

911 Truth: Distinguished Scientist Dr. Niels Harrit Sues Danish Newspaper for Libel

Will Present Video of WTC 7 in Court

By [Richard Gage](#)

Global Research, March 03, 2015

[AE9/11Truth](#) 28 February 2015

Region: [Europe](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Media Disinformation](#), [Terrorism](#)

Two days ago, we announced that Dr. Niels Harrit, the distinguished co-author of the landmark nano-thermite paper, will be appearing in Danish High Court two weeks from now to bring an appeal in his libel suit against the Danish newspaper Weekendavisen.

It all started back in December 2012 with an article titled “Madness in the Royal Library.” In it, *Weekendavisen* writer Søren K. Villemoes referred to Dr. Harrit and his fellow 9/11 activists as “crackpots,” while also comparing them to creationists and Holocaust deniers.

“. . . Is the library soon going to open its doors to an exhibition showing us ‘alternative’ theories about evolution? . . . **Why not just invite in Niels Harrit and the other crackpots from the 9/11 skeptics movement while we are at it?** What about the holocaust denial movement?”

Søren K. Villemoes, *Weekendavisen*, December 7, 2012

For Dr. Harrit, a scientist who taught chemistry for 40 years at the University of Copenhagen, this amounted to an allegation of scientific misconduct and a baseless attempt to damage his hard-earned reputation. So he decided to seek recourse under Denmark’s strong libel law — and give himself the opportunity to prove in a court of law the scientific legitimacy of his 9/11 research.

Now Dr. Harrit Needs Our Help

The lower City Court ruled against Dr. Harrit in 2013, basing its decision on politics rather than the law. Dr. Harrit, of course, appealed.

This time around, he’ll be allowed to submit more evidence, and he is now receiving assistance from attorney Mads Krøger Pramming, chairman of the Danish whistleblower organization [Veron](#). Although Mr. Pramming is providing his services at a greatly reduced rate, he still needs to be compensated for his indispensable assistance to Dr. Harrit.

Of course, this is about much more than undoing baseless insults. It’s an opportunity for a leader in the 9/11 Truth Movement to show in a court of law that the science behind controlled demolition is not just legitimate, but overwhelming.

Moreover, because Dr. Harrit has been a national figure ever since his [2009 appearance on](#)

[TV2NEWS](#), the case is likely to be widely covered in the Danish media — especially if he wins!

What the Case Comes Down To

Under Danish libel law, Villemoes has the burden of demonstrating a factual basis for his claim. As a journalist, he must also demonstrate that his reporting meets the standards of good journalism. At the first trial, he did *neither*. Still, the judge egregiously ruled in his favor.

In the High Court, Villemoes will have a much harder time, because Dr. Harrit is being allowed to submit more evidence — namely, the video of WTC 7's destruction, as well as an actual sample of the WTC dust, which Dr. Harrit will use to demonstrate the dust's authenticity, and therefore his good scientific conduct.

Dr. Harrit will also call two witnesses. One, Jan Utzon, is a world-renowned architect and AE911Truth petition signer who testified previously. The other, Dr. Per Hedegård, is a physics professor from the University of Copenhagen's Niels Bohr Institute. His statement to a newspaper in 2010 dismissing Dr. Harrit was the only evidence used by Villemoes at trial. **Today, Dr. Hedegård has completely reversed his position and insists on testifying in support of Dr. Harrit!**

Thanks to Dr. Harrit's relentless pursuit of the truth, we now have an incredible opportunity to achieve some symbolic justice for *all* 9/11 researchers and activists.

You can learn more about the case by reading our [recently published article](#).

We thank you for helping us [support Niels Harrit](#).

The original source of this article is [AE9/11Truth](#)
Copyright © [Richard Gage](#), [AE9/11Truth](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Richard Gage](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

