

5 Million Nigerians Oppose Monsanto's Plans to Introduce GMO Cotton and Corn

By [Lorraine Chow](#)

Global Research, April 17, 2020

[EcoWatch](#) 29 March 2016


Region: [sub-Saharan Africa](#)

Theme: [Biotechnology and GMO](#)

This article was originally published in 2016.

Millions of Nigerians are urging the Nigerian government to reject [Monsanto's](#) attempts to introduce [genetically modified](#) (GMO) cotton and maize into the country's food and farming systems.

One-hundred organizations representing more than 5 million Nigerians, including farmers, faith-based organisations, civil society groups, students and local community groups, have submitted a joint [objection](#) to the country's National Biosafety Management Agency (NABMA) expressing serious concerns about human health and environmental risks of genetically altered crops.



The groups' petition follows Monsanto Agricultural Nigeria Limited's own application to NAMBA that seeks to release GMO cotton (Bt cotton, event MON 15985) into the city of Zaria as well as surrounding towns. Another application seeks confined field trials of two GMO corn varieties (NK603 and stacked event MON 89034 x NK603) in multiple locations in Nigeria.

In a [press release](#), the groups said they are particularly alarmed about the commercial release of Bt cotton into Nigeria, which is being phased out in Burkina Faso due to the "[inferior lint quality](#)" of the GMO cultivars.

"We are totally shocked that it should come so soon after peer-reviewed

studies have showed that the technology has failed dismally in Burkina Faso," **Nnimmo Bassey**, the director of the [Health of Mother Earth Foundation](#), one of the leading opposition groups, said in a statement. "It has brought nothing but economic misery to the cotton sector there and is being phased out in that country where compensation is being sought from Monsanto."

He asked in the statement:

"Since our Biosafety Act has only recently entered into force, what biosafety legislation was used to authorize and regulate the field trials in the past in accordance with international law and best biosafety practice?"

Former Nigerian president **Goodluck Jonathan** signed the National Biosafety Management Bill into law last year, basically opening the doors to GMOs cultivation in the country.

NO GMO AFRICA! [@GMOEvidence](#): Groups in [#Nigeria](#) Slam Monsanto Plan 2 Intro GM Crops [#SustainablePulsehttps://t.co/wy5gKPOMXz#Monsanto](#)

— [@RashidaDavis](#) (@ReallyNourish) [March 28, 2016](#)

The groups noted Monsanto's crops are genetically enhanced to tolerate the use of the herbicide [glyphosate](#) which was [declared](#) as a possible carcinogen by the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) last March.

"Should commercialization of Monsanto's GM maize be allowed pursuant to field trials, this will result in increased use of glyphosate in Nigeria, a chemical that is linked to causing cancer in humans," **Mariann Orovwuje**, Friends of the Earth International's food sovereignty co-coordinator, said in a statement.

"Recent studies have linked glyphosate to health effects such as degeneration of the liver and kidney, and non-Hodgkin lymphoma. That NABMA is even considering this application is indeed unfortunate and deeply regrettable, knowing full well about the uncontrolled exposure that our rural farmers and communities living close to farms will be exposed to."

Besides the potential contamination of local maize varieties, the groups argued that the health risks of introducing GMO maize into Nigeria could be "enormous" considering that maize is a staple food in their diet.

Coupled with a lack of resources to adequately control and monitor the human and environmental risks of GMO crops and glyphosate, the groups argued that Nigeria doesn't have a platform to test for glyphosate or other pesticide residues in food and food products, nor do they have an agency that can monitor the herbicide's impact on the environment, including water resources.

On the flip side, GMO-advocates tout that biotechnology is not only safe for human consumption and the environment, it's also a solution to [malnutrition](#) and global [food security](#), as these crops have been genetically tinkered with to provide certain nutritional benefits and/or spliced-and-diced to resist certain pathogens and other roadblocks.

For instance, Monsanto's [Water Efficient Maize for Africa](#), a five-year development project led by the Kenyan-based African Agricultural Technology Foundation, aims to develop a variety of drought-tolerant maize seeds. The project receives funding from the [Gates Foundation](#), United States Agency for International Development and Howard G. Buffett Foundation.

In [an interview](#) with Wall Street Journal's Rebecca Blumenstein, **Bill Gates** [explained his views](#) about GMOs:

"What are called GMOs are done by changing the genes of the plant, and it's done in a way where there's a very thorough safety procedure, and it's pretty incredible because it reduces the amount of pesticide you need, raises productivity (and) can help with malnutrition by getting vitamin fortification

"And so I think, for Africa, this is going to make a huge difference, particularly as they face [climate change](#) ... The U.S., China, Brazil, are using these things and if you want farmers in Africa to improve nutrition and be competitive on the world market, you know, as long as the right safety things are done, that's really beneficial. It's kind of a second round of the green revolution. And so the Africans I think will choose to let their people have enough to eat."

But in the video below, Bassegy objects to the argument that GMOs are necessary to ensure food security and nutrition in Africa and that the continent can feed itself without the aid of multinational biotech companies.

"Genetically engineered crops are not engineered to help anybody," he says about six minutes into the video. "They are engineered to help the industry that produces the crops."

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is [EcoWatch](#)
Copyright © [Lorraine Chow](#), [EcoWatch](#), 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Lorraine Chow](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are

acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca