

Anthrax False Flag Redux?

By [Kurt Nimmo](#)

Global Research, October 03, 2018

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Media Disinformation](#),
[Military and WMD](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [FAKE INTELLIGENCE, IRAQ REPORT](#)

It was reported this morning (October 2) the Pentagon mail facility has received at least two packages containing the deadly poison ricin.

Reminiscent of the 2001 Anthrax Attacks? (see below)

A piece of mail delivered to the Pentagon mail facility has initially tested positive for ricin, according to two US defense officials.
<https://t.co/xunCU5byRO> pic.twitter.com/oS1DbXBez6

— CNN (@CNN) [October 2, 2018](#)

Immediately after the anthrax attacks in 2001, Bush neocons put pressure on FBI **Director Robert Mueller** to prove the mysterious attack was the work of al-Qaeda, a fantasy on par with Saddam's WMDs. This story—the essence of fake news—left out something important: it takes complex equipment to prepare anthrax spores for weaponization and it was highly unlikely if not impossible for **Osama bin Laden** and al-Qaeda to produce the substance in a remote Afghan cave.

Both Bush and Cheney made the claim and the Wall Street Journal published an article linking the attack to Osama bin Laden and Iraq. Reports by ABC News followed after the late **John McCain** insisted the anthrax attack was the work of Iraq. McCain, celebrated as a true American hero after his death, was in cahoots with the Bush neocons to get a war going in Iraq.

As [#Ricin](#) found at [#Pentagon](#), a reminder of when investigators were under intense pressure from GOP to blame Islamic terror for Anthrax, & instead they followed facts until the likely culprit turned out to be an American scientist.

Head of investigation was Robert Mueller, btw. pic.twitter.com/pfNgVnfUCM

— Queen Leigh (@JBFletcher88) [October 2, 2018](#)

The anthrax attack dovetailed with other absurdist fake propaganda and helped promote the plan to invade Iraq. It was also instrumental in the passage of the Patriot Act, thanks to then **Attorney General John Ashcroft** haranguing the House Judiciary Committee.

The anthrax attack was exploited in standard problem-reaction-solution fashion. After the attack and media hyperactivity, the US once again began throwing money into biological warfare research. The government gave the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases \$1.5 billion in 2003 and Congress passed Project Bioshield Act, which provided \$5.6 billion over ten years for the purchase of new vaccines and drugs, thus providing transnational pharmaceutical corporations a welcome influx of taxpayer money.

How long before a revitalized cadre of neocons folded within the Trump administration blame this attack on Iran or Russia? The UK set the example when it blamed Russia for the Skripal “Novichok” poisonings, a transparent and completely baseless accusation that was embraced by the US and its parroting corporate media.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on the author's blog site: [Another Day in the Empire](#).

Kurt Nimmo is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Kurt Nimmo](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Kurt Nimmo](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca