

# 19 Years Ago, Invasion of Iraq: The War that Never Happened

Today is the 19th anniversary of the US invasion of Iraq. It was a catastrophic, illegal, murderous war — and Joe Biden was one its most important cheerleaders.

By [Saif Ansari](#)

Global Research, March 23, 2022

[Jacobin](#) 20 March 2022

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)  
Theme: [History](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)  
In-depth Report: [IRAQ REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch\\_crg](#) and Twitter at [@crglobalization](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*On the campaign trail in 2020, **Joe Biden was** barely probed about his long-standing support for the Iraq War, a fact he attempted to [conceal](#). According to Biden (who repeatedly touted his experience in [foreign policy](#) in the lead-up to the presidential election), he had opposed the war from the very beginning — the “[very moment](#)” the first bombs came roaring down on Baghdad.*

Not only did Biden cast a critical vote to authorize military force; he also played a crucial role in creating the case for war in the first place. As chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Biden built support for a bipartisan resolution that ultimately gave George W. Bush’s administration wide discretion to defend the United States from any perceived threat from Iraq. In the years since, Biden has argued that he only [voted](#) for the 2002 Authorization for Use of Military Force Against Iraq to [enhance](#) the United States’ bargaining power at the United Nations — as if putting a gun to the head of the international community (what Biden called “tough diplomacy”) represented anything other than a call for war.

Far from the reluctant warrior he’s [portrayed](#) himself as, Biden — by [rejecting](#) alternative resolutions that would have required the United States to predicate military action on authorization from the United Nations Security Council, and disparaging more progressive Democrats who balked at the prospect of war as [purists](#) — ultimately created the very conditions in which opposition to war became untenable in the first place.

Even a series of high-profile [hearings](#) Biden [held](#) in 2002 — ostensibly an evenhanded attempt to inform the US public of the risks of an invasion — was a ruse: he enlisted a host of [pro-war](#) operatives to parrot the Bush administration’s propaganda about Iraq’s mythic

weapons of mass destruction (WMDs) and alleged ties to al-Qaeda, with nary a [skeptical voice](#) among them. According to the chief UN weapons inspector at the time, Scott Ritter, the hearings were a “[sham](#)” designed to provide cover for Biden’s “pre-ordained conclusion” that either Saddam Hussein or his [weapons](#) had to go — despite the fact that the CIA’s George Tenet had [personally](#) told Biden there was no evidence these WMDs even existed.

In fact, Biden had called for war with Iraq for years. In 1998, he warned that the country represented a grave threat to US interests. According to Biden, it was [impossible](#) for inspectors to guarantee that Hussein would not develop WMDs in the future (if he didn’t have them already), and that “the only way . . . to get rid of [him]” was to put boots on the ground — sooner or later.

But the rationale that Biden had so diligently crafted for years — that Iraq posed an existential threat to the United States — never [materialized](#). A desperate search for WMDs in the wake of the invasion produced nothing. Within a year, a majority of Americans realized that the invasion had been a [mistake](#). And by the end of 2014, lawmakers and the intelligence community alike [conceded](#) that not only did Iraq have no such weapons — biological, chemical, or nuclear — but prewar intelligence had been deeply flawed.

And yet not even during the heated final debate of the primaries in 2020 did **Bernie Sanders** (who had voted against the invasion in 2002 as a representative of Vermont) make the case — which he had alluded to on the campaign [trail](#) more than [once](#) — that Biden was unfit to serve as president because of what was, in Sanders’s view, “the worst foreign policy [blunder](#) in the modern history of the United States.”

**Elizabeth Warren**, another candidate who had called the Iraq War a [mistake](#), also failed to challenge Biden’s historical defense of the invasion — from [denying](#) that he had ever believed Hussein possessed WMDs to [lamenting](#) that the only mistake he had made was to trust the Bush administration. When asked whether Biden was to blame, Warren — a legal academic who had [begun](#) her political career taking on the president over the 2005 bankruptcy bill — [demurred](#).

In fact, the most strenuous criticism against Biden’s role in the Iraq War was leveled in March 2020 by an air force veteran who [accused](#) Biden of having the blood of fellow service members on his hands. But despite his overtures that he had come to [regret](#) his support for the war — which became increasingly [unpopular](#) in the upper echelons of the Democratic Party in subsequent years — Biden never learned from his [mistake](#).

Eleven years after the intervention in Libya’s civil war and twenty thousand deaths later, it’s clear the United States’ seven-month bombing campaign not only suffered from poor planning, no exit strategy, and flawed intelligence — the same faults Biden attributed to the Iraq War — but also had [nothing](#) to do with protecting civilians in the first place. Despite Barack Obama’s initial declaration that regime change was out of the question, Biden would come to [praise](#) NATO for removing the Libyan dictator Muammar Qaddafi. Biden’s [revelation](#), years later, that he had opposed the intervention from the beginning again shows how he continues to deny responsibility for the United States’ repeated misadventures in the Middle East — all on his watch.

## “The Original Sin of the Twenty-First Century”

The fact that the Iraq War — one of the most heinous acts of aggression in modern times —

was not only a complete disaster but an outright crime is seldom acknowledged in mainstream US politics. Since George Bush's infamous address in May 2003 — in which he [declared](#) an end to major combat operations aboard the USS *Abraham Lincoln* a month after the invasion — hundreds of thousands of Iraqi men, women, and children have been killed, millions have been maimed or injured, and many times more have been displaced.

Within a few years, studies concluded that over a million Iraqis had lost their lives, and, since the fifteenth anniversary of what the scholar Tallha Abdulrazaq calls “the [original sin](#) of the 21st century,” it's [estimated](#) that the corpses of 2.4 million people litter the very cradle of civilization. In addition to leading to widespread death and destruction, destabilizing the region, and giving rise to the Islamic State, the war has also had more distant (albeit foreseeable) long-term effects, such as the global refugee crisis and the rise of right-wing governments on both sides of the Atlantic.

American voters used to give a damn about the Iraq War. In 2008, Barack Obama [leveraged](#) widespread discontent with the war to secure the Democratic nomination, courting progressives and young people alike. In fact, it's widely believed that **Hillary Clinton** [lost](#) to the senator from Illinois not just because she had voted for the war — and was instrumental in rallying ambivalent Democrats to the cause — but because Obama had decried the invasion from the start.

In later years, vast swaths of the American public became convinced that the war was a disaster. Bush's approval rating [dropped](#) to an all-time low of 25 percent, based in large part on the widespread belief that the occupation was a [mess](#). By 2016, even Republicans like **Donald Trump**, who had run on a nihilistic platform of killing the families of purported terrorists and “bringing torture back,” would come to attack Clinton's lack of foresight from the left.

Obama's obvious contempt for his predecessor's war, based on a haphazard and incoherent policy in Iraq, was soon overshadowed by what can only be described as his neglect. Under his leadership, US forces withdrew in 2011, only to return a few years later with a seemingly never-ending mandate to fight ISIS — a creation of the occupation itself that the president not only gravely [underestimated](#) as al-Qaeda's “JV team” but subsequently emboldened, spending [billions](#) of dollars more than Bush ever had in the process.

Despite inveighing at length against the Iraq War — [calling](#) it a “big fat mistake” — Trump would also come to authorize further troop surges and bombing raids. In July 2017, Trump [joined](#) Iraqi prime minister **Haider al-Abadi** in declaring Mosul free from ISIS control after Iraqi and US forces completely destroyed the city in some of the most horrific violence since the invasion — based in no small part on the fact that Trump had [removed](#) restrictions on US military operations designed to [reduce](#) civilian casualties. Only in the dying embers of his first and final term did Trump decide to reduce troop levels in Iraq — by a [pittance](#).

By the time the Iraqi people made their first forays into democracy in decades, the war had already faded from the American imagination. Following ISIS's expulsion from Iraq in December 2017, massive demonstrations rocked the country again and again, with everyday people taking to the streets to protest high unemployment, widespread government corruption, and a lack of basic services like water and electricity. In 2019, Iraqis even burned down the Iranian consulate in the holy city of Najaf and forced the prime minister to resign — a powerful [rebuke](#) of an unpopular government that had killed

hundreds of protestors.

But the convulsions in Iraq were barely reported in the United States. Media coverage of the war has [fallen](#) precipitously for [years](#); even when it is in the news, it's on the periphery. Trump's assassination of Iranian general Qassem Soleimani in January 2020 drew censure from Democrats who condemned his failure to obtain congressional authorization for what was [called](#) an act of war. But the fact that the strike had taken place at the Baghdad airport, or that Iraqi authorities hadn't been consulted in advance, wasn't questioned at all.

During the Trump administration, the twin war in Afghanistan — the longest running armed conflict in US history, also born out of the events of September 11 — gripped the American imagination. Whether it was attempts to [thwart](#) the International Criminal Court's probe into US atrocities, the first use in combat of the "[mother of all bombs](#)" on ISIS, or Biden's mishandled [withdrawal](#) from the country last August, the people of Afghanistan continue to suffer from [Taliban](#) rule, a massive [humanitarian crisis](#), and ongoing pillage of their own funds by the United States.

The Iraq War made headlines again when, in a desperate response to his electoral loss, Trump [declared](#) that all troops in the country would be home by January 2021. But despite Biden's belated decision to bring the nearly twenty-year conflict to an ignoble end (met with a combination of faux outrage, sentimental nostalgia, and legitimate concern), it's unclear whether his announcement last December that the United States had [concluded](#) the combat mission in Iraq for the umpteenth time amounted to anything more than a verbal [sleight of hand](#).

## “The Supreme International Crime”

There's a reason why Americans have become inured to constant violence in a country their government has been bombing for dozens of [years](#): there has yet to be a shred of accountability. In late 2004, then UN secretary-general Kofi Annan [declared](#) that the Iraq War was an outright violation of the UN charter, which prohibits the use of force except in self-defense. What's more, the belligerents had been warned that invading Iraq would not only be illegal under international law but amount to a criminal act.



“Photo Op”. Credit: Imperial War Museum/Peter Kennard & Cat Philips (2005)

Before famously [changing](#) his mind, UK attorney general **Peter Goldsmith** [advised](#) **Tony Blair** on the eve of the invasion that the prime minister would be susceptible to prosecution in UK court for the “crime of aggression” — or what the Allies called “crimes against peace”

— an offense established after World War II precisely to impose individual criminal liability on Axis leaders for the sin of having gone to war in the first place. According to the Nuremberg court, the crime of aggression “is the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated [evil](#) of the whole.”

Subsequent calls around the world to bring the perpetrators to justice failed. In June 2008, thirty-five articles of impeachment against Bush were [introduced](#) in the House by Representatives **Dennis Kucinich** and **Robert Wexler**, fifteen of which had to do with the Iraq War alone. [None](#) made it to the floor. Obama, who ran on a [campaign](#) of accountability and transparency, later revealed he was reluctant to order an inquiry into the Iraq War in the first place, much less hold perpetrators accountable. In terms pithy and perverse, the president advised Americans that “we need to [look forward](#),” despite his concession that “we [tortured](#) some folks.”

On the other side of the pond, Iraqi general Abdul-Wahid Shannan Al-Rabat brought a private prosecution against Blair, Goldsmith, and former foreign secretary Jack Straw in 2016 for the crime of aggression as well, based on the results of a yearslong [investigation](#) into the UK’s role in the Iraq War. The High Court subsequently [dismissed](#) the case.

For senior members of the Democratic Party, the crimes of the Bush era were largely inconsequential. Despite repeated calls for impeachment among leading Democrats at the time, House Leader Nancy Pelosi made it [clear](#) that it was “off the table,” even after the party gained control of Congress in 2006. Years later, she [revealed](#) that she knew Bush was lying through his teeth the whole time, and that the Iraq War was a sham — but that, in her view, it didn’t rise to an impeachable offense. Obama echoed this in his latest memoir, in which he praises his predecessor and [chastises](#) Americans who condemned Bush as a war criminal.

Republicans have strenuously defended Bush’s legacy as well. In November 2020, in a rare act of defiance, several prominent members of the party [condemned](#) Trump’s plans to reduce troop levels in Afghanistan and Iraq. Trump himself granted clemency to US soldiers and contractors for some of the most heinous crimes committed on Iraqi soil, including the infamous [massacre](#) of seventeen Iraqis in 2007 by Blackwater mercenaries. And among his own party, Bush remains as [popular](#) as ever. As of 2018, his approval rating among Republicans hadn’t dropped below 75 percent in ten years.

The fact that not a single official has been held accountable for the decision to invade Iraq is emblematic of the “war on terror” in general. Whether it’s Abu Ghraib, torture, extraordinary rendition, black sites, secret surveillance, drone strikes, or Guantanamo, the United States has consistently denied responsibility for the crimes of the Bush administration and beyond. Perpetrators like former secretary of state **Colin Powell** and secretary of defense **Donald Rumsfeld** have also escaped justice altogether — in death. Even US allies have taken more responsibility for their respective roles. In recent years, Canada has [compensated](#) former detainees at Guantanamo, Italy has [convicted](#) CIA agents for torture in absentia, and Australia has [concluded](#) a probe into war crimes committed by special forces in Afghanistan.



Screenshot from Collateral Murder video released by WikiLeaks.

In contrast, investigations by the United States have [probed](#) only the [extent](#) to which the Iraq War represented a massive intelligence failure, precisely to [avoid](#) implicating the political leadership involved. The [Chilcot report](#), released in 2016, not only laid bare the baseless and confused rationale for the war but placed the [blame](#) squarely on Blair's government. And since taking office, Biden — just like his predecessor — has doggedly pursued whistleblowers like [Julian Assange](#) for the audacity of exposing, among other things, US forces [murdering](#) Iraqi civilians for sport.

## The Forever Wars

Nineteen years later, it's as if the Iraq War never happened. Following the US withdrawal from the Iran nuclear deal in 2018, the Trump administration did everything short of a declaration of outright [war](#) to provoke Iran — including protracted economic [warfare](#), [parking](#) aircraft carriers in Iranian waters, and [killing](#) the country's "second most powerful man." A week before Biden took office, then secretary of state Mike Pompeo even [announced](#) that Iranian ties to al-Qaeda had been discovered — the same canard used to justify the Iraq War two decades prior. Biden later [withdrew](#) sanctions in the lead-up to diplomatic talks, only to thwart them altogether with [strikes](#) on Iranian forces — in a country we are not at war with (Syria), from a country we have no right to be in (Iraq). In recent days, the Biden administration has gone so far as to call President Vladimir Putin's accusations that Ukraine is harboring [bioweapons](#), a pretext for Russia's invasion, everything from "disinformation" to outright "malarkey" — without a hint of irony.

Whether it's proxy wars with neighboring countries or ongoing drone warfare in the region, the US continues to wage forever war against an inchoate enemy — with Iraq as base of operations. There is no indication that Biden intends to deliver any semblance of justice to the Iraqi people either. All eyes are on whether Congress's [decision](#) to finally [rescind](#) the 2002 Authorization for Use of Military Force will bring an end to the carnage once and for all — or merely update it for yet another phase of US interventionism.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch\_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Saif Ansari** is a practicing attorney.

The original source of this article is [Jacobin](#)  
Copyright © [Saif Ansari](#), [Jacobin](#), 2022

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: **[Saif Ansari](#)**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)