

5,337 U.S. Airstrikes against Syria: When Is “Direct Military Intervention” Not Direct Military Intervention?

By [Jim Naureckas](#)

Global Research, September 30, 2016

[FAIR](#)

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)
Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)
In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

*“President Obama has long refused to approve direct military intervention in Syria,” the **New York Times** asserted in an editorial ([9/29/16](#)) about “Vladimir Putin’s Outlaw State.”*

That’s a peculiar thing to say, given that the **Times** regularly covers the United States’ ongoing direct military intervention in Syria. Since 2014, according to [official Pentagon figures](#), the US has carried out 5,337 airstrikes in Syria. According to the monitoring group Airwars, these airstrikes (along with a few hundred strikes by US allies) have [likely killed](#) between 818 and 1,229 Syrian civilians.

Nor is direct US military intervention in Syria limited to aerial attacks. In May 2015, the **New York Times** ([5/16/15](#)) reported on a combat raid by US Delta Force commandos in eastern Syria. Later that year, the **Times** ([10/30/15](#)) observed that President Barack Obama had announced he was sending (in the paper’s words) “several dozen” special forces troops on an “open-ended mission” inside Syria.



MIDDLE EAST

ISIS Official Killed in U.S. Raid in Syria, Pentagon Says

By HELENE COOPER and ERIC SCHMITT MAY 16, 2015



WASHINGTON — American Special Operations forces mounted a rare raid into eastern [Syria](#) early Saturday, killing a leader of the Islamic State and about a dozen militant fighters, as well as capturing his wife and freeing an 18-year-old Yazidi woman who Pentagon officials said had been held as a slave.

In the first successful raid by American ground troops since the military campaign against the Islamic State began last year, two dozen Delta Force commandos entered Syria aboard Black Hawk helicopters and V-22 Ospreys and killed the leader, a man known as Abu Sayyaf. One American military official described him as the Islamic State’s “emir of oil and gas.”

*This somehow does not meet the **New York Times**’ definition of “direct military intervention in Syria.”*

Just a couple of weeks ago, the **Times** ([9/16/16](#)) wrote about three dozen more special forces going to aid Turkish troops inside Syria. Officially, these will have an “advise and assist” role—but the **Times** ([12/27/15](#)) has elsewhere noted the frequent US practice with regard to special forces of “resorting to linguistic contortions to mask the forces’ combat role.”

The **Times**, for its part, is engaging in some kind of linguistic contortion of its own to make none of this qualify as “direct military intervention in Syria.” Presumably it has something to do with the airstrikes and special forces not being aimed at the Syrian government of Bashar Assad, but at the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria, or ISIS—a rival to Assad’s power in Syria that the US is semi-officially at war with, even as Washington provides [arms and training](#) to other armed groups trying to overthrow Assad.

Jim Naureckas is the editor of [FAIR.org](#). You can follow him on **Twitter** at [@JNaureckas](#).

The original source of this article is [FAIR](#)
Copyright © [Jim Naureckas](#), [FAIR](#), 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Jim Naureckas](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca