

50 Years Ago: The Days Following the Assassination of Martin Luther King

Response to anniversary of martyrdom of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. continues to conceal the historical truths

By [Abayomi Azikiwe](#)

Global Research, April 08, 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Media Disinformation](#),
[Police State & Civil Rights](#)

*Watching and listening to the 50th anniversary commemorations of the murder of Civil Rights and antiwar leader **Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.** has been strikingly similar to the decades-long corporate media efforts to minimize and distort his actual legacy.*

These efforts to essentially rip Dr. King out of his historical and social context are part and parcel of the overall manipulation of the perceptions surrounding the African American struggles which arose during the post-World War II period of the 1950s and 1960s.

Through every portrayal of the co-founder of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC), who at the time of his death was a leading proponent of the growing demands to end the Vietnam War while building a Poor People's Campaign to reverse underdevelopment and social inequality in the United States, Dr. King is reduced to soundbites recounting the **final one minute of his April 3, 1968 address at Clayborn Temple in Memphis**, which is undoubtedly one of his most outstanding presentations in his public career. The final hour-long speech placed the sanitation workers' strike in Memphis that attracted King to the southern city within the framework of the worldwide movement to end national oppression and economic exploitation.

These themes ran through all of King's addresses from early 1967 to the time of his assassination in Memphis. Editorials at the time in leading periodicals denounced the Civil Rights leader for his views on the Vietnam War. He was accused even by some prominent African American spokespersons of abandoning the racial struggle and damaging the realization of equality in the country.

King continued to articulate that genuine equality and self-determination would not be achieved as long as the government placed imperialist war, the super-exploitation of African Americans and the degradation of other oppressed groups ahead of eliminating the sordid legacy emanating from slavery and the conquest of North America by Europeans. The administration of the-then **President Lyndon B. Johnson** became completely alienated from King and had every incentive to liquidate him and the African American movement in general.

Just one week prior to his assassination on April 4, Thursday March 28, a mass demonstration to support the predominately African American sanitation workers seeking recognition as the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME) Local 1733 involving thousands of youth, clergy and union members themselves, was ended

by the police responding to the breaking of windows by some of the participants. This demonstration had initially been scheduled for Friday March 22. However, an unseasonal snowstorm covered Memphis forcing organizers to postpone the general strike and protest to six days later.



Martin Luther King Jr. leads final demonstration on March 28, 1968 in Memphis

When King came to Memphis to address a mass rally earlier on March 18 at Mason Temple tensions were extremely high in the city. Mayor Henry Loeb, the brother of a businessman who owned a chain of dry cleaners and restaurants throughout the city, had refused to negotiate with the representatives of AFSCME 1733 after they walked off the job on February 12 in response to the failure of the city to adequately respond to the accidental deaths of their colleagues Robert Walker and Echol Cole, stemming directly from faulty equipment.

The Loeb administration declared that public employees were not allowed to strike. He had won injunctions against both Memphis AFSCME officials and national leaders of the union prohibiting them from engaging in industrial action.

Police had attacked a demonstration of 1,000 unionists and their supporters along Main Street on February 22 after they had failed to win a settlement on key issues before a meeting of the City Council. People were beaten, maced and arrested fueling deep resentment among the workers and city residents as a whole.

During the course of the month of March, police reports indicated that there were rocks thrown through the windows of Loeb businesses. People frequenting these establishments were taunted and threatened by others in the community.

Compounding the position of the administration labelling the strike as illegal, pressure was brought on some employees who returned to the job. Several hundred replacement employees were hired aimed at fostering discouragement among those engaged in the work stoppage.

In response strike supporters began blocking the exiting of garbage trucks to collect rubbish which was piled up throughout the city. A boycott of key businesses within the downtown area was initiated to bring to bear the full political pressure of the African American

community. The two leading daily newspapers, the Commercial Appeal and Press-Scimitar, were also targeted by the movement for their biased coverage of the strike.

March 28 and Beyond

Of course these tensions came to a head on March 28 when King attempted to lead a march demanding that the Loeb administration agree to dues check-offs for AFSCME 1733 workers along with other benefits including vacation time and insurance coverage. After the window breaking and property appropriation by the people began, the leaders of the Community on the Move for Equality (COME) coalition headed by clergymen and community organizations urged people to return to Clayborn Temple, the base of the strike.

Police then began to savagely beat people on the streets. Later 16-year-old **Larry Payne**, a student at Mitchell Road High School, was shot to death by police in the nearby Fowler Homes Project. Overnight property damage and arson attacks prompted the deployment of several thousand Tennessee National Guard troops to ostensibly quell the unrest.



New York rebellion after the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

Nonetheless, the demonstrations continued by the strikers and COME. The rebellion on March 28 was blamed by the corporate media on the Black Organizing Project (BOP) composed of students and working class youth agitating for more militant action. Some of these youth had the word "Invaders" written on the backs of their jackets, which the press used to stigmatize them as troublemakers.

The SCLC leader was also blamed for the property damage and arson on March 28 as well. A barrage of criticisms against King utilizing the media and the U.S. Senate was launched claiming that the Poor People's Campaign should not be allowed to occupy Washington, D.C. since it could easily erupt into a mass rebellion at the seat of the national government.

King and COME were committed to continuing activities surrounding the strike. Another march was planned for April 8 despite the injunction handed down by the Memphis courts

proscribing another demonstration under the guise of preventing violence.

After King's assassination during the early evening hours of April 4 while he stood on the balcony of the Lorraine Motel, shock and outrage spread throughout Memphis and the country. Again attacks on property and firebombing took place throughout the night.

By Friday April 5, mass demonstrations, walkouts, and rebellions were spreading across the country. Within one week some 125 cities had been hit with civil unrest.

At the time the corporate and government-controlled media attempted to discourage the rebellions saying that MLK was a man of peace and had never condoned violence. Although this was true, the SCLC leader understood the rebellions as the voice of the unheard. His response to the escalating unrest from 1964-1968 was to advance a program demanding the guaranteeing of full-employment, a minimal annual income for everyone, the institution of national health insurance, the elimination of slums along with an immediate ceasefire and the withdrawal of U.S. troops from Vietnam.

The Significance of King's Legacy

Today some five decades later the notion of King as separate from the social conditions that produced him permeated the 50th anniversary events which were covered by the corporate and pro-government media outlets. In several cases a few individuals were allowed to note that the character of racial and class oppression in 2018 is just as bad if not worse than what prevailed in 1968.

What was completely absent was the continuing role of the Pentagon budget and now homeland security in the repressive apparatus of the capitalist-imperialist state. Successive U.S. administrations both Democrat and Republican maintain the interventionist policies which marred the 1950s and 1960s related to the wars carried out in Korea, Vietnam, the entire southeast Asia region, Cuba, the Dominican Republic and other geo-political regions.

These expenditures on war and "national security" hamper the ability of the government to address the crises of unemployment, under-employment, low wages, increasing poverty, the status of immigrants and the collapse of transportation, educational and environmental infrastructures, among other social problems. Tens of millions of jobs have been lost in the U.S. due to capitalist monetary and trade policies.



Chicago youth and workers rebellion after the assassination of MLK during April 1968

A revived Poor People's Campaign is attempting to raise these issues this year. Nonetheless, a multi-pronged approach to ending war, racism, class exploitation corporate dictatorship is required to transform the existing system.

*

Abayomi Azikiwe is the editor of Pan-African News Wire. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

All images in this article are from the author.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Abayomi Azikiwe](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Abayomi
Azikiwe](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca