

68% of Doctors Think GMOs Should Be Labeled: Survey

Yet we're STILL fighting for GMO labeling

By [Christina Sarich](#)

Global Research, July 09, 2015

[Natural Society](#)

Theme: [Biotechnology and GMO](#), [Science and Medicine](#)


Most Americans aren't waiting for doctors to support GMO labeling. We have been very clear; we want GMO labeling now, and we are even willing to go to court for it. Now, mirroring what millions of Americans have voiced over the past years, a leading network of doctor's [has voted on GMO labeling](#), and they overwhelmingly support it.

SERMO currently consists of 358,000 members – all verified and credentialed physicians. For the moment, they represent doctors primarily in the United States and Canada, but the network is expanding to include a global community.

Of all the doctors asked if GM foods should be labeled, a whopping 68 percent thought people should be given the right to know what is in their food – for obvious health concerns associated with genetically modified food. And of course the basic right to know what we're really consuming.

SERMO is essentially like Facebook for doctors, where they can, according to the SERMO website, 'talk openly and anonymously.'

We asked the
SERMO physician community,
should food manufactures be required to
LABEL PRODUCTS CONTAINING GMOS?



That means no biotech industry infiltration, except for the likely-visiting [shills and trolls](#) which infiltrate most social media. Reportedly, an honest discussion among medical professionals can be had at this network. If that's truly the case, the 68 percent who agree with labeling GMOs is even more significant, because it is a number which is likely more accurate than even the polls which found that 66+ percent of Americans wanted GM labeling, as reported by [mainstream media](#).

Surveys [repeatedly show](#) that 80 percent to 95 percent of people want foods that contain genetically modified organisms to be labeled, in the least. Here is a simple breakdown of some reported polls on consumer demand for GMO labeling:

- [The New York Times](#): 93% found to be in support of labeling GMOs
- [MSNBC](#): 96% in support
- [Reuters/NPR](#): 93% in support of full labeling
- [Washington Post](#): 95% in support of full labeling
- [Consumer Reports](#): 95% agree GM animals should be labeled
- [ABC News](#): 93% want federal GM labeling mandate

What's more, in a recently published [Nielsen study](#) of 30,000 consumers, 80 percent of respondents [said they would pay more](#) for foods that indicate a degree of healthfulness, such as those labeled 'Non-GMO.' Do we really need more proof that people are turning their backs on biotech-altered poison crops?

Even doctors desire GMO labeling - but you can bet the biotech industry will have a way to skew those facts as well.

Follow us: [@naturalsociety on Twitter](#) | [NaturalSociety on Facebook](#)

The original source of this article is [Natural Society](#)
Copyright © [Christina Sarich](#), [Natural Society](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Christina Sarich](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.
For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca