

# 2012 Election Model Post-Mortem: Election Fraud Still Exists

By [Global Research News](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Global Research, November 07, 2012

[richardcharnin.wordpress.com](http://richardcharnin.wordpress.com)

*Richard Charnin's post-mortem - slightly mathematical, but well worth noting. Election fraud still exists, and it nearly denied a second term to President Obama.*

*Charnin stands proud for his accurate prediction of 332 EVs - along with John Zogby who predicted 339.*

*Both Charnin and Zogby use different methods for their analyses, and both are to be commended for the accuracy of their percipience.*

Michael Carmichael

---

## Election Model Post-Mortem: Exactly right at 332 EV

By Richard Charnin

But Obama did much better than that, just as he did much better than his 365 EV in 2008.

The recorded result was confirmed in the model.

Obama had 332 electoral votes based on the recorded vote total - not the True Vote.

Obama was able once again to overcome the built-in FRAUD FACTOR, which would be reflected by a red-shift in the UNADJUSTED EXIT POLLS. But to paraphrase what Alec Baldwin told the real-estate salesman in the famous opening scene of the classic film Glen Garry Glen Ross:

"These are the unadjusted exit polls. They are gold - but you don't get them. They're for NEP only".

The red-shift did not go away. We do not have the unadjusted exit polls. The True Vote Model forecast the TRUE VOTE as 55-45%. I also projected the RECORDED VOTE based on the pre-election polls from which the Monte Carlo Simulation Model derived Obama's 99% win probability. No rocket science - and that is why I got it EXACTLY right at 332 EV (the actual SNAPSHOT).

The EXPECTED THEORETICAL 321 EV was based on the summation formula:

$EV = \sum P(I) * EV(I)$ , for  $I = 1, 51$  STATES. The probability  $P(I)$  of winning the state was based on the 2-party poll projection.

Looking at the numbers, assuming that Obama had 51.7% of the two-party recorded vote: Romney needed 15% of returning Obama 2008 voters and 50% of new voters. Romney needed a 2% turnout rate advantage of returning McCain voters over Obama voters.

Scroll down to row 375 in this spread sheet to view the sensitivity analysis:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/ccc?key=0AjAk1JUWDMYrDdQzLWJTdlppakNRNDIMakhMGdGa0E#gid=25>

The pollsters anticipate the red-shift without saying so. They use the Likely Voter Cutoff Model which reduces Democratic turnout in their LV polls. And this is very important: they use PREVIOUS election BOGUS RECORDED votes as part of their strategy when they design their sample - and we KNOW that the previous recorded votes were inflated for the Republican.

THE POLLSTERS GOT THE RECORDED VOTE RIGHT; THEY USUALLY DO. BUT THEY ALWAYS AVOID DOING AN ANALYSIS TO DETERMINE THE TRUE VOTE. THE POLLSTERS ARE PAID TO PREDICT THE RECORDED VOTE - NOT THE TRUE VOTE.

<http://richardcharnin.wordpress.com/2012/11/07/4380/>

The original source of this article is [richardcharnin.wordpress.com](http://richardcharnin.wordpress.com)  
Copyright © [Global Research News](http://GlobalResearchNews.com), [richardcharnin.wordpress.com](http://richardcharnin.wordpress.com), 2012

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Global Research News](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)