

Abandoning Palestine and the UN

By Renee Parsons

Global Research, November 22, 2023

Region: Middle East & North Africa, USA

Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>United Nations</u>

In-depth Report: PALESTINE

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

As the civilized world is confronted with pervasive evil in Israel, it may be recalled that the <u>Abraham Accords</u> were meant to bring peace to the Middle East, even though that pie-in-the-sky ambition was always questionable without the active participation of the indigenous Palestinians. The Accords were approved during a <u>historic ceremony</u> on the south lawn of the White House with the pretense that Palestine did not exist as a country and that Israel has been a fair, responsible neighbor. Nothing could be further from the truth.

The concept of Middle East peace without a two-state solution has once again been decisively debunked as the Abraham Accords proved to be little more than a PR gambit. Despite the accompanying White House pomp and self serving gibberish, it was a con job on the American public.

According to the Trump Administration, the Accords were part of a 'broader diplomatic effort to pressure the Palestinians into negotiating a peace deal' which was doomed to fail without official recognition that Palestinian people have a legitimate claim to its own land which was usurped by the political Zionists in 1948.

As the Accords were being approved in 2020, Trump acknowledged that while 'the Palestinians are very difficult to deal with," there had "been very strong signals" from the Palestinians "that they'd like to be a part of what's happening." In other words, Palestinian interest was indicative of their desire to resolve the two-state dilemma just as it is accurate to suggest if the Palestinians are difficult to deal with, Israeli occupation of their own land with continual repression and IDF barbaric attacks on their children may admittedly be 'difficult'.

This is not rocket science but requires a willingness to not be snookered by the Zionists <u>flawed biblical</u> representations; to be able to examine the historical context without which the Accords were doomed to fail.

With 13,300 fatalities including more than 5,600 children, 3,550 women and 31,000 wounded, much of the world continues to respond to Israel's savage attack on Gaza with massive demonstrations in support of a ceasefire and a Free Palestine.

Not surprisingly, the imperialist US government and its Congressional cronies remain mute and indifferent to world opinion or suffering of the Palestinian people. **Meanwhile 68% of Americans support a cease fire including 75% of Democrats and 50% Republicans.**

Originally touted by the Trump Administration as a landmark peace agreement intended to 'normalize' diplomatic relations between Israel and the Arab-Islamic world, the real intent of the Accords has been recognition of a Greater Israel as a sovereign nation, expanding into the Levant at the expense of the indigenous owners of the land. The Accords would provide opportunities for direct flights, people-to-people exchanges, business partnerships, and government agreements all contributing to increased investment and economic growth as well as an increase in 'mutual understanding', 'human dignity' 'tolerance and respect' and put an 'end of radicalization.

In other words, once you get past the fluff, the Accords disregard claims that indigenous Palestine were residents for hundreds of generations; that the land had been finagled away by the Zionists who bullied the UN as 'settlement' encroachments continued on Palestinian land ever since. The Accords were negotiated by Jared Kushner, President Trump's son in law who may not have been the most objective negotiator in favor of Israel asserting that "Those issues aren't as complicated as people have made them out to be."

In fact, while Palestine was deliberately and consciously excluded from the Accord, the signatorees were heralded as Trump spoke from White House balcony: 'After decades of division and conflict, we mark the dawn of a new Middle East" and gave "Thanks to the great courage of the leaders of these three countries" as Israel's Benjamin Netanyahu heralded a "new dawn of peace."

The signatories to the Accord included the UAE (United Arab Emirates), the Kingdom of Bahrain and Morocco with Sudan signing on after the 2020 election. In truth there was no expression of great courage as none of the Accord participants were in conflict with Israel or in need of Presidential dispensation, rather it was Israel who desperately needed proof of their legitimacy as they portend expansion into Lebanon and Syria.

Assuming true motivation for peace in the Middle East, should have begun with Palestine as their seventy five year struggle for validation would have been a worthwhile effort at establishing a two state solution or for the US to have encouraged the State of Palestine to obtain UN membership on their own authority. Russian **Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov** told RT that the only way to end the violence is through the UN-backed framework for a two-state solution. That would have brought a *real* peace but the Zionists will never allow it and Trump bowed to their agenda. The Zionists have real estate, energy and commercial development plans which do not include its Palestine owners.

It might be of historic note to recognize that prior to the Accords, the <u>Warsaw Conference</u> met in February, 2019 billed as a brainstorming session to counter Iran 'aggression' and 'terrorism' as it sought to bring together some of the future Accord players like the UAE and

Bahrain. Officials at that meeting made the speculative conclusion that the Palestinian 'problem' would be solved *after* the Accords while a Saudi rep indicated that the Palestinian matter needed to be addressed *prior* to any discussion of Israel sovereignty.

Most recently fifty seven members of the Arab League and the Organization of Islamic Cooperation met in an extraordinary gathering to discuss the Israeli war and the extermination of Palestinian children.

Yet the dire Palestinian plight was insufficient for a select group of <u>Islamic elites</u> to dare challenge "God's Chosen People" **as Saudi Arabia, Bahrain, Morocco, and the UAE blocked a resolution condemning the Zionist attacks**, a refusal to ban weapon sales to Israel and every other opportunity to support the Palestinian people just as Egypt, Turkey and Jordan were less than helpful.

There existed an opportunity to adopt an oil embargo on the Zionists but the Islamic elites, spineless and craven, most of whom are dictators, chose to do nothing. Rather than form a meaningful alliance with the Palestinians, all of these countries have a history of allowing the interventionist US to entice them with a weapons stash or 'foreign aid' as they betray their own ethnic-indigenous culture – all gratis of the American taxpayer. If only Americans knew.

However, once the US brought out the checkbook and sweetened the deal with an offer no one could refuse, Palestinian statehood was on the back burner to never again be seriously considered as its Islamic brothers took a long walk.

It is indisputable that any leadership exists in either US political party with no moral conscience evident within a low-information Congress, some of whom put their faith in AIPAC lobbyists or Protestant snake-oil salesmen masquerading as biblical preachers. Those preachers were armed with <u>tainted religious lingo</u> selling Scripture to know-nothings who are devoted followers of the demented Zionists who harbor a deep-seated theological hatred of Jesus Christ, almost as intense as their hatred for Palestinians.

Those Accord countries were required to accept Israel in a quid pro quo arrangement in which everyone received some benefit which frequently included a profitable US <u>military presence</u> or some other strategic gain:

- Morocco. US signed the Normalization agreement and in exchange recognized Morocco's disputed claim to Western Sahara. The agreement was later cited by Algeria as one of the reasons for unilaterally cutting relations with Morocco. Until 2020, no other member of the United Nations had ever officially recognized Moroccan sovereignty claim over parts of Western Sahara. Since 1979, the UN maintained that the native Sahrawi people of West Sahara have the inalienable right of all peoples to the right of self determination. UN Plenary Resolution 34/37. The question is what gives any US President the legal or moral right to make that determination for another independent territory or country as Trump also made that decision approving Israel's acquisition of Syria's Golan Heights obtained after the Six Day War.
- Sudan. For its cooperation, Sudan signed the <u>Declarative</u> part of the Agreement. In exchange for its cooperation, Sudan was removed from 'state sponsor of terrorism list' with a US donation of \$1.2 billion payment on their

World Bank debt. Sudan signed the Accord on 10-2020 with Secretary Mnuchin in attendance.

- **Jordan.** After 2020 election, Jordan agreed to export 600 MW electricity to Israel annually while it received 200 M cubic meters of desalinated water from Israel each year.
- Oman. After much Netanyahu lobbying of its Sheik, Oman deferred to be part of the Accords but "committed to peace between Israel and the Palestinians based on a two-state solution."
- **UAE.** Boasts numerous American military bases; is an elective monarchy formed from a federation of seven emirates; with sixth and seventh natural oil and gas reserves in the world; also a new member of the BRICS II in 2024 with substandard human and civil rights.
- **Bahrain.** Location for the Bahrain Naval Support Activity (BVS); home to the US Naval Central Command and the US Fifth Fleet and submarine base' bilateral agreement'; after the 10-7 origin of conflict in Israel, announced that the Israeli ambassador had departed and that Bahrain had recalled its ambassador from Israel and suspended all economic relations with Israel, citing a "solid and historical stance that supports the Palestinian cause and the legitimate rights of the Palestinian people." The statement was made by Bahrain's parliament and Israel said they had no knowledge of the decision.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Renee Parsons served on the ACLU's Florida State Board of Directors and as president of the ACLU Treasure Coast Chapter. She has been an elected public official in Colorado, staff in the Office of the Colorado State Public Defender, an environmental lobbyist for Friends of the Earth and a staff member of the US House of Representatives in Washington DC.

She is a regular contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Renee Parsons, Global Research, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Renee Parsons

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in

print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca