

5G Threatens Weather Forecasting. Devastating Health Impacts

By [Renee Parsons](#)

Global Research, July 12, 2019

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Environment](#), [Law and Justice](#),
[Media Disinformation](#)

It comes as no surprise that the American public remains oblivious to a not-so-slight glitch in the 5G Race with China as the US strives to be the first, the best and most technologically advanced country in the world with its guarantee of a [Brave New World](#). But then, many Americans are unaware of the true nature of 5G in the first place. In its haste to win, the telecom industry, its friends in Congress and the Federal bureaucracy are intent on [foisting 5G on a largely unsuspecting American public](#) before all the technological kinks have been worked out.

But no worries; it's just a wee small hurdle that the American public need not fret about; unless of course they are not willing to risk their families, their homes and their lives to the devastation of an unpredictable weather [disaster](#) and community crises that might have been avoided if envisioned beforehand.

Just to be clear: 5G is the generation of wireless technology that follows 4G LTE mobile connections. It operates on a higher radio frequency spectrum called millimeter waves which delivers data more quickly. However its signal does not travel well through physical objects such as buildings and houses and trees and its waves may be absorbed by rain and humidity. Millimeter waves also have limited range. 5G signals cover less than one square mile from the cell tower only allowing devices in close proximity to link to the network. Construction of multiple 5G cell towers will be required every 500 feet in order for devices to stay connected. [Companies like T-Mobile](#) are working to increase the radius requiring **different radio spectrums**. While telecom carriers have great ambitions, they do not own sufficient Spectrum to make 5G feasible and will need to rely on Federally owned Spectrum to be available.

What remains from view are the real-time implications of a [Massive Internet of Things \(MOIT\)](#) and a world of [Artificial Intelligence](#) ravaging a country once committed to its Constitution and a democratically elected government as the American Way of Life unrivaled on the planet.

The '[Slow Walking](#)' Commerce Department

The kerfuffle began unnoticed on **April 17, 2018** when the FCC [announced](#) it would auction licenses for use of the electromagnetic spectrum for 24 GHz band with regard to development of the Fifth Generation (5G) wireless technology with no interagency consensus on fundamental decisions and procedures.

At issue is whether 5Gs proposed 24 GHz spectrum would create interference to NOAA's

adjacent passive [weather sensors](#) at 23.6-23.8 GHz; thereby [inhibiting proper assessment](#) of Earth observation sensors and microwave satellites in predicting weather threats to the mainland US. Since [NOAA](#), the [National Weather Service](#) and [NASA](#) are all agencies within the Department of Commerce with shared weather jurisdiction, their [scientists](#) and outside [meteorologists](#) have concluded there is a [problem](#).



Since the FCC was preparing to auction [2,909 licenses](#) in the 24.25 to 25.25 spectrum bands on **March 14, 2019**, **Commerce Secretary Wilbur Ross** and NASA Administrator **Jim Bridenstine** invited FCC Chair **Ajit Pai** (image on the right) in its [February 28th](#) letter to attend an interagency meeting on March 11th convened by NASA to “continue the long-standing interagency reconciliation process on this important topic.” The Ross letter also requested that the FCC remove a policy paper from its website “immediately” as

‘there was [no consensus](#) in the interagency on this topic and would have a **significant negative impact on the transmission of critical earth science data**. It is essential that protections are established for the critical operation of NASA, the Commerce Department and our international partners in the 23.6 to 24 GHz spectrum band.”

In a **March 8th** response to Ross and Bridenstine, Pai [refused their invitation](#) and stated that the Commission had already “[engaged extensively](#)” with NASA and that the State Department had, as arbiter, sided with the FCC:

“Given the lack of respect for the Department of State’s decision and the **deliberate and ongoing efforts to undermine the U.S. Government’s proposal both here and abroad**, the FCC will respectfully **decline the invitation** to attend the March 11 meeting.”

In their [March 13, 2019 letter](#) to Pai, House Science Committee Chair **Eddie Bernice Johnson** and ranking member **Rep. Frank Lucas** sent a formal request that Pai postpone the upcoming 24GHz auction on March 14th citing:

“NOAA, NASA, and DOD have used **satellite-borne microwave sensors to measure water vapor** since the 1970s. **Water vapor data is essential** to the numerical weather prediction of rainfall and drought and helps **increase the precision of such predictions**. Water vapor measurements are also important in **increasing accuracy of tracking hurricanes and monitoring**

sea ice, sea surface temperature, and soil moisture. Due to the specific properties of water vapor, **it cannot be measured in frequency bands other than those currently allocated.**"

Water vapor, rather than co2, is acknowledged as the most prevalent of the greenhouse gases.

In his **April 29th** response to Johnson and Lucas, Pai wrote to [inform them](#) **after the FCC had gone forward with the auction:**

"grossing nearly \$2 billion for 700 megahertz of spectrum in the 24.25-24.45 GHz and 24.75-25.25 GHz bands for commercial 5G services and applications" and that

"based on the record compiled by the Commission, the **FCC concluded that these rules would protect the 23.6 - 24 GHz band from interference.**"

On **May 13**, **Senators Ron Wyden** (D-Or) and **Maria Cantwell** (D-Wash) [wrote to Chair Pai](#) to request that the FCC

"not award any final license to winning bidders for the 24 GHz Spectrum until the FCC approve the passive band protection limits that NASA and the NOAA determine are necessary to protect critical satellite-based measurements of atmospheric water vapor needed to forecast the weather."

On **May 16th**, [NOAA scientists](#) testified before the House Subcommittee on the Environment regarding The Future of Forecasting that ***"satellites would lose approximately 77 percent of the data they're currently collecting, reducing our forecast ability by as much as 30 percent."***

On **June 12th**, the Senate Commerce Committee held an [oversight hearing](#) on the FCC with the following exchange (2:15) regarding the 24Ghz - weather forecasting issue:

Chair Pai:

Unfortunately some folks in the Federal government believe wrongly that, **for whatever reasons**, development of 5G technology and other bands shouldn't happen; they believe it to be an **unacceptable risk** to some Federal uses. I firmly reject those determinations especially in 24 GHz band. It has been difficult to have a framework where we can work cooperatively with some of our sister agencies. Unfortunately, **one department has been very active in trying undermine the US position in these international negotiations and make it more difficult to free up spectrum in 5G"**

Commissioner Rosenworcel:

"I have not been in the meetings the Chairman refers to. We **have to resolve these issues before we put the spectrum to market in an auction.** The idea that this one part of the administration is not talking to another; we bring these air waves to market, ask carriers to spend billions of dollars on them and

then **don't exactly know what the terms of service look like** all the while **we are headed into world radio conference** and possibly undermining our ability to negotiate these issues. We've got **to figure this stuff out before we hold an auction** otherwise the integrity of our future auction structure are at stake."

Commissioner O'Reilly

"It's **not just about 24GHz**. It's **about every other band** we are talking about in the millimeter wave. They want to come back **retest and challenge decisions that we are making**; that's very problematic. 24 is only so value; **there are other bands that are going to be more valuable for different technologies**; I think this is about **precedent setting** and why they are talking about a sensor that doesn't exist on the satellite."

Pai:

"We have waited for a validated study; one study contained flawed assumptions made the study meaningless. The Dept of Commerce has been **"blocking our efforts** at every single turn and situation has gotten worse since head of NTIA resigned."

Committee Chair Roger Wicker inquired:

"Does each member of the Commission agree with the scientific conclusion of this issue?"

PAI

"The proposed FCC protection limits have been and will continue to be appropriate for protection of passive weather sensors.."

Rosenworcel:

"I've not been at the meetings that gotten to the bottom of just what threshold for out of band emissions should apply."

In other words, the 24GHz is still outstanding issue.

In their [June 19th letter to Secretary of Commerce Wilbur Ross](#), Chair Johnson and ranking member Lucas followed up, after having been dismissed by Pai, expressing

"deep concern about the potential for degradation of our Nation's weather forecasts by interference from spectrum recently auctioned off by the FCC."

The June 19th letter included reference to NASA Superintendent Jim Bridenstine's testimony

on April 2, 2019 before the House Science Committee that

*“... there is a risk that **depending on the power and the position of the cell towers in the 5G network, it could bleed over into our spectrum** and that’s the risk. And the assessments that NASA has done in conjunction with NOAA have determined that there is a **very high probability that we are going to lose a lot of data.**”*

In conclusion, the June 19th letter stated that

*“The Committee must have the most complete information to inform us about those contradictory statements and there is limited time available as the [World Radiocommunication Conference](#) occurs in October of this year. The **US must submit its official position on allowable levels of noise in the 24 GHz band in advance of the conference.**”*

If enacted, the [Spectrum Now Act](#) S. 3010 would “significantly accelerate” making more Federally owned spectrum, which is a finite resource, available that is [currently not available](#) open for commercial use including the mid-band spectrum.

In October, 2018 **President Donald Trump** signed a [Memorandum](#) directing the Commerce Department’s National Telecommunication and Information Administration (NTIA) to [establish a national spectrum policy](#) to identify existing Federal Spectrum available and 5G’s future needs including the **‘burgeoning Internet of Things near insatiable demand for Spectrum.’**

What FCC Commissioner O’Reilly is referring to when he mentions **‘bands that are going to be more valuable for future technologies’** is the amount of Spectrum that will be required to fully develop MIoT and Artificial Intelligence technologies. When O’Reilly mentions the *‘precedent setting’* nature of the Commerce Department’s opposition to 24 GHz, he is confirming that those **‘future technologies’ will require a very high investment of Spectrum that will, by necessity, come from Federally/taxpayer-owned Spectrum.** In other words, the NTIA process to identify future 5G Spectrum requirements and which Federal agencies will be required to acquiesce their Spectrum, just as Commerce is being pressured to capitulate, promises to be a **make-it-or-break-it for 5G development.**

FCC Chair Ajit Pai, a former [Verizon](#) attorney who lobbied [against net neutrality](#) was appointed to the FCC by President Barack Obama in 2014, [warned](#) that

“If the Department of Commerce’s position were to prevail, not only would **this spectrum be unusable for 5G domestically, but we would also **put at risk the U.S. position at the upcoming international conference in October.**”**

To be continued...

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Renee Parsons has been a member of the ACLU's Florida State Board of Directors and president of the ACLU Treasure Coast Chapter. She has been an elected public official in Colorado, an environmental lobbyist with Friends of the Earth and staff member of the US House of Representatives in Washington DC. She can be found on Twitter @reneedove31

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Renee Parsons](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Renee Parsons](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca