

After Trump's Jerusalem Move, Media Worry About 'Violence'-Not Violation of International Law

By [Adam Johnson](#) and [Nima Shirazi](#)
Global Research, December 10, 2017
[FAIR](#) 8 December 2017

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)
Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Media Disinformation](#), [Poverty & Social Inequality](#)
In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

President Donald Trump declared that the US saw Jerusalem as the capital of Israel and announced the US would move its Israeli embassy there—reversing decades of policy and removing any pretense of US neutrality in negotiating “peace” between Palestinians and Israelis.

Though both [Congress](#) and [past presidents of both parties](#) have supported the move in principle for decades, much of the US media establishment is now fretting about the Jerusalem announcement, continuing to push the illusion that a nebulous “peace deal” is still right around the next watchtower.

The American “recognition” of Jerusalem as Israel’s capital further entrenches and condones Israel’s occupation, ethnic cleansing and colonization of Palestinian land. But outlets like the **New York Times**, **Washington Post** and **CBS**, in editorials and straight reporting, downplayed and skirted matters of substance, reserving critical attention for questions of optics or process.

Thus the frame that dominated headlines as news of Trump’s announcement broke was not on the meaning of the move, but on potential reaction to it, specifically vague “fears of violence” from Palestinians and Muslims throughout the Middle East:

- “Fears of Violence Amid Talk of Recognizing Jerusalem as Israel’s Capital” (**CBS News**, [12/5/17](#))
- “Trump’s Jerusalem Announcement Could Spark Violence, State Department Warns” (**Daily Beast**, [12/6/17](#))
- “Warnings of Violence Ahead of Trump’s Jerusalem Embassy Move” (**The Week**, [12/6/17](#))
- “Trump Says US Recognizes Jerusalem as Israel’s Capital, Despite Global Condemnation; World Leaders Warned Trump That the Move Could Spark Violence and Would Create a Major Impediment to the Israeli/Palestinian Peace Process” (**Politico**, [12/6/17](#))

Editorials in the **Washington Post** (“possibly trigger violence, including against Americans”—[12/6/17](#)) and the **New York Times** (“perhaps inciting violence”—[12/5/17](#)) used similar language. Neither opposed Trump’s recognition of Jerusalem or Israel’s brutal, illegal occupation of East Jerusalem as such. Both had lots of Concerns™ and leveled minor critiques on process grounds (the most popular being that the move could “harm” peace efforts), but neither of the two leading papers in the United States could bring themselves to

condemn Trump for his radical departure from US policy and international law in and of itself.

This is often the case when it comes to Israel/Palestine: Media focus is on the reaction to injustice, not on the injustice itself. The illegality of Israel's occupation of East Jerusalem is rarely mentioned. Nor is the fact that the United States is now virtually alone out of the 195 countries on Earth in recognizing Jerusalem as Israel's capital, or that the city has been, and is *still*, designated by the United Nations as a *corpus separatum* since 1947; that is, a separate territory under international jurisdiction. (The **Economist**—[12/7/17](#)—was one of the few who did point this out.) Likewise ignored are the UN Security Council's [repeated condemnations](#) of Israel's 1967 annexation of East Jerusalem and its 1980 declaration of the city as its capital, on the grounds that "acquisition of territory by military conquest is inadmissible."

The broader context of the 60-year military occupation is likewise out of the picture, along with the [dozens of international laws](#) Israel breaks on a daily basis. Many of these relate directly to Jerusalem, including the expulsion of residents from the occupied territory of East Jerusalem and the transfer of hundreds of thousands of colonists there since 1967.

The primary focus is, instead, the possibility of "fresh violence in the region," which evokes tropes of mindless Arab rage and [barbarity](#). As Marya Hannun explained over at **Slate** ([12/6/17](#)), when we center "angry Arab" cliches, we perpetuate the notion that violence is the alpha and omega of resistance:

There is also a more insidious message being sent by warnings about the potential for a "third intifada" in response to President Trump's recognition of Jerusalem, one that has long haunted, not just the conflict over Palestine and Israel, but also other instances where human rights, civil rights and sovereignty are violated. When we focus on violence as the only preventive force against unjust policies, we reinforce the notion that violence is the only effective means of resistance. Perhaps more often than not, these assessments prove to be accurate, but it's a dangerous game, and only aids those who see no point in working toward peace at all.

By leading with warnings of a "Middle East on edge" (**NBC News**, [12/7/17](#)), where "Palestinians Vent Their Anger" (**New York Times**, [12/7/17](#)) and "clashes escalate" (**Washington Post**, [12/7/17](#); **BBC**, [12/7/17](#)), the media double down on dangerous stereotypes, marginalize legitimate frustration and resistance, and obfuscate history in favor of the ever-convenient, shoulder-shrugging, "they'll just never get along" narrative.

No mention of redoubling of efforts of the growing [Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions \(BDS\)](#) movement, or other civil-society efforts to resist Israel aggression. No mention of calls for solidarity by Palestinian activists. A singular focus on violence (albeit violence that, it should be noted, is [sanctioned by international law](#)) reduces Palestinians to cartoon hotheads rather than a deeply disenfranchised population suffering decades of displacement, discrimination and occupation, while the most powerful country on the planet condones, funds and arms their continued dehumanization.

Adam Johnson is a contributing analyst for **FAIR.org**.

Featured image is from FAIR.

The original source of this article is [FAIR](#)
Copyright © [Adam Johnson](#) and [Nima Shirazi](#), [FAIR](#), 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Adam Johnson](#)
and [Nima Shirazi](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca