

The #PlasmidGate Scandal, Plasmid DNA: “DNA Lasts for ever and if it Integrates into your genome, you will produce its Product Forever”

How many coincidences can there be in #plasmidgate?

By [Dr. Ah Kahn Syed](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

Global Research, October 03, 2023

[Arkmedic's blog](#) 1 October 2023

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name.

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

By now I sincerely hope that you have all been watching the exposure of the [#PlasmidGate](#) scandal unfold on twitter and various other platforms. If you haven’t I’m going to summarise it for you as briefly I can:

When Pfizer and Moderna said that they produced an “RNA vaccine” and that an “RNA vaccine” meant that anything they injected into you would have a short lived (days) effect at most, it was a lie.

When the media, the regulators and the government said it “[isn’t gene therapy](#)” without knowing what was actually in the product, that was also a lie.

The primary reason that this is now *proven* to be a lie is that [multiple laboratories](#) around the world have *proven* that those COVID vaccines contain therapeutic levels of plasmid DNA. DNA lasts for ever and if it integrates into your genome, you will produce its product forever. There is no definition of *gene therapy* anywhere in the world that this process would be excluded from.

This is [#PLASMIDGATE](#)

For more details on #Plasmidgate outside of twitter I would refer you to the original substack from Kevin McKernan [here](#) and the whole testimony of Dr Phillip Buckhaults [here](#).

Just for background, it’s important to know what plasmid DNA is – it’s the lab-based circular DNA particles that is replicated in [big vats of poo](#) and then used to create the mRNA that goes into your “short lived” vaccine.

It's a lab tool so should never be in a drug injected into a human. It's not allowed to be there. It's like having a drug that requires arsenic as a substrate to make it, and then throwing the leftover arsenic into the actual drug that gets injected into you.

But this article is not directly about the discovery of Plasmid DNA in the Pfizer and Moderna jabs (that has been now verified by 6 labs worldwide).

It's about the special properties of the contents of that DNA and the RNA that is made from it, combined with the RNA that accompanies it (the jabs have the stated RNA in them as well as the stowaway DNA).

You see, it turns out that there are at least 5 different mechanisms for that DNA-RNA-protein combination to take that DNA into the nucleus of your cells. And that wasn't on the advertising brochure was it?



Don't believe me? See what Dr Phillip Buckhaults has to say about the Buckshot. I have clipped out the most important part from his speech to the SC Senate hearing and the most important bit of the most important bit is this:

“During the process they chopped them [the DNA plasmids] up to try to make them go away but they actually increased the hazard of genome modification”



[Click here to view the video](#)

Wait, what?

They did something that increased the risk of genome modification?

Now why would they do that, surely that's an accident.

And now we are here. Phillip quote's Hanlon's razor, viz:

Hanlon's Razor: Never Attribute to Malice That Which is Adequately Explained by Stupidity

And I am going to show to you why the makers of the Pfizer and Moderna "mRNA vaccine" must be really, really, stupid if Hanlon's Razor applies. It's because in this one product there are at least 5 ways in which the product design and manufacture ended up with mechanisms that increase the risk of DNA going into the nucleus of your cells, thus modifying your genome.

In other words, if they wanted to skin this particular cat, they managed to find 5 separate ways to do it and throw them into the same product.

Detailed scientific analysis: [Click here to continue reading...](#)

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The original source of this article is [Arkmedic's blog](#)
Copyright © [Dr. Ah Kahn Syed](#), [Arkmedic's blog](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Ah Kahn Syed](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca