

12th Round of Sino/US Trade Talks Falls Flat. US Rage for Global Dominance

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, July 31, 2019

Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

Region: Asia, USA

Over a year of Sino/US trade talks failed to resolve major differences because of unacceptable Trump demands.

On matters of statecraft, China, Russia, Iran, and other countries have outwitted the US.

Sino/US disagreements have little to do with the trade deficit favoring China.

It exists because corporate America relocated much of its manufacturing and other operations to low-wage countries — permitted by US policymakers, responsible for transforming America into a nation unfit to live in, thirdworldized to benefit monied interests.

Irreconcilable structural issues are at the heart of Sino/US differences. They're all about China's growing political, economic, financial, and military clout.

It comes at the expense of US rage for global dominance, tolerating no challengers to its aim for dominion over planet earth, its resources and populations, a losing strategy.

Sovereign independent nations the US doesn't control are targeted for regime change. Republicans and undemocratic Dems want pro-Western puppet governance replacing their ruling authorities.

On Wednesday, Chinese and US negotiators met in Shanghai — the first discussion between both sides since talks collapsed in May.

Beginning with a Tuesday evening dinner, talks officially resumed Wednesday morning. Lasting half a day, no breakthroughs were achieved, none expected.

Ahead of the meeting, Trump slammed China with hostile disinformation, tweeting:

"China is doing very badly (sic), worst year in 27 – was supposed to start buying our agricultural product now – no signs that they are doing so (sic)."

"That is the problem with China, they just don't come through (sic). Our economy has become MUCH larger than the Chinese Economy is last 3 years (sic)."

"My team is negotiating with them now, but they always change the deal in the end to their benefit (sic)."

"(I)f & when I win (reelection), the deal that they get will be much tougher than

what we are negotiating now...or no deal at all (sic). We have all the cards (sic), our past leaders never got it (sic)!"

According to Beijing's former commerce vice-minister **Wei Jianguo**, China's economic growth in Q II was better than expected at 6.2% — compared to annual US growth slowing to 2% and heading south.

International relations Professor Wang Yong said

"China has started to buy soybeans (and other agricultural products) from the US, which could help Trump to counter domestic political pressure, meanwhile US tech firms have raised their voices to lobby the US...to loosen export controls on Huawei," adding:

"Wall Street bankers also hope to invest more in China. If both sides fail to reach a deal, they will lose China's market which is expected to further open up in the coming years."

Trump prioritizes whatever helps his reelection prospects. A pre-election deal with China in late summer/early fall 2020 would serve him best.

It's unattainable as long as his regime's demands remain unacceptable. In its latest edition, the Wall Street Journal noted that bilateral talks resumed "with no breakthroughs in sight."

On Wednesday, China's official People's Daily broadsheet referred to Trump's hostile Twitter storm without mentioning him by name, saying:

"(T)he drums of some Americans struck again on the side, disturbing the main melody — Showing weakness."

According to VM Markets Singapore managing partner **Stephen Innes**,

"(w)hatever shred of optimism markets had about the ongoing trade negotiations were dealt as a severe blow when President Trump flew off the handle again at China for not buying American agricultural products."

Chinese academic **Shen Dingli** said Trump's tweets show he's "eager to get a deal. That shows his weakness."

China's Global Times commented on Trump's tweets, saying

"(t)he US often makes unconstructive remarks when China-US trade talks are about to start. (The approach) never worked," adding:

All over the world, it is the US, not China, that has become the most difficult country to deal with on trade" and most everything else.

"The US has overthrown too many agreements and rules. How can the White House say China always changes the deal in the end to its benefit? It sounds

like the US is talking about itself."

Time and again, maximum US pressure on other countries fails. It hasn't worked with Russia, China, North Korea, Iran, Venezuela, Syria, Cuba, or Nicaragua.

Imperial rage is self-defeating, a lesson US policymakers have yet to learn.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author **Stephen Lendman** lives in Chicago. He can be reached at <u>lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net</u>. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at silendman.blogspot.com.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Stephen Lendman, Global Research, 2019

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Stephen Lendman**

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca