

## Airstrikes in Syria: “We Got a Fuckin’ Problem”

Intelligence officials doubted the alleged Sarin gas attack at Khan Sheikhoun. WELT AM SONNTAG presents a chat protocol of a security advisor and an active American soldier on duty at a key base in the region.

By [Die Welt](#)

Global Research, June 25, 2017

[Die Welt](#)

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Terrorism](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

Image Free Syrian Army (FSA), source Freedom House

*This conversation was provided to **Seymour Hersh**. It is between a security adviser and an active US American soldier on duty on a key operational base about the events in Khan Sheikhoun. We have made abbreviations: American soldier (AS) and Security Advisor (SA). WELT AM SONNTAG [Welt on Sunday] is aware of the location of the deployment. For security reasons, certain details of military operations have been omitted.*

*[Die Welt is one of Germany’s foremost daily news media in print and online].*

**April 26, 2017**

**American Soldier:** We got a fuckin’ problem

**Security-Adviser:** What happened? Is it the Trump ignoring the Intel and going to try to hit the Syrians? And that we’re pissing on the Russians?

**AS:** This is bad...Things are spooling up.

**SA:** You may not have seen Trump’s press conference yesterday. He’s bought into the media story without asking to see the Intel. We are likely to get our asses kicked by the Russians. Fucking dangerous. Where are the goddamn adults? The failure of the chain of command to tell the President the truth, whether he wants to hear it or not, will go down in history as one of our worst moments.

**AS:** I don’t know. None of this makes any sense. We KNOW that there was no chemical attack. The Syrians struck a weapons cache (a legitimate military target) and there was collateral damage. That’s it. They did not conduct any sort of a chemical attack.

**AS:** And now we’re shoving a shit load of TLAMs (tomahawks) up their ass.

**SA:** There has been a hidden agenda all along. This is about trying to ultimately go after Iran. What the people around Trump do not understand is that the Russians are not a paper tiger and that they have more robust military capability than we do.

**AS:** I don't know what the Russians are going to do. They might hang back and let the Syrians defend their own borders, or they might provide some sort of tepid support, or they might blow us the fuck out of the airspace and back into Iraq. I honestly don't know what to expect right now. I feel like anything is possible. The Russian air defense system is capable of taking out our TLAMs. This is a big fucking deal...we are still all systems go...

**SA:** You are so right. Russia is not going to take this lying down

**SA:** Who is pushing this? Is it coming from Votel (**General Joseph L. Votel**, *Commander of United States Central Command*, editor's note)?

**AS:** I don't know. It's from someone big though. . . . This is a big fucking deal.

**AS:** It has to be POTUS.

**AS:** They [the Russians] are weighing their options. Indications are they are going to be passive supporters of Syria and not engage their systems unless their own assets are threatened..in other words, the sky is fucking blue.'

### **April 7, 2017**

**SA:** What are the Russians doing or saying Am I correct that we did little real damage to Russia or Syria?

**AS:** We didn't hit a damn thing, thankfully. They retrograded all their aircraft and personnel. We basically gave them a very expensive fireworks display.

**AS:** They knew where ships were and watched the entire strike from launch to end game.

**AS:** The Russians are furious. Claiming we have the real Intel and know the truth about the weapons depot strike.

**AS:** They are correct.

**AS:** I guess it really didn't matter whether we elected Clinton or Trump. Fuck.

**AS:** No one is talking about the entire reason we're in Iraq and Syria in the first place. That mission is fucked now.

**SA:** Are any of your colleagues pissed or is everyone going along with it and saying this is OK

**AS:** It's a mad house. . . .Hell we even told the Russians an hour before impact

**SA:** But they clearly knew it was coming

**AS:** Oh of course

**AS:** Now Fox is saying we chose to hit the Syrian airfield because it is where the chemical attacks were launched from. Wow. Can't make this shit up.

**SA:** They are. I mean, making it up

**AS:** It's so fuckin evil

**SA:** Amen!!!

**April 8, 2017**

**AS:** Russians are being extremely reasonable. Despite what the news is reporting they are still trying to deconflict and coordinate the air campaign.

**SA:** I don't think the russia yet understands how crazy Trump is over this. And i don't think we appreciate how much damage the Russians can do to us.

**AS:** They're showing amazing restraint and been unbelievably calm. They seem mostly interested in de-escalating everything. They don't want to lose our support in the help with destroying Isis.

**SA:** But I get the get the feeling are simply trying this approach for as long as they feel it might work. If we keep pushing this current aggressive stance they're going to hit back.

*Featured image: credits to the owner*

The original source of this article is [Die Welt](#)  
Copyright © [Die Welt](#), [Die Welt](#), 2017

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Die Welt](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)