GlobalResearch
Center for Research on Globalization

Americans Ready for War… Against US Government?

By Drago Bosnic
Global Research, July 05, 2024

Region: USA
Theme: Police State & Civil Rights

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Spread the Truth, Refer a Friend to Global Research

***

A national poll (conducted by Marist Poll) in late May showed that ***a staggering 47% of American citizens believe a new civil war is likely to happen during their lifetime.*** *The populace also deeply distrusts the mainstream propaganda machine, as evidenced by previous polls showing that nearly 40% of Americans don't trust their media in the slightest. And who could possibly blame them? The American people have been lied to for decades, with warmongering politicians tricking them into supporting wars and foreign meddling that only exacerbated world problems, which would often come back and bite the electorate in the US itself.* ***Now, many Americans believe that the corrupt federal institutions are trying to push for a global confrontation in order to take away their constitutionally guaranteed rights and basic freedoms.***

American citizens find the possibility of military conscription (known as the draft in the United States) a particularly concerning prospect and a bad omen of the troubled times going forward.

Such fears grew exponentially on June 14, when the House passed **an amended version of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) which now includes a provision that will automatically register all American men aged 18-26 with the Selective Service System.** In other words, this is the restitution of the pre-Vietnam War draft policies and will effectively end the much-touted "all-volunteer force" (AVF) approach that was dominating the strategic thinking in the US military and most other global thalassocracies during the (First) Cold War, continuing throughout the 1990s and 2000s.

However, with the interest to serve in most NATO militaries plunging to its historical low, the draft seems to be the only solution for this problem. It's broadly equivalent to the conscription that still exists in many countries, including Russia and several major NATO member states. Somewhat ironically, US politicians and the Pentagon often ridicule conscription-based militaries, claiming they're "ineffective". However, this approach is far more suitable than just registering untrained men for the draft. After all, Russian conscripts

have at least basic military training, unlike American draftees. This is a quite radical change to the aforementioned AVF approach and the only logical explanation is that the US is preparing for a major war. And once again, as is the case historically, there's bipartisan support for this initiative.

For instance, prominent Democrat representatives such as **Chrissy Houlahan (D-Pa.)** cosponsored the NDAA. Namely, while she, a "proud feminist", previously advocated the expansion of draft registration to both men and women, her latest proposal included automatic registration of men only. Quite interesting to see politicians ignore their own purported ideological convictions, particularly when it comes to sending other people's children to war. One could even argue that the Kiev regime's policies are slowly finding their way to the political West. And indeed, even the Pentagon argues that the only way to fight a near-peer adversary such as Russia is to reinstate the draft, as evidenced by the US Army War College's publications now trying to prepare Americans and justify the draft as manpower shortages persist.

In one of its essays (PDF) published last year, **the Pentagon stresses the need to learn lessons and draw conclusions from the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict**. In a subsection titled "Casualties, Replacements, and Reconstitutions", the authors argue that "large-scale combat operations troop requirements may well require a reconceptualization of the 1970s and 1980s volunteer force and a move toward partial conscription". Apart from being yet another indirect proof of the enormous casualties among the NATO-backed Neo-Nazi junta forces, it's also a bad omen for the Americans who'd be drafted into the US military and sent off to fight a country like Russia and/or China. As a result, those same Americans who have been lied to all these decades aren't exactly keen on fighting, especially not for the very government lying to them.

The rhetoric pushing for the reinstatement of mandatory service has been ongoing for years. Some of the latest statements by high-ranking officials only exacerbate the fear of general conscription in the US and other Western countries. In mid-June, Chris Miller, the former acting Defense Secretary, publicly suggested that the draft should be reinstated. Just days later, the Center for a New American Security (CNAS), a Washington DC-based think-tank, released a report in which they conducted several wargame scenarios that included conscription for a large-scale conflict with China. The DoD (Department of Defense) regularly did the same in the pre-Vietnam War era. CNAS and similar think tanks are deeply integrated with the Pentagon, which leaves Americans worried about the possibility of such scenarios becoming reality.

Interestingly, the CNAS report argues that "[mobilizing] a force of 100,000 new recruits within 200 days would be nearly impossible under current cultural conditions], insisting that [the Internet and social media present a significant obstacle, ostensibly because it allows people to share unfiltered information]. In other words, this Pentagon-linked think-tank wants to shut down your Internet access in order to make it easier to send you to war with nuclear-armed superpowers. However, CNAS went further than that, suggesting that "any significant draft could only succeed if the public knew they could face real punishment if they refused to comply". The authors also made a particularly curious argument that older draftees should be targeted first, as they're more likely to push back against such mandates.

Despite years of the mainstream propaganda machine's "Russia losing" fantasies, dozens of

millions of Americans have access to Telegram and similar platforms, showing the brutal realities of modern warfare.

Coupled with the atrocious casualty ratio of the US/NATO-backed Neo-Nazi junta forces in Ukraine, Americans realize that their warmongering elites want to prepare them to be the next cannon fodder. Public (dis)trust in the US government is so bad now that US citizens are more likely to go to war with their own government rather than any foreign adversary. Less than 20% of Americans support a return to the pre-Vietnam War era draft, simply because they don't see a point in going to war for the US in its current state. The so-called "woke" policies and similar end-of-civilization degeneracies are the main culprit.

Most normal people (known as "conservatives" and "radicals" to the liberal extremists in power) find the system utterly repulsive, particularly now when the so-called "woke mind virus" is slowly drifting away into the realm of pedophilia and similar mental disorders and sexual deviations. Thus, who in their right mind would go to war so that so-called "transsexuals" can dance naked in front of their kids (including preschool children and even toddlers)? In fact, it can easily be argued that the vast majority of normal people would take up arms or at least turn their guns away from the "evil Russians and Chinese" who aren't actually forcing them to do anything and point them at those who do – the US government composed of deeply corrupt warmongers, war criminals, plutocrats and child traffickers (among many other things).

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on InfoBrics.

***Drago Bosnic** is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © Drago Bosnic, Global Research, 2024

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Drago Bosnic**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca