

Al-Qaeda, the Eternal Covert Operation: British “Terror” Incident latest Product of “War on Terror” Propaganda

By [Larry Chin](#)

Global Research, July 05, 2007
5 July 2007

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#),
[Terrorism](#)

It is a well-established and deliberately unaddressed historical fact that the CIA created “radical Islam” and Islamic “terrorism” during the Cold War. It is also a documented fact that the US, its allies, and their intelligence agencies (CIA, Pakistan’s ISI, Britain’s MI-6, etc.) have — from the 1970s to the present day — continued to use and guide terrorist groups, including “Al-Qaeda,” as intelligence and propaganda assets. “Islamic terrorism” is a manufactured weapon of Western geostrategy, serving Anglo-American interests.

Planned covert operations and false flag operations using “terrorists” in direct and indirect military-intelligence roles are of imperial design. Such operations (exemplified by 9/11), and their predictable propaganda results (“the war on terrorism”) are now routine events.

Official “war on terrorism” disinformation is repeated ad nauseum, accepted as fact by the mass populace, and used as the justification for ever-expanding Anglo-American war and ever-deepening criminality. Virtually no attention is paid to the Anglo-American support and management of “Al-Qaeda” and other “terror” groups. Little or no attention is devoted to the criminally fabricated nature of modern “terrorism” or the fact that every major “terrorism” event in recent times has been a US or US-allied covert operation, followed by political manipulation and cover-up.

September 11 was a false flag operation. The [Mumbai transit bomb attack](#) was a covert operation carried out by terror cells directly connected to Pakistan’s Inter-Services Intelligence, the ISI (a virtual branch of the American CIA), and the same (alleged “Al-Qaeda”) apparatus. The London 7/7 attack was the work of

[British intelligence, as well as Pakistan’s ISI](#). Previously foiled London terror incidents pin the responsibility on “homegrown” terrorists with [ties to Pakistan and “Al-Qaeda,” and London 7/7](#).

While new details continue to emerge, the botched July 30, 2007, Glasgow Airport and June 28, 2007, London nightclub incendiary attacks bear a marked resemblance to every other post-9/11 “terrorism” false flag operation.

The political choreography **of the Glasgow/London incident**

Regardless of the actual case evidence to come, what is clear and obvious is the

propaganda and political effect that has already been achieved by the aggressive Anglo-American response to the events (violence that may have involved their own intelligence agencies and proxies).

Even before the fires at Glasgow Airport were put out, official accounts and worldwide media reports had already forcefully declared the event to be the work of “Al-Qaeda” and “Islamic extremists.” The new British prime minister, Gordon Brown, [quickly declared](#), “We are dealing, in general terms, with people who are associated with al Qaeda,” and immediately enacted a draconian “security” agenda in the UK. The [Telegraph](#) attributed the incident to an “unknown Al-Qaeda terrorist cell thought to be preparing to launch a series of Baghdad-style car bombings.”

An [ABC](#) account of the foiled London night club attacks suggests that there was foreknowledge (a typical aspect of all recent false flag events), and that warnings were issued by unnamed intelligence operatives weeks in advance, and that “Al Qaeda had targeted nightclubs and other soft targets” using cars laden with gasoline and propane cylinders. The ABC report concludes: “All of this comes just three weeks after what was described as an al-Qaeda graduation ceremony for suicide bombers at a training camp in Pakistan. A video obtained by ABC News shows commanders sending teams of 50 to 60 men to the United States, Canada, Germany and Great Britain.”

Another piece from the [Telegraph](#) suggests that the US was already involved in steering British authorities towards an “Al-Qaeda” conclusion. The article states: “reports from the US that the three men had been identified and known to be an associate of Dhiren Barot [a British Al-Qaeda detainee - LC], a suspected terrorist who had planned to set off bombs across London, were dismissed by government officials.”

While the worldwide propaganda and “war on terrorism” policy effect has been largely uniform and aggressive, as of this writing, the actual case details have been wildly inconsistent, speculative, and even contradictory. According to the official narrative, on June 30, 2007, according to British security officials, two men [crashed a Jeep](#) containing propane and gasoline into the main terminal of the Glasgow Airport in Scotland. This [botched suicide car attack](#) came in the wake of the [foiled London nightclub attacks](#) of June 28, 2007, an incident that authorities have attempted to link to Glasgow.

At least eight arrests have been made, including at least six medical workers: Bilal Abdullah (an Iraqi doctor), Mohammed Asha, a [Jordanian physician](#) (of Palestinian origin) and his wife, and Khalid Ahmed (a doctor and colleague of Abdullah’s), Mohammed Haneef (an Indian doctor). Foreign nationals from Iraq, Jordan, India and Saudi Arabia have been rounded up and arrested in connection with the case. Asha’s family [insists that he is innocent](#). Friends, family and colleagues of many of the suspects are also expressing shock and doubt. Prime Minister Brown has begun a sweeping profiling operation of all Muslim medical personnel.

According to the July 4 *Los Angeles Times*, “officials have still not determined whether a foreign terrorist group sent them to Britain or if they were recruited here (London).” The same piece declares, “one of more of the detainees may have been radicalized and in touch with extremist networks before arriving, while others may have been radicalized only after establishing themselves in Britain.”

A July 3 article from the *Los Angeles Times* breathlessly speculates: “Although Islamic terror cells are often ethnically and sociologically diverse, the doctors’ background breaks with a pattern in a string of recent terror cases in England, including last year’s plot to bomb US-bound jets and the London transport bombings of 2005 . . . In contrast, the Middle Eastern angle could point at the Iraqi war theater, where a constellation of extremist networks operate — among them an al Qaeda offshoot dominated by foreign fighters.”

This coverage, like most Western media reporting, accepts official declarations without question, and strongly suggests the guilt of all suspects.

Of course, no mention is made regarding the key issue at the heart of every “terror” event, and at the heart of the entire post-9/11 world crisis: Anglo-American military-intelligence connections to “Al-Qaeda” and other “radical Islamic terror” groups.

Beneficiaries: **Washington and London**

What is clear and predictable is that the British incidents, like all post-9/11 “terror,” benefits the political agendas in Washington and London at a key moment, while undermining all political opposition to Anglo-American foreign and domestic policies:

1. Bush-Cheney (and the equally complicit Democratic Party leadership) is provided another justification to ramp up the “war on terrorism,” and expand its [intensifying world war](#) and resource conquest, and step up of the pace of installing a [police state within US borders](#). The Bush administration and its allies around the world have been planning [a new 9/11](#) (blamed on “terrorists”) that could create both a justification and an opportunity to retaliate against selected targets.
2. Britain’s incoming [Gordon Brown](#) government has been provided with a fresh London 7/7-9/11-style crisis around which it can now impose draconian [police state](#) measures upon the British people.
3. Brown seamlessly inherits the “war on terrorism” agenda from [war criminal and liar Tony Blair](#), and ups the ante. It is not clear if Brown’s ham-fisted actions are completely of his own design, to “appear tough” to his new constituents, or the result of pressure from Blair and Bush (who is “pleased” with Brown’s forceful response).
4. A violent “wag the dog” distraction from ongoing US domestic political scandals, notably Bush’s commuting of the sentence of Scooter Libby in the CIA/Valerie Plame affair, benefits Bush-Cheney.

Spreading **Al-Qaeda everywhere**

The British incidents come in the wake of a crescendo of “Al-Qaeda”-related events all over the world. Bush-Cheney’s “Al-Qaeda terror” propaganda is [at full pre-Iraq invasion fervor](#).

A recent news search demonstrates the fact that “Al-Qaeda” activity is mushrooming, in [Egypt](#), [Pakistan-Iran](#), [Spain](#), [Iraq](#), Yemen, Gaza/Palestine, Afghanistan, Lebanon, as well as within the United States and the UK.

Given the true nature of “Al-Qaeda” as Anglo-American assets, this is a clear signal that Anglo-American covert operations are intensifying — and that targets for the next round of Anglo-American wars are being lined up.

Al-Qaeda: eternal **intelligence asset**

The central issue of our time cannot be overemphasized: extensive historical (but ignored and covered-up) documentation establishes the fact that Al-Qaeda and Islamic “terrorism” are the creation as well as key instruments of Anglo-American military-intelligence, and Western geostrategy, managed and guided by the CIA, Pakistan’s ISI, Britain’s MI-6 and MI-5, etc.

No “terror” event, current or past, can be understood without a full inquiry against this context.

Books such as Michel Chossudovsky’s [America’s “War on Terrorism”](#) and Michael C. Ruppert’s [Crossing the Rubicon: The Decline of the American Empire at the end of the Age of Oil](#) exhaustively detail this milieu.

As written by Michel Chossudovsky in [America’s “War on Terrorism”](#), while “Islamic terrorists” are featured by the Bush administration and its allies as eternal threats, and blamed for every terror event including and since 9/11, “these same Islamic organizations constitute a key instrument of U.S. military-intelligence operations” all over the world.

“According to the CIA,” writes Chossudovsky, “an ‘intelligence asset’ — as distinct from a bona fide ‘intelligence agent’ — need not be committed to the pursuit of U.S. interests. Rather, it is meant to act and/or behave in a way that serves U.S. foreign policy pursuits . . . Intelligence assets are invariably unaware of the precise functions and roles they are performing on behalf of the CIA on the geopolitical chessboard. In turn, for these covert operations to be ‘successful,’ the CIA will use various proxy and front organizations such as Pakistan’s extensive military intelligence apparatus (the ISI).”

A [recently declassified French intelligence report](#) details the extent to which “Al-Qaeda” and Osama bin Laden ran operations for the CIA. “Al-Qaeda” has also served [multinational oil interests](#). Washington’s [support and management of the “Militant Islamic Network”](#), including “Al-Qaeda,” has been continuous since the Carter administration, through the Bosnia/Kosovo/Macedonia NATO wars of the Clinton administration, and in full flower beginning with and since 9/11. “Al-Qaeda” as well as Al-Qaeda “foreign fighter hordes” propaganda is a key component of the Pentagon’s [Iraq](#) occupation and pacification program.

Also see: [“Who is Osama bin Laden?”](#) and [“Al-Qaeda: the database”](#)

As written by Mike Ruppert in *Crossing the Rubicon*, “Great Britain — one of the major players supporting the KLA in Kosovo — also maintained secret relationships with bin Laden and al Qaeda that served its interests.” Britain’s MI-6 funded and worked with Al-Qaeda to assassinate and overthrow Libya’s Muammar Qaddafi in 1996. Since 9/11, bin Laden was a guest of British intelligence, even as a wanted man. As noted by Ruppert, “a November 2002 UPI story by Arnaud de Borchgrave indicated not only that Pakistani ISI had helped Osama bin Laden escape from Afghanistan but also that the American government had deliberately paid little attention to offers from an Afghan warlord to pinpoint and capture the

alleged mastermind of 9/11.”

The eternal cycle of real and manufactured murder and destruction since 9/11, from the false flag operations to the genocidal wars justified by “terrorism,” has escalated beyond the ability of criminal governments to control and manage. It is now difficult, if not impossible, to distinguish assets of planned government covert operations from “homegrown” resistance and opposition to Anglo-America policy.

The idea of “blowback,” the notion that terrorist assets have turned on their sponsors, is, however, bogus: Western intelligence has not severed its ties to “terrorists.” The true “root cause” of “Islamic terrorism” remains Western manipulation and political guidance of “terror.”

Al-Qaeda **propaganda**

As pointed out by Michel Chossudovsky in [“The Anglo-American War of Terror: An Overview”](#), the continuing US-led “war on terrorism” agenda rests squarely upon the perpetuation of the “Al-Qaeda” deception and the 9/11 lie, the perpetual threat of a fabricated outside enemy.

“Terrorism,” from violent attacks against civilians to bogus “resistance movements” (made up of sponsored “Islamic terrorists”) to pervasive propaganda and disinformation, undermines public support for all political opposition to Anglo-American foreign policy, and creates divisions within antiwar and peace movements.

More importantly, absolute control of mass populations is made possible by fear. Based on Pavlovian reactions to every new “terror” incident, the fear of “terrorists” and bone-deep racism inspired by Bush-Cheney’s manufactured 9/11 false flag operation remain tragically potent.

The imperial hand **behind “radicalism”**

Who or what is truly behind “Islamic terrorism” and “terror” catastrophes? Who or what created it, and funds, manages, nurtures and wields “terror”? Whose geostrategic interests have been exclusively served by “terror”? Whose political goals have been permanently destroyed? Cui bono? The answers lead directly to the highest levels in Washington, London, Tel Aviv, Islamabad, etc.

“Islamic terrorism” is a covert operation and a geostrategy. “Al-Qaeda” is a military-intelligence asset and a leading brand of war propaganda.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Larry Chin](#), Global Research, 2007

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: [Larry Chin](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca