. Once elected, Trump has been careful not to criticize past US foreign policy, and a year after his election, met with Deep State representatives **Condoleeza Rice**, who was Secretary of State during the Iraq genocide and recently, the evil genius of genocide, **Henry Kissinger**.

When Only a Candidate, Trump Was Able to be his own man

Wars promoting CIA fed mainstream media has done its best to make sure few Americans remember that Trump, since early in the election campaign, had been maintaining, among other things, that the US should seek friendship with China and Russia; that he likes Xi Jinping and Putin, (America's perennial and sacrosanct mortal enemies!) and that he would probably get along fine with them, that NATO is obsolete; that the five trillion dollars spent on wars for regime change in the Middle East should have been spent in the US rebuilding its infrastructure; Trump had called two term fellow Republican President, George Bush, a liar for having lied about Iraq having weapons of mass destruction and has voiced suspicion about the 9/11 attack that happened so easily on Bush's watch. Trump had disputed hailing former presidential candidate Senator John McCain, 2008 Republican presidential candidate, a hero for having been shot down while bombing Vietnam. Trump had asked, "why must the United States lead the world everywhere on the globe and play the role of the world's policeman, now for example in Ukraine? " Trump had asked, "why does the United States always pursue regime changes — Iraq, Libya, Ukraine, and now it wants a regime change in Syria, Damascus, when the result is disaster." He had stated that his opponent "brought death and disaster" outside the US.

At a campaign rally Trump had cried out, "Obama is the founder of ISIS! The founder! Crooked Hillary is the co-founder!" (British tabloids headlines already four years earlier had claimed that USA was behind the Islamic terrorists entering Syria – and in 1915, the Guardian, UK, headlined see "Now the truth emerges: how the US fueled the rise of Isis in Syria and Iraq". Where would ISIS have otherwise have gotten that constant supply of brand new white Toyota trucks and an endless supply of heavy weapons and ammunition?

Most importantly, Trump had condemned and still ridicules eighty percent of US media's reporters and commentators as pathetic liars, which comes close to the what is in reality that one hundred percent who are willing to preposterously describe America's running crimes against humanity as heroic deeds in defense of American freedom. It seems the abomination that is the US criminal cartel of giant entertainment news and information corporations has indeed managed to have its captive audience, even most critical independent journalists, 'forget' what Trump said during the 2016 election campaign.

The height of Trump's 'dangerous unpredictability' saw Trump defending his respect of President Putin. When challenged by his interviewer Bill O'Reily on his Feb. 5, 2017 show, "But Putin is a killer!" Trump answered, "There are a lot of killers. You think our country's so innocent?" Trump later added, "I was against the invasion of Iraq – a lot of people were killed." "He's running his country and at least he's a leader, unlike what we have in this country," In December of 2015, Trump had told MSNBC's 'Morning Joe,' "I think our country does plenty of killing also, Joe, so you know. There's a lot of stupidity going on in the world right now, a lot of killing, a lot of stupidity."

During the campaigning, media just ignored what candidate Trump was saying about peace with Russia and China and concentrated on keeping the public's attention on sex scandals and Trump's personal brash behavior. Policy makers within the Deep State must have been concerned that if this maverick candidate for the presidency didn't shut up, the public might begin to suspect that all the regime change death and destruction starting with Korea and Vietnam was wrong.

This week, Trump appointed hawkish John Bolton National Security Advisor, called Putin to congratulate him on his reelection, and following NATO's example, kicked out 60 Russian diplomats.

How to Distinguish Between When the Person Is Acting on His or Her own and When the Person Is Acting As a Representative of WMD Investments:

Plausible answer: Peace instead of conflict between Russia and China is intelligent, so that must have been the real Trump talking. Conflict with Iran, North Korea, Cuba and Venezuela is unintelligent, so that must be the acquiescent-to-malevolent-power Trump talking. Attacking Iran to protect himself from the Israelis, Cuba to keep the Florida vote, Venezuela for US business, and North Korea for the CIA and Pentagon.

Criminal media TV commentators and interviewers all keep harping on about a crazy, unstable, unpredictable Trump. But everyone should know that media's job is to keep the public focused on the president and everything around him, so no one asks if there isn't something at the bottom of it all. Remember what the most decorated ever Marine General Smedley Buttler wrote in his book **War Is A Racket**, "A racket is best described, I believe, as something that is not what it seems to the majority of the people. **Only a small** "inside" group knows what it is about. It is conducted for the benefit of the very few, at the expense of the very many. Out of war a few people make huge fortunes."

Why was there a First World War, at a time when the European empires were enjoying a bonanza of incoming wealth plundered from Asia, Africa and the Americas? A more than plausible answer is: the investment in weaponry and munitions became so consummate, so overwhelmingly intense, that investor control of the press dictated the war that would bring a handsome return on these monstrous investments – for example, profits to wealthy Nobel of Nobel Prizes, the inventor of dynamite. In 1934, the US Senate's Nye Committee investigative report found that the US was pushed into war for the benefit of huge corporate earnings. The press even managed to rile up socialist majority legislators in Germany and France to vote for war against each other. The public at large seemed caught up in the fascinating technical progress of the time, machine guns able to fire through a propeller, monstrous tank vehicles, ever larger cannons and so on. How many people watching the European empires' arms race worried that the weapons would be so massively used?

In the 1930s, the world watched America's corporations invest in, and joint venture with, a prostate Nazi Germany to bring Hitler's Wehrmacht up to the strongest military in the world and able to destroy the socialist Soviet Union.[see <u>27 Million Died in Russia Because Wall Street Built Up Hitler's Wehrmacht to Knock Out Soviet Union</u>, OpEdNews, 8/11/2017] Best investment ever made, the Second World War made Wall Street owned USA the wealthiest of nations and the single world superpower. Investor controlled media had long backed Fascism against Communism before selling the war as a good war against Fascism. (FDR seems to have been forced to mostly stand by and watch, as his friends and business cronies wildly invested in and joint ventured with the Nazis.) [see Anthony Sutton, <u>Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler</u>, 1976, 13 editions]

Right now, why is Deep State having its CIA managed cartel of entertainment/information/news conglomerates prime the public to hate Russians, if not to soon win a return on investments in WMD and perhaps more importantly, to avoid a loss of economic and military hegemony in an eventual multi-polar world in which the Chinese will have the most economic power and thus most influence in world affairs. Perhaps even more ominous for the Anglo-American West, is the economic rise of the whole populous Southern hemisphere.



Zbigniew Brzezinski

Your archival research peoples historian, writing with permission to use **Attorney Ramsey Clark**'s name in support if this tract ends with two reminders of the precariousness of our present situation of helplessly watching the nuclear weapons race, the TV watching majority engrossed in listening in fascination to prattle of the hirelings of our real rulers, the insane and unhinged investors in WMD and war. The citizens of the world united can stop this insane expenditure, with genocide in mind.

- 1. On November 9, 1979, Presidential Advisor Brzezinski was woken at 3 am by a phone call with a startling message: The Soviets had just launched 250 nuclear weapons at the United States. Minutes later, Brzezinski received another call: The early-warning system actually showed 2,000 missiles heading toward the United States. As Brzezinski prepared to phone President Jimmy Carter to plan a full-scale response, he received a third call: It was a false alarm. An early warning training tape generating indications of a large-scale Soviet nuclear attack had somehow transferred to the actual early warning network, which triggered an all-too-real scramble.["The 3 A.M. Phone Call". National Security Archive]
- 2. The greatest mind of the past century warned humankind in 1950, well before the CIA had completed its Operation Mockingbird that oversees, feeds and tightly controls the gigantic news and entertainment media corporations that produce an estimated 98% of all sources of information available to the American public. Einstein described why our civilization continues to be "like

an axe in the had of the pathological criminal," [*] "Under existing conditions, private capitalists inevitably control, directly or indirectly, the main sources of information (press, radio, education). It is thus extremely difficult, and indeed in most cases quite impossible, for the individual citizen to come to objective conclusions and to make intelligent use of his political rights." — [Albert Einstein, Essays in Humanism]

*

In a Dec 1917 letter to Zangger, Einstein wrote

"How is it at all possible that this culture-loving era could be so monstrously amoral? More and more I come to value charity and love of one's fellow being above everything else. ... All our lauded technological progress—our very civilization—is like the axe in the hand of the pathological criminal."

*

Jay Janson is an archival research peoples historian activist, musician and writer; has lived and worked on all continents; articles on media published in China, Italy, UK, India and in the US by Dissident Voice, Global Research; Information Clearing House; Counter Currents and others; now resides in NYC.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Jay Janson, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Jay Janson

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca