

A British Gag Order After the Skripals' Poisoning Points to UK Government Guilt

British government's lack of interest in the Skripals' "friend" "at Zizzi's" implies its involvement in their poisoning. They are now missing and presumed dead.

By [Karin Brothers](#)

Global Research, May 01, 2018

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

Background

Sergei Skripal was a former Russian double agent for Britain. After a high-profile spy swap in 2010, he lived in Salisbury, England, near his former MI6 handler, who he reportedly kept in touch with.

Late in the afternoon of March 4th, Skripal and his daughter Yulia, who had flown in from Moscow to get Sergei's blessing for a marriage, were found unconscious on a Salisbury park bench.

The Skripals' activities on March 4th are largely unknown because the GPS of their cell phones had been turned off for the four hours before they were found **(1)** and many of Salisbury's CCTVs were not working **(2)**. Sergei's red BMW had been spotted at 9:15 am near the cemetery where Yulia's mother and brother were buried, and at 1:35 pm when they parked near Salisbury's popular Maltings shopping area.



This photo of the Skripals, described as at Zizzi's **(3)** indicates that Sergei knew the photographer, who shares their table. Less than 45 minutes after they left, they would be found in distress on the Maltings Park bench. Although there is apparently no attribution for the photograph, the photographer inadvertently took his own picture because of the mirror behind the Skripals. **Sergei's friend — the last person who may have seen them before their collapse — should have been a major person of interest. The UK government showed no interest in pursuing him.**



Sergei Skripal and Yulia Skripal pictured at what is believed to be Zizzi's in Salisbury in 2016.

Within hours of news of the Skripals poisoning, **Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson** and **Prime Minister Theresa May** blamed Russia — with no evidence — for what they claimed was an attack with a nerve agent they called “Novichok”, better known as A-234. The Russian UN SC March 13th call for an inquiry on the poisoning was vetoed by the UK, which pressured countries to expel members of the Russian diplomatic corps. Despite the lack of evidence — *and the many reasons why Russia would not have done this* - almost 30 countries came to heel and about 150 Russians were expelled.

Despite the UN's OPCW summary, a month later, that “confirmed the UK's identification” - without specifying what that was or where it came from — other experts denied the use of nerve gas. A mid-March letter to The London Times from **Steven Davies**, described as “Consultant in emergency medicine, Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust”, stated:

Sir, Further to your report “Poison exposure leaves almost 40 needing treatment”, Mar 14, may I clarify that no patients have experienced symptoms of nerve agent poisoning in Salisbury and there have only ever been three patients with significant poisoning. **(4)**

The OPCW's Spiez lab broke ranks to imply *that Britain's A-234 sample was not only fraudulent and could not have been used on the Skripals, but contained BZ (5)*, which only the US, UK and NATO countries possessed.

An ominous silence

Before Yulia was released from the hospital (“to a secure location”) she called her family in Russia. *Within an hour* of the Russian broadcast of her call, there was a bizarre British broadcast in which Yulia claimed that she wanted to be left alone and “*At the moment I do not wish to avail myself of [Russian embassy] services*”(6). *The language used was not Yulia’s.*

Around the April 20th weekend when Sergei was expected to leave hospital, Britain announced that it had identified suspects in the poisoning — Russians, of course — who had already fled to Russia. There was no further news about the Skripals after that. The idea that had been floated, that they were to be given new American identities, was nonsensical; Yulia wanted to return to Russia and the two would be recognizable anywhere. Also, they could give media accounts of what had happened to them — stories that Britain would not have permitted because they would expose the UK leaders to be liars of the first order, and possibly brought down the government.

The Russians escalated their attempts to contact Yulia to the United Nations Security Council on Monday, April 23rd, but were rebuffed by the British claim that she did not want to speak to them. In fact, given her silence, many suspected that Yulia was dead.

Why Sergei Skripal might have been targeted

Although Britain’s involvement in the poisoning was obvious – even senior UK civil servants refused to pretend that Russia was responsible (7) — its motive was not. A key clue, however, was the government’s immediate gag order (“D-notice”) on the name of Sergei Skripal’s MI6 recruiter and probable handler. Ex-ambassador **Craig Murray** realized that:

the person being protected was Pablo Miller, colleague in both MI6 then Orbis Intelligence of **Christopher Steele**, author of the fabrications of the Trump/Russia golden shower dossier. That the government’s very first act on the poisoning was to ban all media mention of Pablo Miller makes it extremely probable that this whole incident is related to the Trump dossier and that Skripal had worked ... on the dossier with Miller but was threatening to expose its lies for cash. (8)

Murray goes on to provide fellow whistleblower **Clive Ponting**’s conjecture:

“If [Skripal] also was also involved in the ‘golden showers’ dossier then elements in the US would have a reason to act as well.

The whole incident was an inside job not to kill him, hence the use of BZ, but to give him a warning and a punishment. The whole thing is being treated as though the authorities know exactly what went on but have to cover it up.” (9)

Did a “non-lethal” message to Skripal about greed take on a life of its own after Theresa May and Boris Johnson chose to weaponize their own government’s act against Russia?

Who will be held responsible for the “deaths” of Sergei and Yulia Skripal?

*

Karin Brothers is a freelance journalist and frequent contributor to *Global Research*

Notes

1. Nicholls, Peter. "Missing Hours: Skripals' Cell phones Reportedly Turned Off on Day of Attack". REUTERS. 12 March, 2018. <https://sputniknews.com/military/201803261062902960-skripals-cellphones-gps/>
2. "Was Salisbury's CCTV on' at time of 'nerve agent' attack?" SpireFM. 13 March, 2018. <https://www.spirefm.co.uk/news/local-news/2526330/was-salisburys-cctv-on-at-time-of-nerve-agent-attack/>
3. Christie, Sam. "Scene of the crime: Ex-Russian spy Sergei Skripal and daughter Yulia pictured in Salisbury Zizzi restaurant at heart of poison plot". 9 March, 2018. The Sun. <https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/5756370/ex-russian-spy-sergei-skripal-daughter-yulia-zizzi-restaurant-poison-plot/>
4. Moon Of Alabama. "No Patients Have Experienced Symptoms Of Nerve Agent Poisoning In Salisbury" 19 March 2018. www.informationclearinghouse.info/49030.htm
5. Birchall, Ben. "Russian Embassy in UK Doubts OPCW Skripal Probe as Swiss Lab Cites BZ Agent". Sputnik International. 15 April 2018. <https://sputniknews.com/europe/201804151063576783-skripal-case-bz-agent-opcw/>
6. Murray, Craig. "Yulia Skripal Is Plainly Under Duress 775". 11 Apr, 2018. <https://www.craigmurray.org.uk/>
7. Miller, Craig. "Senior Civil Servants Still Deeply Sceptical of Russian Responsibility for Skripal Poisoning 590".. 18 April 2018. <https://www.craigmurray.org.uk/>
8. Miller, Craig. "Probable Western Responsibility for Skripal Poisoning 376". 28 Apr, 2018. <https://www.craigmurray.org.uk/>

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Karin Brothers](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Karin Brothers](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca