

Addicted to Lies: Kiev, CIA Still Pushing Fake Reports of ‘Russia Invading Ukraine’

By [21st Century Wire](#)

Global Research, November 10, 2014

[21st Century Wire](#) 8 November 2014

Region: [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

On Friday, the Ukrainian military’s PR machine spun-up its latest episode of the illusive “Russian Invasion”, this time accusing Moscow of dispatching a column of 32 tanks and “truckloads of Russian troops” into the country’s eastern region.

The West are being very choosy with their language – in case they have to deny they ever said it later. Presently, the West and Kiev are stopping short of labeling the non-event as an *invasion*, instead calling it **“Russian aggression”**, and a **“cross-border incursion”** to aid separatists, with the all-important caveat of “unconfirmed report”, a PR system standardized by CIA media handlers [currently in residence inside](#) the US-backed Kiev regime.

Another ‘Russian Invasion’?

The timing, and the sheer desperation of this latest PR move is designed for one thing: **deflecting public attention** away from the fact that Ukrainian military have already broken the fragile ceasefire with rebels, as Kiev resumes its shelling of civilian areas around **Donetsk** and **Lugansk**. The *indiscriminant* shelling, mass graves, and torture by Kiev’s government forces – has already been roundly [condemned by international groups and human rights organizations](#), but this has unfortunately attracted no interest from the US State Department who are avoiding addressing Kiev’s military deployment against its own unarmed civilians, including women, children and the elderly.

Eastern regions also held [long-overdue elections last Sunday](#), but were (predictably) condemned as “illegitimate” by the West, even as major political parties and representatives in Eastern Ukraine have been banned and disallowed from participating in Kiev’s new junta government.

Still the [western media](#) is spinning this latest Kiev lie as yet another reason to, “call into question Russia’s commitment to a two-month-old ceasefire deal”.



WAG THE DOG: Washington’s plans to maintain a fictional PR narrative of how ‘Russia is invading its neighbors’.

This latest fake Kiev/CIA attempted report is not the first. Here are some previous attempts to create a ‘*Russian Invasion*’:

July 28, 2014: After their total [failure to blame eastern Ukrainian Rebels and Moscow](#) for shooting down Flight MH17 on July 17th, Washington and its NATO allies have shifted their propaganda strategy in a new attempt to implicate Russia for “international war crimes”.

[Washington used its US ambassador to the Ukraine, Geoffrey Pyatt](#), to launch the fabricated social media campaign designed to blame Russia for “firing artillery over its border into the Ukraine”. Pyatt had simply grabbed a series of images off of the *Google World-style* satellite mapping website [Digital Globe](#), claiming it was “**evidence**” of Russia artillery fired into eastern Ukraine. Here’s his Tweet that started the lie:

New releases of imagery of Russian artillery attacks against Ukrainian military |
@TIME: <http://t.co/yKdmZ7m0oq> pic.twitter.com/gPdZQi3aw6

— US Mission to NATO (@USNATO) [July 27, 2014](#)

August 30th, 2014: US media was set ablaze with imaginative reports of **5,000 Russian troops** and columns tanks rolling through rebel-held Eastern Ukraine. Moscow response was blunt and to the point. Russia all but laughed off Kiev’s claim, as [CNN](#) reported, “The comments came the same day that Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov accused NATO of using “**images from computer games**” to — in his view — falsely make the case that Russian troops are in Ukraine. Lavrov said, “hiding the evidence is an outstanding characteristic of the U.S. and many EU countries” - with regard to Ukraine.

Unfortunately, Washington, its State Department, as well as British officials - did not hesitate to run with the fake story. White House spokesman **Josh Earnest** got a taste of what Mark Carney had been doing in previously years - propping up another State Department lie, insisting no matter what the Kremlin says, Russian troops *were* inside the Ukraine and had fired on Ukrainian military positions. “Those denials are completely without credibility,” Earnest said.

[See bogus the NATO satellite images here](#)

And Russia’s military may be digging in deeper in Ukraine. The British government also joined in on the gag, with a “inside source” (no clue who that might be) telling CNN on Friday that Russia moved 4,000 to 5,000 military personnel. Meanwhile, NATO’s **Anders Rasmussen** chimes in saying how Russia is trying to, “destabilize Ukraine as a sovereign nation” (when in fact it is NATO allies who destabilized Ukraine). The timing of the late summer lies came as Washington and Brussels were finalizing a round of sanctions against Russia for - wait for it... “aggression in the Ukraine”.

CNN’s ‘**panel of experts**’, including globalist General Wesley Clark, back in August on worldwide TV, were pushing their own fiction as fact - to create their *wag the dog-style*, “Russian invasion of Ukraine”. **Watch:**

October 19, 2014: As [21WIRE reported](#) recently, Sweden’s farcical Russian submarine hoax, was engineered as part of a NATO PR push designed to push Sweden closer to joining the NATO Military Union, as western media worked overtime pumping up a new ‘**Hunt for Red October**’. After deploying it’s entire naval and tracking resources - nothing was found and many admit that the whole campaign was based on a grainy photo and a rumor, and

the story disappeared into the shadows.

NATO bosses in Mons, Belgium have their sights set on the crucial northern Baltic front. Not wasting any time, NATO's press office worked-up a quick follow-up to the Sweden hoax, claiming that a **Russian Ilyushin-20 surveillance** plane had been intercepted by **Two Canadian CF-18 Hornet jets** scrambled from Siauliai Air Base in Lithuania. NATO claims the Canadian fighters shadowed the Russian craft for 15 minutes before disengaging.

Ten days later, **October 29th**, NATO ran a follow-up story to the Canadian Jet PR bumper, [this time claiming](#) that NATO *intercepted* at least 19 Russian aircraft - in 1 day, all "flying far outside Russian airspace". The reports turned out to be exaggerated, but were timed perfectly for a broader directive happening that week which called for more [NATO troops to be deployed in Baltic region](#).

The following day, on **October 30th**, in Britain, two [Royal Air Force jets threatened to shoot down a slow-flying, twin propeller, Latvian cargo plane](#), over fears that it constituted some kind of "Russian threat".

Many are now seeing that NATO is merely an extension of Washington DC and the Pentagon, the facade of NATO as a "security alliance" is beginning to disintegrate rapidly. Watch as journalists grill one panicking US Pentagon official as Chuck Hagel's recent claims that, "Russia is on the doorstep of NATO" (when in fact it is the other way around) during the daily State Department briefing:

More of the latest [here](#)...

The original source of this article is [21st Century Wire](#)
Copyright © [21st Century Wire](#), [21st Century Wire](#), 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [21st Century Wire](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

