

America's Laughable 'News' Media

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, March 15, 2016

[Strategic Culture Foundation](#) 13 March 2016

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Police State](#)
& [Civil Rights](#)

As of Friday March 4th, [democracy ended](#) in Turkey, but you'd hardly have known it by reading the international 'news' at the major (and at most of the minor) US-based 'news' sites, as of around 4PM Eastern time in the US, nearly a day after the event.

The New York Times [World News section](#) online buried nearly a third of the way down the main page, "Turkey Seizes Newspaper, Zaman, as Press Crackdown Continues», immediately below «Gunmen Kill 16 at Nursing Home in Yemen». The news report didn't even mention that the government-seizure of Turkey's largest newspaper and its associated equivalent of America's AP news-service constitutes the signal event in Turkish President Erdogan's ending of his country's democracy. It's like: when did the NYT ever report that George W. Bush [had lied](#) about the evidence he had regarding «Saddam's WMD»? Never.

Nonetheless, that page's box which was headlined «Most Emailed» showed: «1. Turkey Seizes Newspaper Zaman, as Press Crackdown Continues». No matter how much the Times' management wanted to downplay the event and its significance, readers still were emailing it more than any other story in the entire section. Apparently, reader-interest is one thing, but what the management want the readers to be informed about is something quite different (and that's not even talking about accuracy, but deception is rampant in America's mainstream and almost all of its non-mainstream 'news' reporting). Perhaps the corporation makes up for it in advertising-income from their major advertisers, who don't want the public to have their eyes focused on certain things (such as that NATO, and Turkey's being in NATO, aren't about 'American values' nor 'US national security', but about ultimately conquering Russia). And people still subscribe to it? Yes, they do; they pay their good money for that bad 'journalism'; after all, that's 'journalism' which wins lots of US national awards (not that that's any authentic indication of the newspaper's quality - it's not.)

By contrast: Britain's Independent came closer to the mark of reality, placing [the story](#) front and large on its homepage as the top news-story of all, which it actually is: «Seizure of Newspaper Could Cost Turkey Its Place in Europe, Warns EU Official». (But, maybe not its place in the American-run NATO - after all, the US aristocracy needs Turkey for things like shooting down Russian bombers that are killing jihadists who want to replace Russia's ally Bashar al-Assad's secular, non-sectarian, government, which the US has long been trying to overthrow.)

The Huffington Post's homepage had as its lead [headline](#), «155 Delegates at Stake», and 20% down the page [headlined](#) «Turkish Police Fire Tear Gas At Newspaper As EU Officials Lament Press Record». That news-report was from Reuters, not HuffPo, and the headline was rather ho-hum and certainly ignored the real story here, but having to go 20% down the

homepage to find it isn't quite so terrible, even if that's not where it belongs - it belongs at the very top of the homepage (and with a headline like «Democracy Ends in Turkey», which fairly represents both the event and its significance.)

Meanwhile, HuffPost's Worldpost section itself also didn't lead with this story, but instead with, «[A Dangerous Country for Women: The Shocking Reality Of The Sexual Violence In Papua New Guinea](#)» - a tragic cultural reality there, but no actual news-story, much less a news-story that will possibly affect the future history of the entire world. Then, was shown as only an AP headline, down below all of the featured stories (the ones that had pictures there), down in the lower portion of HuffPost's Worldpost section, was this: «Protestors Met With Tear Gas After Turkey Seizes Control Of Newspaper». That's even worse than the *NYT*. However, unlike the *NYT*, a reader's access to all of HP is free; so, readers' pocketbooks aren't being charged to read whatever it is.

Why one would pay for any 'news' medium, in the US, is a problematic question, given the almost uniformly low quality of the news-service they're all providing to their readers.

Has the US aristocracy's manipulation of its 'news' 'reporting' ever been more blatant than is the case today? Not only does the 'news' lack the important relevant historical, cultural, and political, context, in order for it to be able to be at all accurately interpreted and understood by readers, but the news-placement is obviously driven by other considerations than to serve the readers' needs - such as the readers' needs for the most-significant stories to be in the most-prominent positions.

Ultior motives drive America's 'news' media. To call that a 'free' press is to beg the question: Who owns the press, and whose interests are the employees of 'news' organizations (the reporters and the editors) actually being hired to serve? The advertisers'? The owners'? Surely not the subscribers.

If America's 'news' media aren't trusted, there's very sound reason for that: they shouldn't be; and that's because there's no intelligent reason for the public to trust them. None.

The original source of this article is [Strategic Culture Foundation](#)
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), [Strategic Culture Foundation](#), 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of *They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010*, and of *CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity*.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca