

“America’s Wish Come True”: US Candidates Who Called for Shooting Down Russian Fighters

Well, now it has happened. Be careful what you wish for

By [Edward Lozansky](#)

Global Research, November 27, 2015

[Russia Insider](#) 26 November 2015

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

Here are direct quotes, ladies first:

Hillary Clinton:

“I personally would be advocating now for a no-fly zone and humanitarian corridors to try to stop the carnage on the ground and from the air.”

Carly Fiorina:

“This is a tricky maneuver, it’s a dangerous maneuver, but it’s a maneuver that we must undertake. The Russians do not get to move into the Middle East and become the dominant outside power.”

Jeb Bush:

“We need to have no fly zones. The argument is, well we’ll get into the conflict with Russia, maybe Russia shouldn’t want to be in conflict with us. I mean, this is a place where American leadership is desperately needed.”

John Kasich:

“You enter that no-fly zone, you enter at your own peril. No more red lines, no more looking the other way. If any hostile aircraft should enter that, there will be a great consequence to them.”

Ben Carson:

“We need to stand our ground with the airspace. I would establish a no-fly zone along the border with Turkey. In no way would I back off.”

Chris Christie:

“My first phone call would be to Vladimir, and I’d say to him, listen, we’re

enforcing this no-fly zone,” adding that he would shoot down Russian warplanes that violate the no-fly zone.

Lindsey Graham:

“I don’t know if there’s anybody left to train, but a no-fly zone would be a great relief to the Syrian people.”

Marco Rubio:

“The United States should work with our allies, both Arab and European, to impose a no-fly zone over parts of Syria.”

Before the Paris massacre that meant shooting down Russian planes flying over Syria either by U.S. military or by providing surface to air missiles like Stinger to “moderate” rebels.

Now, when France is working closely with Russia, will these presidential hopefuls flip-flop, or they will advocate shooting down French planes as well?

To be fair, some of the Republican and Democratic candidates opposed a no fly zone even before the French got into action.

Ted Cruz:

“Look, we have no business sticking our nose in that civil war”

Rand Paul:

“Setting up a no-fly zone is a recipe for disaster. It’s a recipe for confrontation.”

Donald Trump:

“I Support Russia Bombing ‘The Hell Out Of ISIS’ In Syria.”

Bernie Sanders:

“I oppose, at this point, a unilateral American no-fly zone in Syria which could get us more deeply involved in that horrible civil war and lead to a never-ending U.S. entanglement in that region.”

Martin O’Malley:

“This could lead to an escalation of Cold War proportions (!) because of an accident, and I don’t think that’s in the best interest of the United States.”

Of course, there are more U.S. politicians in high places like Senator John McCain who want to escalate this conflict even further, and they have the enthusiastic support of the mainstream media.

At the same we hear more voices of reason urging the White House to join the real anti-terror coalition headed by Russia and France.

If only Obama could make up his mind!

The original source of this article is [Russia Insider](#)
Copyright © [Edward Lozansky](#), [Russia Insider](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Edward Lozansky](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca