

## After "Liberation," U.S. To Give Control Of Raqqa To "Rebels", Not Syrian Government

The Syrian city of Raqqa – the "stronghold" of terror group ISIS – will be governed by a "civilian council" with the support of U.S. troops following its "liberation" from terrorists. Their refusal to return the city to the Syrian government will only worsen the nation's six-year-long civil war.

By Whitney Webb

Global Research, April 21, 2017

MintPress News 20 April 2017

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: <u>Terrorism</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: **SYRIA** 

While the public justification for the presence of United States troops in Syria has long been focused on fighting the terror group Daesh (ISIS), the recent actions of the U.S. and its allies within Syria continue to suggest that fighting terrorism is merely a cover for a very different type of operation, one that seeks to keep Syria fragmented and destabilized long after any terrorists are defeated.

On Tuesday, the U.S.-allied militias that have been encircling Raqqa – the de facto stronghold of Daesh – <u>announced that they had formed</u> a "civilian council" to govern Raqqa after its capture from Daesh militants. The Syrian Democratic Forces (SDF), a U.S.-backed militia that comprises a large number of Syrian Kurds, claim to have spent six months setting up the council, with a preparatory committee having met "with the people and important tribal figures of Raqqa city to find out their opinions on how to govern it," <u>Middle East Eye reported</u>.

SDF spokesman **Talal Selo** stated that some towns near Raqqa had already been turned over to the council following a successful operation to drive out Daesh forces.

The U.S. military had previously hinted that power would be given to rebel groups following Raqqa's "liberation" when the head of U.S. Central Command **General Joseph Votel** told the Senate in early March that military officials anticipated "that America's allies will need assistance preventing their [Daesh's] return and establishing Syrian-led peacekeeping efforts" after a successful operation.

Considering that the Syrian government is far from being one of "America's allies," Votel's statement implied that the U.S.-backed militias would be given control of Raqqa and the surrounding area, despite the implications this would have for Syrian sovereignty and further destabilization in the war-torn country.

As <u>MintPress previously reported</u>, Votel also told senators that "conventional U.S. forces would be required to stabilize the region once ISIS fighters are flushed from Raqqa," meaning that the current U.S. troop build-up around Raqqa is by no means a temporary deployment, but rather the foundation for creating a standing army.

Syrian **President Bashar al-Assad** has not been surprised as to what the U.S.-backed operation to remove Daesh from Ragga would bring.

Last week, Assad\_told Agence France-Presse:

"We support whoever wants to liberate any city from the terrorists, but that doesn't mean to be liberated from terrorists and being occupied by American forces, for example, or by another proxy, or other terrorists. So, it's not clear who is going to liberate Raqa. Is it really Syrian forces that are going to hand it over to the Syrian army? Is it going to be in cooperation with the Syrian army? It's not clear yet."

Given that the Trump administration's current position <u>involves the removal of Assad</u> from power, keeping Raqqa out of the Syrian government's control via a U.S.-backed militia seems like a clear attempt to force Assad's hand.

While Assad <u>had previously stated</u> that the country's civil war would likely conclude this year – barring foreign intervention – a U.S.-military-supported rogue government in Raqqa would prevent the Syrian government from reacquiring its territory. Any attempts by the Syrian Army to take back Raqqa from the SDF and U.S. military could allow U.S. officials to demonize Assad and take stronger actions to remove him from power.

However, the U.S. plan is unlikely to go smoothly, given the Kurds' dominant presence in the SDF. Turkey, Syria's northern neighbor, will probably not be happy to see a Kurdish-majority group gain governing power over a region near its border, as the Turkish government has long considered Syrian Kurdish militias, including those backed by the U.S., to be terrorist groups. Turkey has repeatedly bombed U.S.-backed Syrian Kurds for this very reason.

**Whitney Webb** is a MintPress contributor who has written for several news organizations in both English and Spanish; her stories have been featured on ZeroHedge, the Anti-Media, 21st Century Wire, and True Activist among others – she currently resides in Southern Chile.

The original source of this article is <u>MintPress News</u> Copyright © <u>Whitney Webb</u>, <u>MintPress News</u>, 2017

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Whitney Webb

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance

a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>