

# Anarchy in America: We're Being Gunned Down Like Dogs in the Street

By [John W. Whitehead](#) and [Nisha Whitehead](#)

Global Research, November 02, 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold;  
Mere anarchy is loosed upon the world,  
The blood-dimmed tide is loosed, and everywhere  
The ceremony of innocence is drowned.  
—William Butler Yeats, "[The Second Coming](#)"*

Things are falling apart.

How much longer we can sustain the fiction that we live in a constitutional republic, I cannot say, but anarchy is being loosed upon the nation.

We are witnessing the unraveling of the American dream one injustice at a time.

Day after day, the government's crimes against the citizenry grow more egregious, more treacherous and more tragic. And day after day, the American people wake up a little more to the grim realization that they have become captives in a prison of their own making.

No longer a free people, we are now pushed and prodded and watched over by twitchy, hyper-sensitive, easily-spooked armed guards who care little for the rights, humanity or well-being of those in their care.

The death toll is mounting. The carnage is heartbreaking. The public's faith in the government to do its job—which is to protect *our* freedoms—is deteriorating.

With alarming regularity, unarmed men, women, children and even pets are being gunned down by the government's standing army of militarized police who shoot first and ask questions later, and all the government does is shrug and promise to do better.

Things are not getting better.



Image: Screenshot of video of the Killing of Patrick Lyoya (Photo by Grand Rapids Police Department, licensed under Fair Use)

Patrick Lyoya is dead. The unarmed man was pulled over for having a mismatched license plate and [shot in the back of the head](#) while lying on the ground during a struggle with a Michigan police officer.

Donovan Lewis is dead. The 20-year-old unarmed man was [sitting up in bed when he was shot](#) and killed by police within a second of their barging through his bedroom door.

Tavis Crane is dead. Police shot the unarmed driver during a traffic stop that arose after his [two-year-old daughter threw a plastic candy cane out of the window](#). When Crane refused to exit his vehicle, police climbed into the backseat of the parked car, placed Crane in a chokehold, and shot him repeatedly.

Justine Damond is dead. The 40-year-old yoga instructor was [shot and killed by Minneapolis police](#), allegedly because they were startled by a loud noise in the vicinity just as she approached their patrol car. Damond, clad in pajamas, had called 911 to report a possible assault in her neighborhood.

Ismael Lopez is dead. The 41-year-old auto mechanic was [shot and killed by Mississippi police](#) who went to the wrong address looking for a suspect in connection with an aggravated domestic violence case. Police also shot the man's dog, which had raced out of the house ahead of him.

Mary Knowlton is dead. The 73-year-old retired librarian was [shot and killed by Florida police](#) during a "shoot/don't shoot" role-playing scenario when police inadvertently used a loaded gun intended for training.

Andrew Scott is dead. Although the 26-year-old homeowner had committed no crime and never fired a single bullet or lifted his firearm against police, he was [gunned down by Florida police](#) who were investigating a speeding incident by engaging in a middle-of-the-night "knock and talk" in Scott's apartment complex.

Richard Ferretti is dead. The 52-year-old chef was [shot and killed by Philadelphia police](#) while trying to find a parking spot. Police had been alerted to investigate a purple Dodge Caravan that was driving "suspiciously" through the neighborhood.

Charleena Lyles is dead. The pregnant, 30-year-old mother of four had called the police to report a stolen Xbox video game unit. She was [shot and killed by Seattle police](#) after they

arrived at her home to find her holding a knife.

In every one of these scenarios, police *could* have resorted to less lethal tactics.

They *could* have acted with reason and calculation instead of reacting with a killer instinct.

They *could* have attempted to de-escalate and defuse whatever perceived “threat” caused them to fear for their lives enough to react with lethal force.

That police instead chose to fatally resolve these encounters by using their guns on fellow citizens speaks volumes about what is wrong with policing in America today, where police officers are being dressed in the trappings of war, drilled in the deadly art of combat, and trained to look upon “every individual they interact with as an armed threat and [every situation as a deadly force encounter in the making.](#)”

Remember, to a hammer, all the world looks like a nail.

We’re not just getting hammered, however. We’re getting killed, execution-style.

It no longer matters whether you’re innocent of any wrongdoing or guilty as sin: when you’re dealing with police who shoot first and ask questions later, due process—the constitutional assurance of a fair trial before an impartial jury—means nothing.

All the individuals who have been shot and killed by police—fired at three and four and five times in a split second—have already been tried, found guilty and sentenced to death. And in that split second of deciding whether to shoot and where to aim, the nation’s police officers have appointed themselves judge, jury and executioner over their fellow citizens.

In this way, we’re seen as nothing more than animals and treated as such.

In fact, we’re being gunned down like dogs.

Consider that a dog is shot by a police officer “[every 98 minutes.](#)”

The Department of Justice estimates that at least [25 dogs are killed by police every day.](#)

Image: A pit bull was shot in an apartment hallway while walking towards an officer and wagging its tail, video shows. (Source: [ABC News](#))



[Spike, a 70-pound pit bull, was shot by NYPD police](#) when they encountered him in the hallway of an apartment building in the Bronx. Surveillance footage shows the dog, tail wagging, right before an officer shot him in the head at pointblank range.

[Arzy, a 14-month-old Newfoundland, Labrador and golden retriever mix, was shot between the eyes](#) by a Louisiana police officer. The dog had been secured on a four-foot leash at the time he was shot. An independent witness testified that the dog never gave the officer any provocation to shoot him.

[Seven, a St. Bernard, was shot repeatedly by Connecticut police](#) in the presence of the dog's 12-year-old owner. Police, investigating an erroneous tip, had entered the property—without a warrant—where the dog and her owner had been playing in the backyard, causing the dog to give chase.

[Dutchess, a 2-year-old rescue dog, was shot three times in the head by Florida police](#) as she ran out her front door. The officer had been approaching the house to inform the residents that their car door was open when the dog bounded out to greet him.

[Yanna, a 10-year-old boxer, was shot three times by Georgia police](#) after they mistakenly entered the wrong home and opened fire, killing the dog, shooting the homeowner in the leg and wounding an investigating officer.

Clearly, it doesn't take much for a cop to shoot a dog.

Dogs shot and killed by police have been “guilty” of nothing more menacing than wagging their tails, barking in greeting, or merely being in their own yard.

According to the [Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals](#), all it takes for dogs to pose a sufficient threat to police to justify them opening fire is for the dog to move or bark.

A dog doesn't even have to be an aggressive breed to be shot by a cop.

Radley Balko has [documented](#) countless “dog shootings in which a police officer said he felt ‘threatened’ and had no choice but to use lethal force, including the killing of a [Dalmatian](#) (more than once), a [yellow Lab](#), a [springer spaniel](#), a [chocolate Lab](#), a [boxer](#), an [Australian cattle dog](#), a [Wheaten terrier](#), an [Akita](#)... a [Jack Russell terrier](#)... a [12-pound miniature dachshund](#)... [and] a [five-pound chihuahua](#).”

Chihuahuas, among the smallest breed of dog (known as “purse” dogs), seem to really push cops over the edge.

In Arkansas, for example, a [sheriff's deputy shot an “aggressive” chihuahua for barking](#) repeatedly. The dog required surgery for a shattered jaw and a feeding tube to eat.

Same thing happened in Texas, except Trixie—who was [on the other side of a fence from the officer](#)—didn't survive the shooting.

Let's put this in perspective, shall we?

We're being asked to believe that a police officer, fully armed, trained in combat and equipped to deal with the worst case scenario when it comes to violence, is so threatened by a yipping purse dog weighing less than 10 pounds that the only recourse is to shoot the dog.

Compounding the tragedy, if a cop kills your dog, there will be little to no consequences for that officer. Not even a slap on the wrist.

In this, as in so many instances of official misconduct by government officials, the courts have ruled that the cops have qualified immunity, a legal doctrine that incentivizes government officials to engage in lawless behavior without fear of repercussions. As a side note: if you happen to kill a police dog, you could face [a longer prison sentence than if you'd murdered someone or abused a child](#).

This is the heartless, heartbreaking, hypocritical injustice that passes for law and order in America today.

Whether you're talking about police shooting dogs or citizens, the [mindset is the same](#): a rush to violence, abuse of power, fear for officer safety, poor training in how to de-escalate a situation, and general carelessness.

This is the same mindset that sees nothing wrong with American citizens being subjected to roadside strip searches, forcible blood draws, invasive surveillance, secret government experiments, and other morally reprehensible tactics.

Unfortunately, this is the fallout from teaching police to assume the worst-case scenario and react with fear to anything that poses the slightest threat (imagined or real). This is what comes from teaching police to view themselves as soldiers on a battlefield and those they're supposed to serve as enemy combatants. This is the end result of a lopsided criminal justice system that fails to hold the government and its agents accountable for misconduct.

So what's to be done about all of this?

Essentially, it comes down to training and accountability.

It's the difference between police officers who rank their personal safety above everyone else's and police officers who understand that their jobs are to serve and protect.

It's the difference between police who are trained to shoot to kill, and police trained to resolve situations peacefully.

Most of all, it's the difference between police who believe the law is on their side and police who know that they will be held to account for their actions under the same law as everyone else.

This is no longer a debate over good cops and bad cops.

It's a tug-of-war between the constitutional republic America's founders intended and the police state we are fast becoming.

So how do we fix what's broken, stop the senseless shootings and bring about lasting reform?

**For starters, stop with the scare tactics.** In much the same way that American citizens are being cocooned in a climate of fear by a government that knows exactly which buttons to push in order to gain the public's cooperation and compliance, police officers are also being [indoctrinated with the psychology of fear](#). Despite the propaganda being peddled by the government and police unions, [police today experience less on-the-job fatalities](#) than they ever have historically.

**Second, level the playing field.** Police lives are no more valuable than any other citizen's. Whether or not they wield a gun, police officers are public servants like all other government officials, which means that they work for us. While police are entitled to every protection afforded under the law, the same as any other citizen, [they should not be afforded any special privileges](#). They certainly should not be shielded from accountability for misconduct by the courts and the legislatures.

**Third, require that police officers be trained in non-lethal tactics.** According to the *New York Times*, the training regimens at nearly all of the nation's police academies continue to emphasize military-style exercises, with the average young officer made to undergo 58 hours of firearms training and 49 hours of defensive tactical training, but [only eight hours of de-escalation training](#). If police officers are taking classes in how to shoot, maim and kill, shouldn't they also be trained in non-lethal force, crisis intervention training on how to deal with the mentally ill, de-escalation techniques to use the lowest level of force possible when responding to a threat, and how to respect their fellow citizens' constitutional rights?

**Fourth, ditch the quasi-military obsession.** Police forces were never intended to be standing armies. Yet with police agencies dressing like the military in camouflage and armor, training with the military, using military weapons, riding around in armored vehicles, recruiting military veterans, and even boasting military titles, one would be [hard pressed to distinguish between the two](#). Still, it's our job to make sure that we can distinguish between the two, and that means keeping the police in their place as civilians—non-military citizens—who are entrusted with protecting *our* rights.

**Fifth, demilitarize.** There are many examples of [countries where police are not armed and dangerous](#), and they are no worse off for it. Indeed, their crime rates are low and their police officers are trained to view every citizen as precious.

**Sixth, stop making taxpayers pay for police abuses.** Some communities are trying to [require police to carry their own professional liability insurance](#). The logic is that if police had to pay out of pocket for their own wrongdoing, they might be more cautious and less inclined to shoot first and ask questions later.

**Seventh, support due process for everyone, not just the people in your circle.** Remember that you no longer have to be poor, black or guilty to be treated like a criminal in America. All that is required is that you belong to the suspect class—a.k.a. the citizenry—of the American police state. As a *de facto* member of this so-called criminal class, every U.S. citizen is now guilty until proven innocent.

**Finally, we need to do a better job of protecting our four-legged friends.** Many states are adopting laws to make [canine training mandatory for police officers](#). As dog behavior counselor Brian Kilcommons noted, police “need to realize [they're there to neutralize, not control](#)... If they have enough money to militarize the police with Humvees, they have enough money to train them not to kill family members. And pets are considered family.”

After all, as the *Washington Post* points out, while “postal workers regularly encounter both vicious and gregarious dogs on their daily rounds... [letter carriers don't kill dogs](#), even though they are bitten by the thousands every year. Instead, the Postal Service offers its employees training on how to avoid bites.” Journalist Dale Chappell adds, “Using live dogs,

handlers and trainers put postal workers through scenarios to [teach them how to read a dog's behavior](#) and calm a dog, or fend it off, if necessary. Meter readers also have benefited from the same training, drastically reducing incidents of dog bites.”

[The Rutherford Institute](#) is working on a program aimed at training police to deescalate their interactions with dogs rather than resorting to lethal force, while providing pet owners with legal resources to better protect the four-legged members of their household.

Yet as I make clear in [Battlefield America: The War on the American People](#) and in its fictional counterpart [The Erik Blair Diaries](#), we will continue to be shot down like dogs in the street—unarmed Americans and dogs alike—until we demand that police be given better—and constant—[training in nonviolent tactics](#), serious consequences for police who engage in excessive force, and a seismic shift in how law enforcement agencies and the courts deal with those who transgress.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*This article was originally published on [The Rutherford Institute](#).*

*Constitutional attorney and author **John W. Whitehead** is founder and president of [The Rutherford Institute](#). His most recent books are the best-selling [Battlefield America: The War on the American People](#), the award-winning [A Government of Wolves: The Emerging American Police State](#), and a debut dystopian fiction novel, [The Erik Blair Diaries](#). Whitehead can be contacted at [staff@rutherford.org](mailto:staff@rutherford.org).*

***Nisha Whitehead** is the Executive Director of The Rutherford Institute. Information about The Rutherford Institute is available at [www.rutherford.org](http://www.rutherford.org).*

*They are regular contributors to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from CounterPunch*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [John W. Whitehead](#) and [Nisha Whitehead](#), Global Research, 2022

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [John W. Whitehead](#) and [Nisha Whitehead](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)